

Agenda

Council

Date: Monday, 22 October 2018 at 7:00 pm

Venue: Town Hall, St Annes, FY8 1LW

Mayor: Councillor Peter Collins
Deputy Mayor: Councillor Jan Barker
Leader: Councillor Sue Fazackerley MBE
Deputy Leader: Councillor Karen Buckley

Councillors Ben Aitken, Christine Akeroyd, Frank Andrews, Peter Anthony, Tim Ashton, Mark Bamforth, Keith Beckett ISO, Brenda Blackshaw, Julie Brickles, Maxine Chew, Alan Clayton, Delma Collins, Michael Cornah, Chris Dixon, David Donaldson, Trevor Fiddler, Tony Ford JP, Richard Fradley, Gail Goodman JP, Shirley Green, Peter Hardy, Neil Harvey, Paul Hayhurst, Karen Henshaw JP, Paul Hodgson, Angela Jacques, John Kirkham, Cheryl Little, Roger Lloyd, Kiran Mulholland, Edward Nash, Sally Nash, Graeme Neale, Jayne Nixon, Linda Nulty, Liz Oades, Sandra Pitman, Richard Redcliffe, Louis Rigby, Vince Settle, Elaine Silverwood, John Singleton JP, Roger Small, Heather Speak, Ray Thomas, Thomas Threlfall, Viv Willder.

| | PROCEDURAL ITEMS: | PAGE |
|---|--|------|
| 1 | Declarations of Interest: Declarations of interest, and the responsibility for declaring the same, are matters for elected members. Members are able to obtain advice, in writing, in advance of meetings. This should only be sought via the Council's Monitoring Officer. However, it should be noted that no advice on interests sought less than one working day prior to any meeting will be provided. | 1 |
| 2 | Confirmation of Minutes: To confirm the minutes, as previously circulated, of the Meeting held on 16 July 2018 as a correct record. | 1 |
| | ANNOUNCEMENTS: | |
| 3 | Mayor's Announcements | 1 |
| 4 | Chief Executive's Communications | 1 |
| | REPRESENTATIONS: | |
| 5 | Questions from Members of the Council | 3 |
| 6 | Questions from Members of the Public For procedure to ask a question at a Council meeting see Public Speaking at Council Meetings. | 4 |

| | DECISION ITEMS: | |
|----|--|----------|
| 7 | Councillor Dispensation | 5 - 6 |
| 8 | Committee Membership Nomination | 7 - 8 |
| 9 | The Fylde Local Plan to 2032 | 9 - 93 |
| 10 | Granny's Bay Coastal Defence | 94 - 100 |
| 11 | Exclusion of the Public | 101 |
| 12 | Exempt Item – Not for Publication Lowther Trust | EXEMPT |

Contact: Sharon Wadsworth - Telephone: (01253) 658546 - Email: democracy@fylde.gov.uk

The code of conduct for members can be found in the council's constitution at

http://fylde.cmis.uk.com/fylde/DocumentsandInformation/PublicDocumentsandInformation.aspx

© Fylde Borough Council copyright 2018

You may re-use this document/publication (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium. You must re-use it accurately and not in a misleading context.

The material must be acknowledged as Fylde Borough Council copyright and you must give the title of the source document/publication.

Where we have identified any third party copyright material you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.fylde.gov.uk
Any enquiries regarding this document/publication should be sent to us at the Town Hall, St Annes Road West, St Annes FY8 1LW, or to listening@fylde.gov.uk.



REPRESENTATIONS

| REPORT OF | MEETING | DATE | ITEM NO |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|
| RESOURCES DIRECTORATE | COUNCIL | 22 OCTOBER 2018 | 5 |

QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

PUBLIC ITEM

This item is for consideration in the public part of the meeting.

SUMMARY

No questions have been received from Members of the Council before the requisite deadline, as outlined in Procedural Standing Orders for Council and Committees of Part 4 of the Council's Constitution, and before the statutory deadline for publication of the agenda.

If any questions are received before the constitutional deadline, as outlined above, they will be circulated prior to the meeting for members' information, under separate cover.

Any question(s) will be heard during the Council meeting on 22 October 2018 and a response will be given by the Leader of the Council or any other member nominated by her.



REPRESENTATIONS

| REPORT OF | MEETING | DATE | NO |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------------|----|
| RESOURCES DIRECTORATE | COUNCIL | 22 OCTOBER 2018 | 6 |

QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

PUBLIC ITEM

This item is for consideration in the public part of the meeting.

SUMMARY

As outlined in Article 15 – Public Speaking at meetings of the Council and its Committees any resident of the Councils district may, subject to various provisions of the article, ask a question at an ordinary meeting of the council.

If any questions are received before the constitutional deadline, as outlined above, they will be circulated prior to the meeting for members' information, under separate cover. No questions have been received under these procedures to date.

Any question(s) will be heard during the Council meeting on 22 October 2018 and a response will be given by the Leader of the Council or any other member nominated by her.



DECISION ITEM

| REPORT OF | MEETING | DATE | ITEM NO | |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|--|
| RESOURCES DIRECTORATE | COUNCIL | 22 OCTOBER 2018 | 7 | |
| COUNCILLOR DISPENSATION | | | | |

PUBLIC ITEM

This item is for consideration in the public part of the meeting.

SUMMARY

Councillor Keith Beckett is in ill health which makes attendance at meetings of committees and the council difficult at times. The report asks the council to consider approving Councillor Beckett's ill health as a reason for his non-attendance at meetings. This would prevent section 85 of the Local Government Act 1985 bringing his term of office to an end after six months of non-attendance should this arise.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Approve Councillor Keith Beckett's ill health as a reason for his non-attendance at meetings for the purposes of section 85(1) of the Local Government Act 1972.

SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS DECISIONS

None

| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | |
|---|---|
| Spending your money in the most efficient way to achieve excellent services (Value for Money) | ٧ |
| Delivering the services that customers expect of an excellent council (Clean and Green) | ٧ |
| Working with all partners (Vibrant Economy) | ٧ |
| To make sure Fylde continues to be one of the most desirable places to live (A Great Place to Live) | ٧ |
| Promoting Fylde as a great destination to visit (A Great Place to Visit) | ٧ |

REPORT

- 1. Section 85 of the Local Government Act 1972 provides that 'if a member of a local authority fails throughout a period of six consecutive months from the date of his last attendance to attend any meeting of the authority, he shall, unless the failure was due to some reason approved by the authority before the expiry of that period, cease to be a member of the authority'.
- 2. Councillor Keith Beckett was elected as a councillor for Kirkham North on 3 May 2007. He had also previously served as a councillor from 5 May 1983 to 8 May 1986.

- 3. Councillor Beckett last attended a meeting of full Council on 9 May 2018. Since then he has been suffering from ill health which makes attendance at meetings of committees and the council difficult.
- 4. In the circumstances the council is asked, for the purposes of section 85 of the Local Government Act 1972, to approve Councillor Beckett's ill health as a reason for his non-attendance at meetings of the authority. This would mean that even if Councillor Beckett was unable to attend future meetings section 85 would not bring his term of office to an end, so long as his non-attendance was due to his current ill health.

| IMPLICATIONS | | |
|---|--|--|
| Finance There are no financial implications arising from this report. | | |
| Legal | Section 85 of the Local Government Act 1972, where it has effect, ends the term of office of a councillor automatically, without any decision of the council being necessary. | |
| Community Safety | None arising from this report. | |
| Human Rights and Equalities | The council is subject to the Public Sector Equality Duty set out in the Equality Act 2010. However, this duty does not repeal or override the clear statutory position set out in section 85 of the Local Government Act 1972. | |
| Sustainability and Environmental Impact | None arising from this report. | |
| Health & Safety and Risk Management | None arising from this report. | |

| LEAD AUTHOR | CONTACT DETAILS | DATE |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Sharon Wadsworth | democracy@fylde.gov.uk | 12/9/18 |

| BACKGROUND PAPERS | | |
|-------------------|------|--------------------------------|
| Name of document | Date | Where available for inspection |
| None | | |



DECISION ITEM

| REPORT OF | MEETING | DATE | ITEM NO | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|--|
| RESOURCES DIRECTORATE | COUNCIL | 22 OCTOBER 2018 | 8 | |
| COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP NOMINATION | | | | |

PUBLIC ITEM

This item is for consideration in the public part of the meeting.

SUMMARY

The report outlines a request from The Conservative Group for changes to committee membership as follows:

That Councillor Chris Dixon replaces Councillor Angela Jacques on Environment, Health and Housing Committee, that Councillor Jayne Nixon replaces Councillor Christine Akeroyd as a member of the Planning Committee and that Councillor Sandra Pitman replaces Councillor Christine Akeroyd as a member of the Licensing Committee.

In the event that Councillor Jayne Nixon is appointed to the Planning Committee, there will be a vacancy for a planning substitute. This vacancy will be considered separately by the Planning Committee in accordance with Standing Order 23(c) of Part 4 - Rules of Procedure of the Constitution.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. To remove Councillor Jacques and appoint Councillor Chris Dixon as a member on the Environment Health and Housing Committee.
- 2. To remove Councillor Akeroyd and appoint Councillor Jayne Nixon as a member on the Planning Committee.
- 3. To remove Councillor Akeroyd and appoint Councillor Sandra Pitman as a member on the Licensing Committee.

SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS DECISIONS

The meeting of Council on 16 April 2018 allocated seats to the various committees in accordance with the rules of political balance.

| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | |
|---|---|
| Spending your money in the most efficient way to achieve excellent services (Value for Money) | ٧ |
| Delivering the services that customers expect of an excellent council (Clean and Green) | |
| Working with all partners (Vibrant Economy) | |
| To make sure Fylde continues to be one of the most desirable places to live (A Great Place to Live) | |
| Promoting Fylde as a great destination to visit (A Great Place to Visit) | |

REPORT

POLITICALLY BALANCED COMMITTEES

- 1. Under the rules of political balance, eight seats on the Environment, Health and Housing Committee are allocated to the Conservative Group.
- 2. The Conservative Group has asked for changes to be made in relation to the membership of the Environment, Health and Housing committee in that Councillor Jacques is to be replaced as a member on this committee by Councillor Chris Dixon.
- 3. Under the rules of political balance, seven seats on the Planning Committee are allocated to the Conservative Group.
- 4. The Conservative Group has asked for changes to be made in relation to the membership of the Planning committee in that Councillor Akeroyd is to be replaced as a member on this committee by Councillor Jayne Nixon.
- 5. Councillor Nixon was previously appointed by the Planning Committee as a substitute member and has received the requisite training as outlined in Standing Order 23(e) of Part 4 Rules of Procedure of the Constitution.
- 6. The Council is obliged to accept the nominations of the Conservative group to seats nominated to that group.
- 7. In the event that Councillor Nixon is appointed to the Planning Committee, there will be a vacancy for a planning substitute. This vacancy will be considered separately by the Planning Committee in accordance with Standing Order 23(c) of Part 4 Rules of Procedure of the Constitution.

LICENSING COMMITTEE

- 8. The Licensing Committee is not subject to the political balance rules. It is concerned exclusively with carrying out the council's responsibilities under the Licensing Act 2003 and the Gambling Act 2005. The committee has a membership of 15.
- 9. The Conservative Group has asked for changes to be made in relation to the membership of the Licensing committee in that Councillor Akeroyd is to be replaced as a member on this committee by Councillor Pitman.
- 10. As the Licensing Committee is not subject to the political balance rules, it is up to the council whether to accept the request from the Conservative group.

| IMPLICATIONS | | |
|---|--|--|
| Finance | None arising directly from this report | |
| Legal | None arising directly from this report | |
| Community Safety | None arising directly from this report | |
| Human Rights and Equalities | None arising directly from this report | |
| Sustainability and Environmental Impact | None arising directly from this report | |
| Health & Safety and Risk Management | None arising from directly this report | |

| LEAD AUTHOR | CONTACT DETAILS | DATE |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Sharon Wadsworth | democracy@fylde.gov.uk 01253 658546 | 7/9/18 |

| BACKGROUND PAPERS | | |
|-------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| Name of document | Date | Where available for inspection |
| Council minutes | 16/4/18 | Town Hall and Website |



DECISION ITEM

| REPORT OF | MEETING | DATE | ITEM NO |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|
| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTORATE | COUNCIL | 22 OCTOBER 2018 | 9 |
| THE FYLDE LOCAL PLAN TO 2032 | | | |

PUBLIC ITEM

This item is for consideration in the public part of the meeting.

SUMMARY

The production of a Local Plan is a key requirement of Local Planning Authorities. Under the plan-led system, having an up-to-date Local Plan in place is crucial to ensuring that the Council can shape the future development of Fylde. Following a process of evidence gathering, public engagement and Examination by a Government Inspector, the final version of the Fylde Local Plan to 2032 (Appendices 1 to 5 of this report) is presented to members with a recommendation for formal adoption as the principal part of the statutory Development Plan for Fylde.

The Local Plan promotes a sustainable pattern of development for the Borough over the plan period to 2032. The development strategy in the Local Plan directs 90% of development to 2032 to four strategic locations: Lytham and St. Annes; the Fylde-Blackpool Periphery; Warton; and Kirkham and Wesham. The Local Plan includes policies to allocate sites for housing and employment for the period to 2032 in accordance with the development strategy. It also includes policies for development management, i.e. for the assessment of planning applications, in relation to a wide range of themes including employment, retail, tourism, green belt, areas of separation, design, landscape, biodiversity, countryside, flood risk and heritage.

The Local Plan has been produced over a series of stages, with a succession of consultations. The soundness consultation immediately prior to submission for Examination resulted in representations from 71 individuals and bodies, over half of these being developers or their agents. This reflects the extensive engagement and consultation that had taken place on the earlier drafts of the Local Plan.

The Local Plan was submitted to the Secretary of State for Examination in December 2016. Hearings took place in March, June and December 2017. The Council has proposed Main Modifications to address concerns raised by the Inspector regarding the soundness of the plan.

The Inspector's Report (Appendix 6 to this report) found that the Local Plan is sound with the Main Modifications incorporated. The Main Modifications made to the Publication Local Plan are set out in the Appendix to the Inspector's Report (pages 39-77 of Appendix 6 to this report).

The Council's Planning Committee has approved each stage of the progress of the Local Plan, including the Publication Version of the Local Plan, consultation, submission to the Secretary of State, the findings of additional evidence, and the Main Modifications that have subsequently been agreed by the Inspector.

The Local Plan, if adopted by the Council, will be a powerful tool in directing development, in requiring a high standard of development, and in resisting development that is inappropriate. It will therefore be critical in shaping Fylde in the future.

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Fylde Local Plan to 2032, including the Policies Map and Inset Maps attached as Appendices 1 to 5 of this report, be Adopted as statutory Development Plan Documents by the Council for use in determining planning applications and consideration of planning enforcement decisions.

SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS DECISIONS

Planning Committee 17th January 2018 – Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the Fylde Local Plan to 2032 – members resolved:

- 1. That authority be delegated to the Head of Planning and Housing and the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of Planning Committee to agree any further changes resulting from continued liaison with the Inspector.
- That the final version of the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications, Proposed Additional Modifications and Proposed Additional Modifications to the Policies Map, will be subject to Sustainability Appraisal and public consultation.

Planning Committee 8th May 2017 - Council's Response to the Local Plan Inspector's Stage 1 Interim Findings – members resolved:

- 1. That the amendments to the plan attached within Appendices 2, 3, 4 and 5 to the report/updated report be approved for inclusion within the Local Plan.
- 2. That the Housing Requirement for Fylde Borough to 2032 is 415 dwellings per annum and that the Local Plan be amended accordingly.
- 3. That delegated authority be given to the Head of Planning and Regeneration in order to further amend Policy DLF1 in line with the suggestions of the Inspector.
- 4. That the wording of Policy H7 is amended (amended wording was attached).

Development Management Committee 30th November 2016 - Submission of the Fylde Local Plan to the Secretary Of State – members agreed the following two recommendations

- 1. That the Publication Version Local Plan, Policies Map and all necessary accompanying documents be submitted to the Secretary of State for Examination.
- 2. That the Statement of Regulation 20 Consultation and the Schedule of Proposed Minor Modifications to the Fylde Publication Version Local Plan be approved for submission, to be included with items under recommendation 1.

Development Management Committee 15th June 2016 – Publication Version of the Fylde Local Plan to 2032 – members agreed the following five recommendations:

- 1. Issue the Publication version of the Fylde Local Plan to 2032 for public consultation on 'soundness' for 6 weeks commencing in August 2016, together with the draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan (the IDP), the draft Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Co-operate and the technical assessments.
- 2. Approve the policies in the housing chapter (Chapter 10: Provision of Homes in Fylde) of the Publication version of the Local Plan for immediate use as 'Interim Housing Policies' for use by the Development Management Committee and for decisions determined under Delegated Authority by the Head of Planning.
- 3. Approve the Masterplan Policy in Chapter 7 (Strategic Locations for Development) of the Publication version of the Local Plan for immediate use by the Development Management Committee and for decisions determined under Delegated Authority by the Head of Planning.
- 4. The Publication Version of the plan be amended to reflect the findings of the revised Gypsies and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment when available.
- 5. That delegated authority is given to the Head of Planning (in consultation with the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Development Management Committee), to make any further amendments to the Publication version of the Local Plan, the Policies Map, the draft IDP and the draft Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Co-operate.

| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | |
|---|---|
| Spending your money in the most efficient way to achieve excellent services (Value for Money) | ٧ |
| Delivering the services that customers expect of an excellent council (Clean and Green) | |
| Working with all partners (Vibrant Economy) | |
| To make sure Fylde continues to be one of the most desirable places to live (A Great Place to Live) | |
| Promoting Fylde as a great destination to visit (A Great Place to Visit) | |

REPORT

THE FYLDE LOCAL PLAN TO 2032

- Local Planning Authorities are required to produce a Local Plan for their area. The Government's objective is
 that the planning system should be genuinely plan-led. The production of an up-to-date Local Plan allows
 councils to set out their strategy for how and where development needs will be met and make detailed
 allocations of land for development, as well as to set out a broad suite of policies for the determination of
 planning applications, to set out the requirements that developers must meet and the types of developments
 that are acceptable.
- 2. If members accept the recommendation above, the new Fylde Local Plan to 2032 will become the most important planning document for Fylde, against which planning applications will be determined. The Local Plan is attached as Appendix 1 to this report. The Local Plan is accompanied by the Policies Map including Inset Plans, which are attached as Appendices 2 to 5 of this report. The report of the Inspector appointed to examine the Local Plan is attached as Appendix 6 to this report.
- 3. Once adopted, the Local Plan will become the principal component (along with the Minerals and Waste Plan produced by Lancashire County Council, the St Anne's on the Sea Neighbourhood Development Plan and the Bryning-with-Warton Neighbourhood Development Plan) of the Development Plan for the Borough. The Development Plan is important as it forms the starting point under planning law for the determination of planning applications.
- 4. The Local Plan includes Strategic and Non-Strategic Allocations for new homes and employment land, leisure, retail, tourism and community use, or a mixture of such uses. The Local Plan also contains Development Management policies, which will inform decisions on planning applications and appeals; together with policies to protect the natural and built environment and heritage assets.
- 5. The Local Plan, once adopted, will supersede the current saved policies of the Fylde Borough Local Plan (As Altered: October 2005). Appendix 1 of the Local Plan sets out the policies in the adopted Fylde Borough Local Plan (As Altered: October 2005) and shows:
 - Policies which will be replaced by policies in this new Fylde Local Plan to 2032 including both Strategic and Non-Strategic Allocations and Development Management policies;
 - Policies which have been superseded by the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework); and
 - Policies which are no longer required.
- 6. Preparation of the Local Plan has fulfilled the requirements set out in the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004, as amended, and the accompanying Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations, 2012; and has followed the guidance set out in the Framework, published in March 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG), issued in March 2014. The revised Framework was issued by the Government in July 2018: this includes a transitional arrangement whereby local plans submitted for Examination on or before 24th January 2019 are examined in relation to the 2012 Framework. The Local Plan therefore has been prepared and Examined in relation to the 2012 Framework.
- 7. The Local Plan is built upon the key principle of sustainable development and its three dimensions: social, economic and environmental. These dimensions give rise to the need for the planning system to perform a number of roles (the Framework 2012, paragraph 7):

- An economic role contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring
 that sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support
 economic growth and innovation; and by identifying and co-ordinating development requirements,
 including the provision of infrastructure;
- A social role supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by providing the supply of housing required to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by creating a high quality built environment, with accessible local services that reflect the community's needs and support its health, social and cultural well-being; and
- An environmental role contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic
 environment; and, as part of this, helping to improve biodiversity, use natural resources prudently,
 minimise waste and pollution, and mitigate and adapt to climate change including moving to a low carbon
 economy.
- 8. The Local Plan will provide the locally-specific part of the statutory Development Plan, whilst the Framework provides the national framework against which all development in Fylde must be assessed. Local Plans must cover the strategic element of the development plan which was previously included in regional strategies and structure plans. The Local Plan will not repeat policies contained in the Framework unless there is a locally specific aspect of the Framework that needs to be clarified.
- 9. Promoting health and wellbeing, equality, viability, achieving good design and sustainability are cross cutting themes promoted through the Framework. The cross cutting themes are integral to all the policies and they run through the Local Plan.
- 10. The Local Plan was prepared in close co-operation with Blackpool Council, Wyre Council and Lancashire County Council, which collectively comprise the Fylde Coast sub-region, together with neighbouring local planning authorities i.e. Preston City Council and other statutory consultees including the Environment Agency and Natural England as part of the Duty to Co-operate on strategic planning issues introduced through the Localism Act 2011 and the Framework (paragraph 178).
- 11. The Local Plan contains a Spatial Portrait (Chapter 2) of how the Borough looks and functions now, together with a Vision for Fylde (Chapter 3) to the end of the plan period, five Strategic Objectives (Chapter 4) and a Development Strategy (Chapter 5). The Vision and the Development Strategy set out how the Council envisages the area will develop over the course of the Local Plan period. It seeks to ensure that new homes, jobs and services required by communities are located in the most sustainable locations and that the mechanisms for delivering the necessary infrastructure, facilities and other development will be provided to make this achievable.
- 12. The Local Plan identifies four Strategic Locations for Development in Chapters 5 and 6 which will be the focus for the level of housing and economic growth required in Fylde up to the end of the plan period. The four Strategic Locations are: Lytham and St Annes; Fylde Blackpool Periphery; Warton; and Kirkham and Wesham. To accommodate the level of development proposed, the Local Plan includes a masterplan policy (policy M1) for all of the Strategic Locations to ensure that new development on strategic sites is planned and delivered in an effective manner. In Chapter 6, the Local Plan allocates strategic and non-strategic sites for development, to provide for identified needs up to 2032. The allocations and allowances will mean that around 90% of development will be located within the four strategic locations, with around 10% located in non-strategic locations: almost all of the latter will be distributed between Freckleton, the three Tier 1 Larger Rural Settlements and the four Tier 2 Smaller Rural Settlements.
- 13. The Local Plan includes General Development Policies in Chapter 7, on the Green Belt, Areas of Separation, development in the countryside, promoting mixed use development, achieving good design in development, demonstrating viability, and contaminated land.
- 14. Chapter 8 on the Fylde Economy includes policies on the overall provision of employment land and existing employment sites; the Lancashire Advanced Engineering and Manufacturing Enterprise Zone at BAE Systems, Warton and Blackpool Airport Enterprise Zone; the retail hierarchy, which is based upon vibrant town, district and local centres; leisure, culture and tourism development; and tourism accommodation.

- 15. Chapter 9 on the Provision of Homes in Fylde includes the housing requirement number; allocation of housing land; density and mix of new residential development, including specialist accommodation for the elderly and custom and self–build homes; conversions and change of use to residential; the provision of Affordable Housing; Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's Sites; isolated new homes in the countryside; and replacements of, and extensions to, existing homes in the countryside.
- 16. Chapter 10 on Health and Wellbeing includes, amongst other things, the provision of community facilities and indoor and outdoor sports facilities.
- 17. Chapter 11 on Infrastructure, Service Provision and Transport focusses on developer contributions and the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL); strategic highway improvements; protection for Warton Aerodrome; safeguarding Blackpool Airport as a viable operational airport; enhancing sustainable transport choice and the provision of parking standards. Parking standards are proposed to be the subject of a separate supplementary planning document (SPD).
- 18. Chapter 12 on Water Resource Management, Flood Risk and Addressing Climate Change focusses on flood alleviation, water quality and water efficiency; surface water run-off and sustainable drainage (SuDs); renewable and low carbon energy generation; decentralised energy networks and district heating systems.
- 19. Chapter 13 on Conserving and Enhancing the Natural, Historic and Built Environment focusses on landscape; biodiversity; protecting existing and providing additional open space and Green Infrastructure; the management and enhancement of open space; and the protection of the historic environment including listed buildings, conservation areas and registered historic parks and gardens.
- 20. A Policies Map including Inset Plans accompanies the Local Plan. The Policies Map and Inset Plans are provided in Appendices 2 to 5 of this report. They show all of the sites allocated for development in the Local Plan, together with areas of constraint including the Green Belt, Areas of Separation, nature conservation sites (biodiversity) and infrastructure projects including the M55 (Junction 4) to Heyhouses (St Annes) Link Road. The Policies Map including Inset Plans has been updated to reflect Main Modifications as set out in the Appendix to the Inspector's report (Appendix 6 to this report).
- 21. It is a statutory requirement and best practice that the Local Plan is subject to several technical assessments during its preparation to ensure that it addresses the specific issues of sustainability, impacts on international sites of nature conservation importance, economic viability, health, equality and impact on rural areas. Therefore, the following technical assessments of the Local Plan were undertaken:
 - Sustainability Appraisal (SA)
 - Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) Screening Report
 - Health Impact Assessment (HIA)
 - Equalities Impact Assessment
 - Rural Proofing Assessment
 - Viability Assessment

STAGES OF PRODUCTION OF THE LOCAL PLAN

- 22. The Local Plan is supported by a broad base of evidence which has been produced and updated throughout the plan preparation process. This evidence relates to a very diverse range of topics, including housing land, employment land, housing need, retailing, open space and sports facilities, landscape, flood risk and transport. The evidence documents are available on the Council's website: a link is provided under the "Background Documents" section below.
- 23. The Council consulted on what should be contained within the Local Plan through a Vision, Issues and Objectives consultation and a separate formal "Regulation 25" consultation in 2011. A wide range of consultation events were held to bring forward the views of the public. The result was the Local Plan Vision, and identification of a series of issues which were then used to develop the five Strategic Objectives in Chapter 4 of the Local Plan.
- 24. The Council consulted in 2012 on the Issues and Options document. The consultation document set out five alternative spatial options and 29 policy options for the future development of the Borough. The options

- were designed to address the key policy issues of the Borough, including the overall distribution of development.
- 25. The Council then produced a Preferred Option document, responding to the issues raised in the Issues and Options consultation. This was a document that would have become a Core Strategy, rather than a full Local Plan, and only included strategic site allocation proposals. A full consultation was undertaken on this document.
- 26. The decision was then taken that a full Local Plan would be produced, rather than the initial strategic document that had been the Preferred Option. The advantage of this is that only a single Local Plan would need to be submitted to the Secretary of State, rather than having to undertake the plan-making process and Examination in Public effectively twice. The result was the Revised Preferred Option (RPO) Local Plan. This was the initial full draft of the Local Plan as it is now presented. The RPO was published for consultation in October to December 2015: there were responses from 208 respondents, plus a petition containing 32 signatories.
- 27. Following amendments prompted by the consultation on the Revised Preferred Option, and amendments to the housing chapter to reflect updated legislation, the Publication Version was produced for the purpose of submission for Examination. The Council's Development Management Committee approved the Publication Version Local Plan for consultation on 15th June 2016. The pre-submission consultation in August-September 2016, required under Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, produced representations from 71 individuals or bodies.
- 28. The Local Plan was then submitted in December 2016 to the Secretary of State for Examination, along with the technical assessments listed above, evidence documents, consultation statements and the representations made in the consultation.

EXAMINATION OF THE LOCAL PLAN

- 29. Following submission, the Inspector, Mrs Yvonne Wright, was appointed to conduct the Examination in Public of the Local Plan. The purpose of the Examination is to assess whether the Local Plan has been prepared in accordance with all legal requirements, and whether it is sound. The tests of soundness are set out in the Framework, and are repeated for reference in the Local Plan (Appendix 1 to this report, paragraph 1.28). All of the documents that formed part of the Examination process are available on the Council's website: a link is provided under the "Background Documents" section below.
- 30. The Examination in Public of the Local Plan took place over three sessions of hearings. The initial hearings into the legal compliance and the soundness of the Local Plan were held in March 2017; this series of hearings was restricted by the Inspector to the housing requirement and development strategy, and to the legal tests, particularly the Duty to Cooperate which it was alleged by Wyre Council and others that the Council had failed to comply with. Following the stage 1 hearings the Inspector issued a letter providing interim findings that the Council had complied with the Duty to Cooperate and therefore that the Examination in Public could proceed to further hearings. The letter also indicated that a further update of housing evidence was required, to reflect the recently-published 2014-based Household Projections. The Council agreed to update its evidence and commissioned consultants to produce an Addendum to the housing evidence and projections for jobs growth. The conclusions from this resulted in a change to the housing requirement figure. The Council's Planning Committee accepted a revised housing requirement figure of 415 dwellings per annum on 8th May 2017 and the Council proposed modifications to the Local Plan to reflect this.
- 31. Following the satisfactory conclusion of the stage 1 hearings in relation to the Duty to Cooperate, the stage 2 hearings took place in June 2017, to discuss further the remaining policies of the Local Plan. A number of further Main Modifications were identified to be necessary to make the Local Plan sound, as a result of the proceedings in the hearing sessions.
- 32. At the end of the stage 2 hearing sessions the Inspector noted that the new evidence that had been introduced relating to the housing need and jobs growth, and an update to evidence relating to housing land supply, gypsies and travellers and the settlement hierarchy, had not been subject to consultation. It was therefore necessary to consult on these: this took place in August-September 2017. The evidence and the

- Council's response to it were challenged by a number of representors; the Inspector therefore required a further stage of hearings.
- 33. The stage 3 hearings took place in December 2017, this being examination of the revised housing requirement of 415 dwellings per annum, the settlement hierarchy (in particular the position of Elswick and Wrea Green in the hierarchy) and the housing land supply.
- 34. Following the conclusion of the hearings, a complete draft of Main Modifications was prepared, to resolve matters where the Inspector had noted potential issues with the soundness of the Local Plan as originally drafted. The Main Modifications were considered by the Planning Committee on 17th January 2018 and accepted; they were then issued for a consultation in February-March 2018. The results of the consultation were provided to the Inspector in April 2018. In addition to the Main Modifications, the Council has also made Additional Modifications to the Local Plan. These are changes that are of a more minor nature and do not materially affect the policies set out in the Fylde Local Plan. Additional Modifications mainly relate to points where a need has been identified to clarify the text, include updated facts, or make typographical or grammatical revisions which improve the readability of the Local Plan.
- 35. The Inspector's Report on the Examination of the Local Plan is attached as Appendix 6 to this report. The Inspector has found that the Local Plan is legally compliant, and is sound providing that the Main Modifications shown in the accompanying schedule are made.

HOW THE LOCAL PLAN WILL BENEFIT THE BOROUGH OF FYLDE

- 36. If Members accept the recommendation, the Local Plan will become the principal part of the statutory Development Plan for Fylde. Planning law¹ requires that planning decisions must be in accordance with the Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The Local Plan will therefore be a powerful tool for the Council in determining the shape of the Borough in the future.
- 37. The adoption of the Local Plan will provide the Council's endorsement of the findings of the Local Plan Inspector. The Inspector's finding that the Local Plan is sound will ensure that the Council is able to restrict development to proposals that are in accordance with the Local Plan. In particular:
 - Confirmation that the objectively assessed need for housing over the plan period will be met through a
 housing requirement figure of 415 net additional homes per annum, contrary to the arguments of some
 representors for a higher figure;
 - Confirmation that the Council's five-year housing land supply should be assessed using the "Liverpool" approach, i.e. spreading shortfall in the number of homes delivered from the early years (2011-2015) over the whole of the remainder of the plan period to 2032. This means that the Council can comfortably demonstrate a five-years' supply of deliverable land, which will prevent challenges at appeal by promotors of less suitable sites being successful;
 - Confirmation of the Council's development strategy which directs most development to the four most sustainable locations, allowing the Council to maintain restriction on development at less sustainable locations and direct development in accordance with the Local Plan.
- 38. Members are therefore invited to adopt the Fylde Local Plan to 2032 as a Development Plan Document.
- 39. The introduction of the new Framework 2018 will mean that the policies of the Local Plan will need to be reviewed to ensure that they accord with the new Framework 2018. In addition, the Framework 2018 requires that Local Plans are reviewed within five years. Therefore, once the Local Plan is adopted, the imperative of ensuring it remains up-to-date through regular reviews will continue.

_

¹ Sn 38(6) Town & Country Planning Act 1990

| IMPLICATIONS | | |
|---|--|--|
| Finance | There are no financial implications arising directly from this report | |
| Legal | The Fylde Local Plan to 2032 will replace the saved policies of the 2005 Fylde Borough Local Plan as part of the statutory development plan for the area. Because the plan will be up-to-date, its policies will be more robustly defensible in planning appeals. | |
| Community Safety | Local Plan policy will require that new developments create safe and secure environments | |
| Human Rights and Equalities | The Fylde Local Plan to 2032 has been subject to Equalities Impact Assessment | |
| Sustainability and Environmental Impact | The Local Plan has been subject to Sustainability Appraisal. Modifications to the plan proposed by the Inspector have also been subject to Sustainability Appraisal. The Local Plan has been subject to Habitats Regulations Assessment to assess for any likely significant effects on Internationally protected sites. Where likely significant effects arising from a policy or allocation of a site could not be ruled out without mitigation, Appropriate Assessment has been undertaken, which demonstrates that the Local Plan would have no effect on the integrity of the designated sites. | |
| Health & Safety and Risk Management | None | |

| LEAD AUTHOR | CONTACT DETAILS | DATE |
|----------------|--|------------------------------|
| Julie Glaister | julie.glaister@fylde.gov.uk 01253 658687 | 3 rd October 2018 |

| BACKGROUND PAPERS | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Name of document | Date | Where available for inspection |
| Local Plan Submission documents | August 2016 | http://www.fylde.gov.uk/council/planning-policy local-plan-/local-development-framework/www-fylde- gov-uk-submission/ |
| Local Plan Examination document library | January 2017 – July 2018 | http://www.fylde.gov.uk/council/planning-policy local-plan-/www-fylde-gov-uk-examination/ |
| Local Plan Evidence Base | Up to August 2016 | http://www.fylde.gov.uk/council/planning-policy local-plan-/local-development- framework/comprehensivelistofevidence/ |

Link to Supporting documents

Appendix 1: The Fylde Local Plan to 2032

Appendix 2: Policies Map

Appendix 3: <u>Kirkham Inset Map</u>
Appendix 4: <u>St. Annes Inset Map</u>
Appendix 5: <u>Lytham Inset Map</u>

Appendix 6: Inspector's Report including Appendix

3J Hawk Wing Temple Quay House 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN Direct Line: 0303 444 5412 Customer Services: 0303 444 5000

e-mail: Stephen.davies@pins.gsi.gov.uk

Fylde Council
Sally Thompson – Forward
Planning
Town Hall
Lytham St Annes
Lancashire
FY8 1LW

Our Ref: PINS/M2325/429/1

Date: 18 Sep 2018

Dear Sally,

FYLDE COUNCIL: FINAL REPORT

Thank you for your letter. The Inspector has considered your comments in response to the fact check of the report on the local plan and has amended where appropriate. . In response to two of the points raised can you please forward the following response:

- As Inspector examined the 'Fylde Council Local Plan to 2032' as set out on the title page of the submission version of the Plan,she's used that term within the report. The Council can give the Plan a different title at adoption this does not need to be reflected in Inspector's report.
- Whilst the glossary changes are not necessary for soundness they can still be made by the Council at adoption as additional modifications.

Clearly it is now for the Council to adopt the Document at its discretion. The Inspectorate maintains a national database of Local Plans and therefore please advise the Plans Team when you adopt in order that your plan status can be updated.

We will contact you shortly to provide us with a Purchase Order Number so that we can include it on your invoice. Both the fees and expenses will be payable for all duties carried out in examining your Local Plan.

The Council should consider whether adoption could have any effect on appeals currently being considered by the Planning Inspectorate. As you know, appeals must be determined on the basis of the development plan as it exists at the time of the Inspector's (or the Secretary of State's) decision, not as it was at the time of the Council's decision. If adoption changes the policy position, the relevant Inspector(s) will need to take that into account. In addition, please ensure that



Item 9 - Appendix 6 your new policy position is clearly explained when submitting your Questionnaire in relation to future appeals received after adoption.

If the above circumstances apply, it would be very helpful if the Council could contact the relevant Case Officer(s) in the Planning Inspectorate dealing with any outstanding case(s) at the time of adoption.

Yours sincerely

Bart Bartkowiak Plans Team

Report to Fylde Borough Council

by Yvonne Wright BSC (Hons) DipTP MSc DMS MRTPI an Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State Date 18 September 2018

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004

(as amended)

Section 20

Report on the Examination of the Fylde Council Local Plan to 2032

The Plan was submitted for examination on 9 December 2016

The examination hearings were held between 28 March 2017 and 13 December 2017

File Ref: PINS/M2325/429/1

Abbreviations used in this report

AA Appropriate Assessment

DCLG Department for Communities and Local Government

dpa Dwellings per annum DtC Duty to co-operate

ELPS Employment Land and Premises Study

EZ Enterprise zone

GTAA Gypsy, traveller and travelling showpeople accommodation

assessment

HIA Health impact assessment HMA Housing market area

HRA Habitats regulations assessment

LCC Lancashire County Council

MM Main modification

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework

OAN Objectively assessed need ONS Office for National Statistics PPG Planning Practice Guidance

PPTS Planning Policy for Traveller Sites

SA Sustainability appraisal

SCI Statement of community involvement
SHBP Settlement Hierarchy Background Paper
SHMA Strategic Housing Market Assessment
SLD Strategic Location for Development
SNHP Sub-national household projections
SNPP Sub-national population projections

Non-Technical Summary

This report concludes that the Fylde Council Local Plan (the Plan) provides an appropriate basis for the planning of the Borough, provided that a number of main modifications [MMs] are made to it. Fylde Borough Council has specifically requested me to recommend any MMs necessary to enable the Plan to be adopted.

The MMs all concern matters that were discussed at the examination hearings. Following the hearings, the Council prepared schedules of the proposed modifications and carried out sustainability appraisal of them. The MMs were subject to public consultation over a six-week period. In some cases I have amended their detailed wording and/or added consequential modifications where necessary. I have recommended their inclusion in the Plan after considering all the representations made in response to consultation on them.

The Main Modifications can be summarised as follows:

- Updating the objectively assessed need and housing requirement to reflect the most up to date household projections;
- Making clear on what basis the 5 year housing supply will be calculated;
- More effectively setting out the development strategy to identify the amount of development to be delivered within the strategic and nonstrategic locations;
- Ensuring that the components of housing land supply are up to date including the allocation of new sites with planning permission;
- Updating the requirement for gypsy and traveller pitches to reflect up to date evidence;
- Clarifying the purpose of areas of separation and amending how development within them will be determined;
- Including the provision of a new local retail centre at Whyndyke;
- Removing the requirement that new homes comply with all optional national technical standards;
- Amending the strategic and generic policies so that they are positively prepared, consistent with each other and national policy and clear to the decision maker; and
- Ensuring that the monitoring framework is clear and effective.

Introduction

- 1. This report contains my assessment of the Fylde Council Local Plan (the Plan) in terms of Section 20(5) of the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended). It considers first whether the Plan's preparation has complied with the duty to co-operate. It then considers whether the Plan is sound and whether it is compliant with the legal requirements. The National Planning Policy Framework 2012 (the Framework) (paragraph 182) makes it clear that in order to be sound, a Local Plan should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. The revised National Planning Policy Framework was published in July 2018. It includes a transitional arrangement in paragraph 214 whereby, for the purpose of examining this Plan, the policies in the 2012 Framework will apply. Unless stated otherwise, references in this report are to the 2012 Framework.
- 2. The starting point for the examination is the assumption that the local planning authority has submitted what it considers to be a sound Plan. The Fylde Council Local Plan to 2032, submitted in December 2016 is the basis for my examination. It is the same document as was published for consultation in August 2016.

Main Modifications

- In accordance with section 20(7C) of the 2004 Act the Council requested that I should recommend any main modifications [MMs] necessary to rectify matters that make the Plan unsound and thus incapable of being adopted. My report explains why the recommended MMs, all of which relate to matters that were discussed at the examination hearings, are necessary. The MMs are referenced in bold in the report in the form MM1, MM2, MM3 etc, and are set out in full in the Appendix.
- 4. Following the examination hearings, the Council prepared a schedule of proposed MMs and carried out sustainability appraisal of them. The MM schedule was subject to public consultation for six weeks. I have taken account of the consultation responses in coming to my conclusions in this report and in this light I have made some amendments to the detailed wording of the main modifications and added consequential modifications where these are necessary for consistency or clarity. None of the amendments significantly alters the content of the modifications as published for consultation or undermines the participatory processes and sustainability appraisal that has been undertaken. Where necessary I have highlighted these amendments in the report.

Policies Map

5. The Council must maintain an adopted policies map which illustrates geographically the application of the policies in the adopted development plan. When submitting a local plan for examination, the Council is required to provide a submission policies map showing the changes to the adopted policies map that would result from the proposals in the submitted local plan. In this case, the submission policies map comprises the Fylde Council Local Plan to 2032 Policies Map and associated inset maps (SD002a-d).

- 6. The policies map is not defined in statute as a development plan document and so I do not have the power to recommend main modifications to it. However, a number of the published MMs to the Plan's policies require further corresponding changes to be made to the policies map. Examples include where amendments and additions have been made to the site allocations under Policies SL1-SL5.
- 7. These further changes to the policies map were published for consultation alongside the MMs (EL10.005).
- 8. When the Plan is adopted, in order to comply with the legislation and give effect to the Plan's policies, the Council will need to update the adopted policies map to include the changes published alongside the MMs.

Interim Findings

- 9. Stage 1 hearings discussed strategic matters of soundness and legal compliance including duty to co-operate. On 11 April 2017, I issued a letter (EL5.003a) setting out my interim findings specifically on the duty to co-operate. This took account of all the relevant representations made and evidence submitted at the time of the Plan's preparation. My full reasoning for this is set out below under the section 'Assessment of Duty to Co-operate'.
- 10. My letter also recommended that the Council should carry out additional work in respect of the objective assessment of housing need to take account of the ONS 2014-based sub-national population projections (SNPP) and the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) 2014-based sub-national household projections (SNHP). In response, the Council commissioned consultants to produce a further addendum to the SHMA (Addendum 3) and an Independent Assessment of the Economic Prospects of Fylde (EL5.003f). The Council also produced an updated housing land supply trajectory at the same time (EL5.003g).
- 11. Between 3 August 2017 and 14 September 2017, the Council consulted on this additional housing evidence along with other new evidence on the settlement hierarchy and gypsy and traveller accommodation assessment (EL7.002). Stage 3 hearings were held in December 2017 to consider the main issues related to these matters.
- 12. Further consultation was carried out on the schedule of proposed main modifications (EL10.002) and the addendum to the sustainability appraisal report (EL10.003) during February and March 2018, and I have taken account of all the representations made.

Consultation

13. Concern has been expressed about the Council's pre-submission consultation processes. Whilst considerable changes were made by the Council between different versions of the Plan this followed and was in response to significant periods of public consultation. This was carried out in accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) (BD093). Overall I am satisfied that the Council has carried out widespread public consultation on the Plan throughout its various stages and including the main

modifications. This has given residents and other interested parties an adequate opportunity to express their views. The consultation has met the legal requirements set out in the Regulations.

Assessment of Duty to Co-operate

- 14. Section 20(5)(c) of the 2004 Act requires that I consider whether the Council complied with any duty imposed on it by section 33A in respect of the Plan's preparation.
- 15. The Council's Statement of Compliance with the Duty to Co-operate (SD007) sets out the nature of the joint working which has been carried out in the preparation of the Plan. It identifies those aspects of the Plan that are considered by the Council to be strategic matters including homes and jobs; retail, leisure and other commercial development; infrastructure; health, security, community and cultural infrastructure and climate change and the natural and historic environment.
- 16. The statement also highlights a number of joint evidence bases on key matters within the wider Fylde Coast area including the Fylde Coast Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (ED021) and subsequent Addendums (ED022 and ED023); the Fylde Coast Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (ED020) and the subsequent update (EL1.002); The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (SD003) and the Fylde Coast Retail Study (ED040).
- 17. The evidence details engagement with relevant bodies prescribed in Regulation 4 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Plans) (England) Regulations 2012, including Historic England, Environment Agency, Natural England and neighbouring authorities. As regards the latter, there is a *Memorandum of Understanding* (Appendix 2 to SD007) between the four Fylde Coast authorities of Fylde, Blackpool, Wyre and Lancashire County Council. This formalises how these authorities work together on strategic issues and details the governance arrangements.
- 18. As a result of the joint working, Fylde Borough has formally agreed to accommodate 14ha of land for employment purposes, which is identified as necessary to meet Blackpool's requirements but which cannot be accommodated in Blackpool.
- 19. Whilst there have been disagreements between the housing market area (HMA) authorities over potential unmet needs, this is a soundness issue which I refer to later within my report under issue 2. I am satisfied that the Council has engaged actively and constructively with Wyre Council in relation to this issue in preparing the Plan.
- 20. Taking the above into account I conclude overall that the Council has engaged constructively, actively and on an on-going basis in the preparation of the Plan and that the duty to co-operate has therefore been met.

Assessment of Soundness

Background

21. A number of representations on the Plan highlight the merits of alternative or omission sites, i.e. those sites that are not allocated within the Plan. However the purpose of the examination is to assess the soundness of the submitted Plan. As such the focus of my report in relation to sites is on whether the site selection process is sound and whether the site allocations will meet the development requirements. My report therefore does not consider the merits of other alternative sites.

Main Issues

22. Taking account of all the representations, the written evidence and the discussions that took place at the examination hearings I have identified 8 main issues upon which the soundness of the Plan depends. Under these headings my report deals with the main matters of soundness rather than responding to every point raised by representors.

Issue 1 – Whether the spatial strategy has been positively prepared and is soundly based and justified, presenting a clear vision and strategy for the Borough in accordance with national policy.

- 23. The Plan sets out the vision of where the Council would like Fylde to be at the end of the Plan period in 2032. It presents a clear positive statement for Fylde from which the development strategy and policies within the Plan flow. It is not necessary for the vision to address in detail every part of the Borough or reference every specific issue or opportunity. Changes are not necessary for soundness.
- 24. Policy S1 identifies the settlement hierarchy and Policy DLF1 sets out the development locations. It identifies the significant constraints to development in Fylde which includes its coastal location, Green Belt, European and national nature conservation sites and other natural and built heritage assets. The spatial strategy is not dependent on development within these areas.

Settlement Hierarchy

- 25. The settlement hierarchy in Policy S1 has been determined according to the size of population and level of services and facilities within any given settlement. The methodology used for this assessment is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Background Paper (SHBP) (ED002), along with the results for each settlement.
- 26. I recognise that the criteria and scoring system used in the Council's methodology are very detailed, but the approach is not unusual or unreasonable. Indeed the Council confirms that the methodology is based on that used for the former North West of England Regional Spatial Strategy. The scoring of the settlements is inevitably a snapshot in time and the SHBP acknowledges that service provision may fluctuate within a settlement which could affect its overall score. However this does not necessarily mean that the settlement hierarchy itself would alter as such changes would need to be

assessed in the context of other settlements within the area. This could have implications for the methodology as a whole which would be a matter for the Council to consider as part of a review of the Plan.

- 27. It is clear from the settlement hierarchy assessment that Lytham (including Ansdell), St Annes and Kirkham have the highest population levels within the Borough and the largest range of services and community facilities. Their inclusion within Policy S1 as the top tier Key Service Centres is therefore justified.
- 28. The Local Service Centres serve specific local communities. Two existing centres are identified at Freckleton and Wesham. Warton is identified to have improved services in support of the planned growth within this strategic location. Two new Local Service Centres are identified at Whitehills and Whyndyke to support the significant development planned. The designation of these Local Service Centres is justified.
- 29. Rural settlements within the hierarchy are split into Tier 1: Larger Rural Settlements and Tier 2: Smaller Rural Settlements. The Tier 1 settlements comprise the sustainable communities of Newton, Staining and Wrea Green. Singleton, Weeton, Elswick and Clifton are defined as Tier 2 rural settlements.
- 30. It has been suggested that some of these rural settlements have been either under or over-scored within the assessment, in particular Wrea Green and Elswick. Queries have been raised about the basis on which services were scored including the level of bus service provision. During the Examination the Council re-visited the scores for these two settlements and produced a Settlement Hierarchy Note dated July 2017 (Annex 3 of EL7.002).
- 31. In relation to Elswick the Council confirms that the SHBP took account of the bus services that were in operation at the time of the assessment (March 2016) and the resultant score of 2 within the assessment is correct. Indeed the Council's updated note indicates that due to the withdrawal of Lancashire County Council (LCC) subsidies, bus service provision within the settlement has since reduced which results in a lower score of 1 in this regard. However even were this to be applied this would not alter the position of Elswick within the hierarchy as a Tier 2 rural settlement.
- 32. As regards queries about the score of 0 for school provision for Elswick, I note that the primary school (Great Eccleston Copp C of E school) is located outside and away from the settlement, around 1200 metres from its centre and is accessed in part via a narrow 1 metre wide footway along a busy road with a 40mph speed limit. This does not meet the settlement hierarchy criteria of being within an acceptable walking distance (defined as 800m) and therefore the score in the SHBP is appropriate. Furthermore I note that the total score for Elswick is below that of Singleton and Weeton which are designated as Tier 2 rural settlements so its position within the hierarchy appears reasonable.
- 33. My attention has been drawn to three recent appeals which have allowed housing within or on the edge of Elswick (EL4.010-EL4.012). Whilst I note the findings of the Inspectors for these appeals, they state that the

- settlement hierarchy is a matter for the local plan examination. The Council does not dispute that Elswick is a sustainable settlement, but this is within the context of its position within the hierarchy.
- 34. Bus provision in Wrea Green at the time of the assessment was also taken fully into account and the score of 1 within the assessment is therefore appropriate. The effects of the subsidy withdrawals since then have resulted in a reduced service, but the Council confirms that this would not affect the score of 1 as applied under the SHBP methodology.
- 35. Within the SHBP the total score for Wrea Green (21) is higher than Warton (18) which is proposed to be a Local Service Centre. Nevertheless this does not justify designating Wrea Green to the same settlement level, as Warton is clearly identified as a new Local Service Centre to be developed during the Plan period. The assessment is based on the services prevalent in March 2016 and does not take account of the future planned development.
- 36. Other settlements within Fylde are not defined in the hierarchy and are considered to be within the countryside. The Plan provides some provision for development within the countryside, subject to meeting specific policy criteria as set out in Policies GD2, GD3 and GD4. These policies are discussed later in my report. Nonetheless **MM5** is necessary so that Policy S1 refers to these policies to ensure consistency within the Plan in relation to development within the rural areas. Overall I conclude that, subject to this modification the settlement hierarchy set out in Policy S1 is justified.

Development Strategy

- 37. The development strategy within the Plan seeks to direct most development towards four Strategic Locations for Development (SLDs) at Lytham and St Annes; Fylde-Blackpool Periphery; Warton; and Kirkham and Wesham. These SLDs are defined within Policy DLF1 and based on the evidence I concur that these are the most sustainable locations within the Borough. Development of the strategic sites at these locations is key to ensuring that the development strategy is achieved.
- 38. Whilst the overall strategy to focus development within the SLDs is justified, to be positively prepared and effective the policy needs to identify the distribution of housing and employment growth to be met within the SLDs and elsewhere within the Borough. This is currently set out within the supporting text of the Plan. The policy also rather confusingly uses similar terms including strategic locations for development, strategic sites, non-strategic locations and non-strategic development sites, all of which are not clearly defined within the policy or the Plan as a whole.
- 39. The Council has therefore suggested amendments to the policy and supporting text, to include a revised distribution of development for housing and clarify the terminology used. Against the Council's revised housing requirement of 8,715 for the Plan period, a figure which I discuss later in my report, they propose that 7,845 of dwellings will be developed within the SLDs and 870 in the non-strategic locations to meet this.

- 40. Amendments to Policy DLF1 and its supporting text should be made as set out in MM6 and MM7. I also recommend the addition of 'around' prior to the dwelling number in MM6, to allow a degree of flexibility in line with national policy. I also recommend within these modifications including the distribution of employment development within Policy DLF1. Whilst this was not included within the Council's proposed schedule of main modifications, it accords with the employment land requirement and the supply set out in Policies SL1 to SL5. Accordingly this amendment does not change the substance of what is proposed within the Plan and is a consequential change to ensure there is consistency between policies.
- 41. Whilst the policy also sets out the housing and employment land requirements to be developed during the Plan period, I discuss the soundness of these figures later in my report.

Conclusion

42. In conclusion, subject to the above main modifications, the spatial strategy has been positively prepared and is soundly based and justified, presenting a clear strategy for the Borough in accordance with the Plan's vision.

Issue 2 – Whether the identified objectively assessed housing need is soundly based, supported by robust and credible evidence and is consistent with national policy

Household projections

- 43. The objectively assessed housing need (OAN) for the Borough on which the submitted Plan is based (a range of 300–420 dwellings per annum (dpa)) was established through the 2014 Fylde Coast Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (ED021) and its two addendums (ED022 and ED023).
- 44. The SHMA provided an assessment of OAN across the three Fylde Coast authorities of Fylde, Wyre and Blackpool. It identified that these three authorities form a single HMA within which are more locally defined markets which broadly equate to the boundaries of each Borough. It used the 2010 based projections, re-based to account for the 2011 Census population data and provided an OAN for the HMA as a whole as well as each Borough.
- 45. Following the publication of the ONS 2012-based SNPP dataset in 2014, addendum 1 was prepared to consider the implications of the updated projections on the range of needs set out in the SHMA. It did not update the OAN. The second addendum was produced in 2015 following the release of the DCLG 2012-based SNHP. Addendum 2 recommended that the OAN range was updated to reflect a higher upper end of the range of 440 to 450 dpa instead of 420.
- 46. The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) indicates that the starting point for the assessment of OAN should be the latest evidence wherever possible. The Government published 2014-based population and household projections in July 2016, which was prior to the submission of the Plan for examination.
- 47. At my request, the Council considered the implications of these projections for the OAN by commissioning and then consulting on a third addendum to

- the SHMA and an update of economic projections as set out in the interim findings section of my report above.
- 48. The 2014-based SNHP indicates a need for 274 dwellings per annum following the application of a vacancy rate, which is higher than the 2012-based figure of 237 dpa. Based on the evidence that is before me this is a reasonable starting point.
- 49. The scenarios used within the SHMA addendum assessments include migration-led and employment-led alternatives which have not been significantly challenged and I agree that they are robust.

Demographic need adjustment and market signals

- 50. The PPG advises that plan-makers may consider sensitivity testing the household projections based on locally specific assumptions and adjust them accordingly.
- 51. Addendum 3 confirms that the 2014-based household projections include an assumption that household formation rates of younger households (aged 15 to 35) will continue to fall below those seen in 2001. In order to respond positively to address this, there is a need to apply an upward adjustment which assumes a recovery in the household formation rates for younger households to levels seen in 2001. This adjustment results in a minimum demographic need for 351 dwellings per annum in Fylde over the plan period (2011 2032). This is some 77 dwellings per annum higher than the 'starting point' projection of the 2014-based SNHP, and represents a 28% upward adjustment. This increase is justified because the evidence indicates that the suppression of younger household formation is at least partially associated with the worsening affordability and historic undersupply of housing in the borough.
- 52. The PPG also advises local authorities to consider an uplift on the demographic need if there are worsening trends in market signals, including house prices, rents, affordability, rate of development, land prices and overcrowding.
- 53. Addendum 3 concludes that overall there has been some worsening in market signals, primarily in relation to house prices and affordability, albeit that the impact may be less pronounced than in other comparator areas. Furthermore they are generally at a lower level than the national indicators. On this basis I agree with the report's conclusions that a modest 10% uplift to the adjusted household projection of 351 dpa is a reasonable response. This results in a need for 386 dpa.

Economic growth

54. The PPG highlights the importance of aligning the provision of housing need with future jobs growth. The 2013 SHMA included a series of projections for each authority which were 'employment-led'. For Fylde, these aligned levels of population change, based on a derived labour-force, with a level of job growth taken from a number of sourced economic forecasts. Within the assessments a number of modelling assumptions were applied related to commuting, unemployment and economic activity rates. No-one has

significantly challenged this methodology. The scenarios were carried forward and updated in the SHMA addendums to reflect more recent datasets.

- 55. The most recent addendum 3 update concludes that the level of future employment growth in Fylde will lie in the range of 55 to 91 jobs per year (about 900 to 1,500 additional jobs) over the remainder of the Plan period (2015 2032). Whilst this range is fairly broad it reflects the different assumptions and uncertainties within the economic forecasts and provides for fluctuations in job losses and job gains. Furthermore even at the lower level of 55 new jobs per year this would still be above the historic annual rate of around 40 additional jobs created between 1991 and 2015.
- 56. It has also been suggested that the OAN should be significantly higher to take account of the designated Enterprise Zones (EZs) at Blackpool airport and Warton aerodrome (which forms part of the Lancashire Enterprise Zone). However, I have not seen definitive evidence of firm plans, timescales and likely jobs numbers to be created at these EZs and therefore an increase in the OAN in respect of the EZs is not justified. Indeed some representors suggest that because of the lack of firm proposals on delivering economic growth and jobs at the EZs, the need for housing in Fylde should be significantly reduced. However the OAN and economic forecasting carried out for the Council do not take account of jobs growth at the enterprise zones and the Plan's economic strategy is not dependent on them coming forward. As such no reduction in the OAN is justified in this regard either. A reasonable approach is to review this matter when more concrete evidence becomes available or at the 5 year review of the Plan, whichever is soonest.
- 57. I note that there are anticipated job losses within the area, particularly at Warton (BAE Systems). However the timing and exact level of these and any other specific job losses are uncertain. It would therefore be inappropriate to take account of each one-off event within the projections.
- 58. The resultant uplift to take account of the future jobs growth of 55 to 91 jobs per year over the remainder of the Plan period, when added to the other adjustments, would result in an OAN range of between 410 and 430 dpa. Whilst a higher range was suggested within addendum 2, the latest figures are based on the most up to date analysis and evidence, which for the reasons detailed above I conclude is proportionate and robust.

Affordable housing needs

- 59. The 2013 SHMA identified a need for 207 affordable homes per annum. This was updated to 249 dpa in the Addendum 1 report.
- 60. Whilst it has been suggested that the needs identified are too high, this has not been convincingly evidenced in detail. Indeed as the definitions and method for assessing affordable housing needs as used within the SHMA and its addendum follow those set out within the PPG, the resultant need identified is robust.
- 61. It has also been suggested that an uplift should be applied to the OAN to reflect the need for affordable housing. It is unlikely that the full identified need will be delivered through provisions set out within the Plan. Based on

the Council's proposed affordable housing target of 30% for relevant new residential developments, this would require more than 800 dwellings per annum to be delivered to achieve the affordable housing figure in full. I agree with the Council that this is completely unrealistic, bearing in mind that the OAN is based on a robust assessment of the number of people likely to want or need to live in the Borough and that between 1991 and 2017 the highest number of dwellings constructed was 502 in 1992/93.

62. Moreover, provision against the revised OAN would in itself represent a significant uplift on historic rates of affordable housing completions, noting that in 2015/16 fewer than 40 affordable dwellings were delivered. Providing 410-430 dpa would contribute towards meeting a significant proportion of the affordable housing need identified, providing around 120-130 dwellings per annum.

Conclusion

63. In conclusion, the updated OAN range of 410-430 dpa across the Plan period, is a soundly based figure for the objectively-assessed need for housing in Fylde. It includes a demographic needs adjustment, an uplift for market signals and aligns with the Council's economic strategy. As such this needs to be clearly reflected in the Plan to ensure that it is sound. **MM39** achieves this.

Issue 3 -Whether the identified housing requirement is justified and whether the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for the supply and delivery of housing development

Housing requirement

- 64. The housing requirement within the Plan is set out as a minimum of 7,768 dwellings over the Plan period, as defined in Policies DLF1 and H1. The latter policy also sets out the figure as a minimum annual requirement of 370 dpa. However this requirement reflects the OAN derived from the 2012-based SNPP and SNHP projections. However, taking into account the most up to date 2014-based evidence on OAN a higher housing requirement is necessary for the Plan to be positively prepared.
- 65. The Council considers that the revised housing requirement should be a minimum of 8,715 dwellings over the Plan period which equates to 415 dpa. Whilst it has been argued that the figure should be higher to reflect the top of the OAN range (430 dpa) this would only be 15 dwellings extra per year which over the 21 years of the Plan would be 315 dwellings. This is only a small proportion of the overall OAN figure, representing a difference of just 3.6% over the Plan period. Furthermore given the different assumptions and inherent uncertainties within the SHMA forecasts it is appropriate to set the housing requirement as a figure that is towards the middle of the range. In this context a difference of 3.6% is not significant.
- 66. Moreover the Plan clearly indicates that the housing requirement figure is not a ceiling to the delivery of more housing. The figure clearly lies within the defined OAN range. Furthermore it would represent a significant uplift of about 51% from the baseline figure of 274 dpa and around 18% higher than the adjusted demographic need. It would also be almost 50% higher than

- the historic rate of housing delivery within Fylde between 2003 and 2016 which was on average around 210 dpa.
- 67. Overall I conclude that the revised housing requirement figure of 415 dpa is based on robust evidence and would have the effect of significantly boosting the supply of housing in order to meet the needs of the area. It is therefore justified.
- 68. Therefore to ensure the Plan is positively prepared by reflecting the updated evidence, **MM6**, **MM38** and **MM39** are necessary so that Policies DLF1 and H1 and the supporting text refer to a minimum housing requirement of 8,715 dwellings (415 dpa). These changes are necessary for soundness.
- 69. It has also been argued that the housing requirement should be increased in Fylde to take account of unmet housing needs within the Fylde Coast housing market area (HMA), specifically in Wyre. In my interim findings I acknowledged that there was a possibility that Wyre Council may not be able to meet all of its objectively assessed housing need within its own administrative area. However I note that since then the Local Plan for Wyre has been submitted for examination where the assessment of need, the capacity to meet that need and how any potential unmet need would be dealt with will be considered. There is therefore insufficient evidence available at this time to ascertain with any degree of certainty whether there will be an unmet need, its level if there is one and the proportion, if any, that may need to be accommodated in Fylde.
- 70. Even so, given the importance of meeting housing needs across the HMA, it is necessary for reasons of effectiveness, for the Council to more clearly set out within the Plan how it will deal with this issue, should any unmet needs in neighbouring authorities be identified [MM1].

Housing supply (site selection process, site allocations and the housing trajectory)

- 71. The majority of housing sites identified within the submitted Plan have planning permission, or are sites where the Council, at the time of submission had resolved to grant permission. Nonetheless, all sites have been assessed against a range of deliverability and sustainability criteria through the Strategic Site Assessments 2016 (ED028a to ED028g) and the Site Assessment Background Paper 2016 (ED004).
- 72. Therefore whilst the planning status of a site or level of developer interest has been an important deliverability factor within these assessments, so too has the consideration of sustainability indicators including its location, accessibility to facilities and services and the presence of any environmental or planning related designations such as Green Belt. The Sustainability Appraisal provides a further assessment of the sites in relation to sustainability objectives and a consideration of the reasonable alternatives. (SD004a-c).
- 73. Reflecting the fact that most of the housing sites within the Plan have planning permission, discussions at the hearings focussed predominantly on when the sites would deliver housing rather than whether the sites were deliverable per se. On this basis and considering the evidence before me the

Council's housing site selection process is reasonable and proportionate and thus sound.

- 74. The Plan, as submitted, sets out a housing supply figure of 7,891 homes. This relates to the housing requirement of 7,768 dwellings. The supply figure reflects a base date of 31 March 2016 and includes completions since the start of the Plan period, commitments and allocations. It is clear that this is insufficient to meet the updated housing requirement of 8,715 dwellings identified in paragraphs 65-70 above. In response the Council has confirmed that with the addition of new dwellings completed during 2016/17, new commitments and revised assessments for some existing allocations, the housing land supply increases to 8,819 dwellings (1,538 dwelling completions between 2011 and 2017 and 7,281 dwelling commitments/site allocations). This meets the new housing requirement figure of 8,715 dwellings. As this additional supply includes new completions and commitments it has not been necessary for the Council to seek further sites for allocation.
- 75. The housing trajectory, in appendix 2 of the Plan includes detailed tables on how each allocated site is proposed to be delivered during the Plan period. Following discussions on the deliverability of individual sites within the hearings the Council agreed to remove site HS6 from the Plan, amend their standardised assumptions on site delivery using evidence provided by site promoters and developers and to update the housing trajectory and the site allocation policies. Having considered the updated evidence this approach is sound.
- 76. In addition since submission of the Plan a significant number of new sites for residential development within Fylde have been granted planning permission, including several strategic sites which are broadly in accordance with the Plan's overall strategy. The Council has proposed to incorporate these new sites as allocations within the Plan. Having considered the evidence I consider this approach is also sound.
- 77. Of these new sites, concerns have been raised about the deliverability of site HSS12 'Land north of Freckleton Bypass' for 350 dwellings. This is due to an issue over a condition on the outline permission which requires the delivery of significant highways infrastructure upfront and prior to significant levels of housing being delivered. However I agree with the Council that this issue is not insurmountable. Furthermore the Preston Western Distributor Road has now been approved by LCC and the project is progressing. There is therefore a reasonable prospect of housing being delivered on the site within the Plan period and in accordance with the proposed revised trajectory. The inclusion of the site within Policy SL3 does not make the Plan unsound.
- 78. In the light of the available evidence and for reasons of soundness the above factors necessitate modifications to the Plan to incorporate the revised housing supply figure [MM39], to amend Policies SL1 to SL5 to reflect the updated site evidence [MM11-MM15] and to ensure that the policies allocate the sites for development [MM10]. I am satisfied that subject to these modifications the allocation of the sites within the Plan is sound.

- 79. The supporting text to Policy SL5 states that Tier 1: Larger rural settlements could accommodate between 100 and 150 homes over the Plan period and Tier 2: Smaller rural settlements could accommodate up to 50 homes. Following discussions at the hearings the Council agreed that these figures should be 'around' to allow an element of flexibility in line with national policy. **MM16** achieves this.
- 80. Concerns have been raised that the very detailed housing trajectory set out within the Plan will quickly become out of date as sites are developed. The Council proposes that these delivery tables will be included within their annual Housing Land Supply Statement. This will make it easier for the Council to monitor and manage delivery on an ongoing basis. I concur with this view and recommend that the tables are replaced with a graph for clarity and effectiveness [MM69].
- 81. Whilst the level of supply only provides a small amount of flexibility, the Plan allocates deliverable housing sites to cover the entire housing requirement for the Plan period. As such it does not rely on broad locations for later years and therefore provides a much greater degree of certainty over the deliverability of housing over the Plan period. Some developers during the hearings even implied that some sites may deliver more quickly than anticipated and could be brought forward in the trajectory.

5 year housing land supply

- 82. At the time of the Plan's submission the Council confirmed that they had a 5 year housing land supply (EL1.001b). However this was based on the 2012-based OAN and housing requirement figure of 370 dpa.
- 83. In response to the revised housing requirement figure of 415 dpa the Council has updated its 5 year supply of housing land to a base date of 31 March 2017 (EL5.007). This calculates a 4.8 year supply of housing land using the Sedgefield approach for addressing the shortfall in provision since 2011, within the 5 year supply.
- 84. However in July 2017 following the stage 2 hearings the Council produced a revised version of the 5 year housing supply statement (Annex 2 in EL7.002) to take account of revisions to delivery assumptions as discussed at the hearings. This version still includes the shortfall in housing provision of 952 dwellings (the Sedgefield approach), a 20% buffer because of persistent under-delivery and a small allowance for empty homes. However based on the detailed evaluation within the hearings, the assessment has adjusted the standard build out rates applied to the larger sites and has removed the 10% non-implementation rate for such sites. As the evidence demonstrates that the larger sites are deliverable I see no reason why a discount on their delivery should be applied in the trajectory. There is also no substantive evidence to demonstrate that a higher non-implementation rate would be justified. I find the Council's approach is therefore reasonable and proportionate. Furthermore the inclusion of a small 40 dpa windfall allowance for the latter 2 years of the 5 year period is also justified by the evidence.

- 85. The revised 5 year housing land supply statement results in a 5.1 year supply on the assumption that past shortfall in delivery will be addressed in the next 5 years (the Sedgefield approach). Whilst this demonstrates that the Council has a 5 year supply I acknowledge that this is finely balanced and provides limited flexibility in housing provision. Whilst a further update with a base date of 30 September 2017 was submitted by the Council prior to the stage 3 hearings showing a higher supply (5.8 years) (in EL9.015), errors in the calculation were corrected in December 2017 to show that the 5 year supply was only marginally better at 5.2 years (EL8.004).
- 86. The Council's development strategy relies on a number of strategic and other larger sites coming forward within the defined strategic locations. Inevitably large sites will take time to progress and deliver housing, particularly where the provision of infrastructure is necessary. The Council considered whether any other sites could be allocated within the Plan that would not put at risk the development strategy, but concluded that this would mean the delivery of a larger number of smaller sites in different locations or at different proportions to those proposed within the Plan. This would undermine the overall spatial strategy and could put at risk the delivery of some of the larger and more strategic sites and their associated infrastructure.
- 87. Having regard to the Plan's spatial strategy and the delivery of the larger strategic sites, the use of the Liverpool method for calculating 5 year housing land supply is justified. By allowing for the past shortfall in delivery to be addressed across the remaining Plan period the Council has a 6.4 year supply. This allows sufficient flexibility for housing delivery. Reference to the use of the Liverpool approach in assessing the 5 year housing land supply is therefore necessary in Policy H1 and its supporting text [MM38 and MM39] and the monitoring framework [MM70] to ensure the Plan is effective.

Housing density and mix

- 88. The Plan seeks, through Policy H2 that development will result in a minimum net residential density of 30 dwellings per hectare. Whilst the efficient and effective use of land is to be supported, the requirement is not consistent with Policy GD7 which seeks densities that reflect and where possible enhance local character, so lower densities could also be appropriate. The imposition of the word 'normally' within the policy and amendments to the wording in the supporting text as set out in **MM40** and **MM41** would allow a degree of flexibility so that Policy H2 is consistent with Policy GD7.
- 89. Policy H2 also seeks a mix of housing types and sizes within developments. Whilst the policy is quite specific on the mix required, it only relates to larger sites of 10 dwellings or more and uses the term 'or' (such as 1, 2 or 3 bedroom homes), thereby allowing some flexibility for developers. Nevertheless for reasons of clarity **MM40** is necessary to ensure that the dwelling type and size requirements are updated in accordance with future housing needs assessments so that Policy H2 is effective.

Affordable housing delivery

- 90. Policy H4 supports the provision of 30% affordable housing across the Borough on sites of 10 dwellings or more, a threshold that is consistent with national policy and the PPG. The viability assessments show that with 30% affordable housing, development would generally be viable. Nevertheless the policy does allow a degree of flexibility when considering viability for individual sites. This is a reasonable approach.
- 91. Whilst there is a presumption within the policy for affordable housing to be delivered on-site, it does include provision for off-site financial contributions in appropriate circumstances, so long as these are of an equivalent value to providing 30% on-site affordable homes. The policy also allows a degree of flexibility for the Council in determining where such off-site financial contributions are to be spent. Both of these approaches are justified and effective.
- 92. The Housing and Planning Act 2016 includes a general duty for local authorities to promote the supply of starter homes. Policy H4 includes specific requirements for starter homes. However the relevant parts of the Act have yet to commence. As such MM42, which removes the reference to starter homes and simplifies the tenure requirements, is necessary for reasons of clarity. Should the legislation on starter homes be enacted, starter homes could still be delivered under Policy H4.

Gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople's accommodation

- 93. The Blackpool, Fylde and Wyre Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment Update (GTAA Update) (EL1.002) was published in October 2016, which was after the publication version of the Plan was produced. It updates the earlier 2014 Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (GTAA), in light of the change to the definition of Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople for planning purposes, as defined in the revised version of the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) (August 2015).
- 94. Policy H5, as set out within the submitted Plan is based on the evidence of need within the 2014 GTAA. It supports the provision of 26 extra pitches for gypsies and travellers during the Plan period and allocates two sites providing 5 pitches in total. No plots for travelling showpeople are allocated as no need is identified within the GTAA.
- 95. The GTAA Update concludes that the need during the Plan period is lower, citing 3 additional pitches for gypsies and travellers and no plots for travelling showpeople. The GTAA Update sets out a clear and robust methodology that reflects the revised PPTS. It has been argued that this level of need is too low, particularly for travelling showpeople, but there is no clear and convincing evidence to support this view. Furthermore the policy provides criteria against which any applications for further pitches or plots will be assessed and where any updated evidence of need can be taken into account. This approach is justified.
- 96. In response to the GTAA Update evidence and to ensure compliance with national policy, Policy H5 should be amended to reduce the requirement for

additional gypsy and traveller pitches to 3 and delete reference to out of date guidance [MM43]. The Council still proposes to allocate the 5 pitches within the policy and this is a sound approach given that these have planning permission and are acceptable. Consequential changes to the supporting text of the policy are also necessary for reasons of clarity and consistency with national policy [MM44].

Homes in the countryside

- 97. The Framework clearly states that isolated homes in the countryside should be avoided unless there are special circumstances such as the criteria listed under paragraph 55. Policy H6 of the Plan provides its own list of criteria which are generally consistent with the Framework's approach. The third criterion in the policy includes two elements; one relating to the use of a heritage asset whilst the second part refers to redundant or disused buildings. For reasons of clarity and to ensure consistency with the Framework criterion 3 should be split into two separate criteria [MM45].
- 98. Policy H7 sets criteria for the replacement of and extensions to existing dwellings in the countryside. This includes limiting the size increase of any replacement dwelling or extension to 33% of the ground floor area of the original house. This figure stems from the existing Fylde Borough Local Plan.
- 99. It has been suggested that the figure should be more of a guide rather than a specific percentage set out in policy. However this would not provide necessary clarity for decision-makers. Furthermore evidence indicates that development of rural dwellings over the last decade or so has often resulted in much larger properties, reducing the stock of smaller and more affordable homes. The policy does not prevent development and would still allow the reasonable expansion of existing or replacement homes, whilst also ensuring that there remains a range of property sizes within the countryside. The provision is reasonable and proportionate and, thus, sound.
- 100. Notwithstanding this the policy and supporting text both require some changes to simplify the wording and make it clear how the policy will be applied by decision-makers when considering any future relevant development. **MM46** and **MM47** are therefore necessary for clarification and effectiveness.

Conclusion

101. In conclusion, subject to the above main modifications, the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for the supply and delivery of housing development that is justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Issue 4 – Whether the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for the delivery of economic development (employment, retail, leisure, culture and tourism development) within Fylde that is justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Employment land objectively assessed need and requirement

- 102.EL2.025b(i) contends that the objectively assessed need for employment land during the Plan period is 46.6ha. This is based on evidence of historic annual average take-up of employment land since 1991.
- 103. The Fylde Employment Land and Premises Study 2012 (ELPS) (ED041a) calculated the average annual take-up between 1991 and 2011 as 2.7ha. This figure was adjusted in 2015 to exclude sui generis development to give a new historic take-up figure of 2.22ha per annum, as summarised in EL5.009. Over the Plan period this equates to 46.6ha.
- 104. It has been argued that the average annual take-up should be significantly lower to reflect a shorter timescale in order to avoid peaks in delivery during the 1990s. However I note that whilst the longer timescale includes several peaks it also includes years where there was no employment land take-up. There is insufficient evidence before me to demonstrate that using a shorter timescale provides a more realistic assessment than the longer term trend.
- 105.I recognise that a full review of the ELPS has not been undertaken as recommended within the study and that it is now 6 years old. However it remains the most up to date assessment of employment land for Fylde and no alternative comprehensive assessments of employment need are before me.
- 106. The Plan sets out an employment land requirement in Policy DLF1 of 60.6ha gross. This is a combination of 46.6ha to meet the objectively assessed needs and an additional 14ha to provide for unmet need within Blackpool, the latter being agreed with Blackpool Council, through the duty to cooperate in 2014.

Employment land allocations and existing sites

- 107. The Plan allocates sites for 62 ha (net) of additional employment land during the Plan period as set out in Policy EC1. This supply meets the 60.6ha employment land requirement. The sites have been allocated based on the findings of the ELPS and a review carried out by the Council in 2015, the findings of which are set out in Appendix 6 of the Plan. I note that the majority of this supply is located within the Fylde-Blackpool Periphery SLD area.
- 108. It has been argued that site ES1 is not viable for employment use. Whilst it is in a prominent and strategic location abutting the Queensway Industrial Estate, the site is in close proximity to Blackpool Airport which restricts the design of buildings on it in relation to materials and height. I also note that the site has abnormal ground conditions and associated high construction costs and that it has been marketed for some time with very few reasonable offers.

- 109. However the delivery of the M55 to Heyhouses link road and development of the large strategic housing allocation (site HSS1) directly opposite the site result in a significant change in circumstances for site ES1, by improving accessibility and making the site more attractive commercially. Whilst the site is close to the Blackpool Airport Enterprise Zone (EZ) the development of the EZ relies on the relocation of existing land uses. Furthermore the benefits of business rate relief at the EZ would be short lived and restricted to specific types of employment use. As such there is little evidence to demonstrate that development at the EZ would directly compete with employment uses for site ES1.
- 110. In respect of the viability assessment for the site commissioned by the landowners this only relates to one scheme and does not adequately demonstrate the viability of other employment or mixed use schemes coming forward on the site.
- 111. Taking these factors into account I consider that Site ES1 will be able to support employment development during the Plan period and contribute to the employment land requirement. The allocation is therefore justified.
- 112. The second part of Policy EC1 identifies existing employment sites and seeks that land and premises in B class uses will be retained, unless there is no reasonable prospect of sites being used for employment purposes.
- 113. Suggestions have been made that the list of existing sites should be amended to either remove sites which are not viable or include other uses within the defined use classes. However the policy does not in itself allocate existing employment sites and the suggestion that sites be deleted from the list would not remove the fact that the sites would still have an employment use class. Whilst I note that there are other existing uses within some sites, such as the sui generis use at Springfields, the policy seeks only to retain B class uses. Listing other use classes within the policy is therefore not necessary and does not mean that these uses cannot continue. Flexibility for alternatives is provided through Policy EC2 and GD8 to accommodate this where justified.
- 114. Furthermore the policy itself is in accordance with paragraph 22 of the Framework as it permits the consideration of alternative uses if there is no reasonable prospect of sites being used for employment uses.
- 115. Overall I consider that Policy EC1 is justified. Nonetheless for reasons of clarity and effectiveness, the policy should be amended so that it is clear that the sites in the first part of the policy are allocated and reference needs to be made to Policy GD8 for consistency [MM31]. Also the supporting text should refer to the employment land figure being 'adjusted' to be consistent with the policy [MM32]. The position of the EZs also require clarification [MM33].
- 116. The purpose of Policy EC2 is to retain the employment use of current employment sites. However to ensure consistency with paragraph 22 of the Framework **MM34** is necessary.
- 117. Policies EC3 and EC4 identify the EZs within the Borough and support the delivery of their objectives and purposes as set out by Government. Policy EC4 relates to the Blackpool Airport EZ. A large proportion, though not all, of

the airport site lies within green belt. The policy supports the relocation of operational buildings and facilities closer to the runway which is within the green belt. This appears to support operational airport development in the Green Belt. I recognise that, subject to certain criteria, this could be permitted under the General Permitted Development Order 2015.

- 118. Notwithstanding any permitted development rights, the Council clarified during the hearings that it was not the intention to generally support development within the Green Belt stating that this would in turn be determined by the masterplan and delivery plan for the site as the EZ is brought forward. MM35 clarifies this point. This also ensures that the policy is consistent with Policy T3 which relates to the airport.
- 119. Whilst section b of Policy EC4 includes retail and leisure as potential alternative uses that could enable the development of aviation uses within the site, the policy states that this would be of a limited scale and subject to a number of criteria. Any impact on Blackpool town centre and resort can be taken into account as part of the scheme progression.

Retail needs and provision

- 120. The assessment of retail capacity (ED040) indicates a relatively modest requirement for additional comparison floorspace within the Borough during the Plan period. It recommends that this is predominantly distributed within the town centres of St Annes, Lytham and Kirkham with small scale provision to be provided elsewhere within the Borough. This would allow for the development of a number of new units to meet the requirements of comparison retailers.
- 121. The study also identifies a modest 2,825 sqm requirement across the Fylde Coast sub-region (Fylde, Blackpool and Wyre Councils) for additional convenience floorspace over the Plan period. Whilst it does not specify a specific quantitative capacity for Fylde, it does refer to potential qualitative requirements for convenience retail within St Annes town centre, to support its vitality and viability.
- 122. No other substantive evidence has been provided to demonstrate a different level of retail need and I therefore conclude that the identified retail requirement for Fylde is robust.
- 123. Policy EC5 which sets out the retail hierarchy within the Borough seeks to maintain and enhance the town, district and local centres. Within the hierarchy two new local centres in Warton and Whitehills are designated to support the level of growth planned for the Warton and Fylde-Blackpool Periphery Strategic Locations for Development. The Council has confirmed that the new local centre in Whyndyke, which is also necessary to support the Fylde-Blackpool Periphery SLD, was, in error, missed off the hierarchy list within the policy. **MM36** corrects this.
- 124. Policy EC5 does not allocate any sites for retail development within the Plan, nor does it define the boundaries for the proposed local centres at Warton or Whyndyke. Instead the policy supports the delivery of appropriate services within the town, district and local centres to meet locally identified needs, including retail provision.

- 125.I note that there are some existing shops within Warton and that the criteriabased approach of the policy allows flexibility for the delivery of the centre including its location, as the planned growth develops. This pragmatic approach is justified.
- 126. The local centre for Whyndyke will be determined through the detailed design of the Whyndyke Garden Village development (site MUS2) which is a reasonable approach.
- 127. Other centre boundaries together with the primary and secondary shopping frontages, defined on the Policies Map, are appropriate and are justified.
- 128. The policy confusingly sets out criteria for assessing 'development outside of town centres' and also development 'out of centre'. Whilst the measures are consistent with national policy, for clarity and to ensure the effectiveness of the policy **MM36** is necessary to combine these sub-sections so that it is clear that they apply to development outside of town, district and local centres, not just town centres. I have included a consequential change to the sub-heading within the policy so that it reflects this change.
- 129. Paragraph 26 of the Framework indicates that a locally set floorspace threshold for impact assessments for out of centre town centre uses may be set, rather than the default national level. The Plan proposes through Policy EC5 a threshold of 750 sqm which is around 30% of the national default level. However this threshold would be larger than most of the convenience and comparison units in the three main towns, the vast majority of which are below 500 sqm.
- 130. A large proportion of the planned growth within Fylde is within the Fylde-Blackpool Periphery SLD which is in close proximity to Blackpool. It has been suggested that the retail threshold should be higher so that new retail provision within this strategic location can be of a larger size to compete with the retail units within and on the edge of Blackpool. However a higher threshold could undermine the retail performance of the main centres within Fylde. On the basis of the available evidence the threshold is proportionate and justified.

Leisure, culture and tourism

- 131. Policy EC6 supports and promotes leisure, culture and tourism development within the Borough subject to a number of reasonable measures. It has been suggested that the policy should specifically support large scale rural tourism, not just small scale as referenced. However, no need for this has been demonstrated.
- 132. Policy EC7 supports the provision of serviced tourism accommodation in defined Holiday Areas in St Annes and the retention of holiday caravan pitches. The Council confirmed at the hearings that the policy should refer to the loss of tourism accommodation uses in the Holiday Areas being resisted, rather than non serviced tourism accommodation. MM37 rectifies this and is necessary for policy effectiveness.

Conclusion

133. In conclusion, and subject to the above main modifications, the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for the delivery of economic development within Fylde that is justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Issue 5 – Whether the Plan provides a robust framework for the management and delivery of development across the Borough that is justified, effective and consistent with national policy

Onshore oil and gas industry

- 134. Lancashire County Council (LCC) is the Minerals and Waste Planning Authority in Fylde and has responsibility for setting policies and identifying sites for minerals and waste development for Lancashire. This is set out within the Joint Minerals and Waste Local Plan.
- 135. The onshore oil and gas industry within the borough is in the exploratory phase. Reference to this is made in the introductory chapter to the Plan. I note that LCC have raised no concerns with the Plan in this regard. Nevertheless the Council acknowledged at the hearings that should a shale gas industry become established within Fylde, its effect would need to be adequately monitored and managed, including taking account of any policy implications for the Local Plan. As such MM2 amends and updates the supporting text of the Plan to provide further clarification in this regard.

Inclusive design and accessible environments

- 136. There are a range of policies within the Plan that promote and support inclusive design and accessible environments. They are supportive of the aims of the Framework and most are sound in this regard.
- 137. Policy GD7 is the overarching policy relating to design and seeks to achieve good design in all development. Whilst it contains a number of general principles for good design it is not clear whether these are policy requirements. In order to ensure its effectiveness as a policy tool for the decision-maker and to provide clarity for users, the general design principles should be referred to as requirements [MM29]. Furthermore for reasons of clarity, policy effectiveness and consistency with national policy the addition of a new criterion specifically on inclusive design and accessible environments and amendments to criteria j and k are necessary for soundness [MM29]. Criterion v should also be amended to add the term 'where possible' to ensure that the provision of open space is consistent with national policy.

Masterplanning the Strategic Locations for Development

138. Policy M1 seeks that masterplans and design codes are produced for each site allocation within the strategic locations for development (SLDs) referred to in Policies DLF1 and SL1-SL4 to ensure a comprehensive approach to development. However reference to masterplans and design codes within the latter policies is inconsistent with Policies SL1 and SL2 requiring them to

- be produced for every development site, whilst SL3 and SL4 only require them for strategic sites.
- 139. It was agreed during the hearings that Policy M1 should only apply to strategic sites of more than 100 dwellings to ensure that the requirement did not unreasonably apply to the smaller development sites set out within Policies SL1-SL4. I find this to be a reasonable approach and based on the evidence this is justified. I therefore recommend modifications to Policy M1, for reasons of effectiveness, consistency and clarity so that developers and decision-makers are clear as to when the requirements would apply [MM8 and MM9]. Consequential changes to Policies SL1-SL4 [MM10] and the justification text to Policy INF1 [MM53] are also necessary in this regard to ensure there is consistency with Policy M1.

Settlement boundaries

- 140. Policy GD1 defines settlement boundaries which are shown on the Policies Map. They can also be defined within Neighbourhood Plans though this is not referenced in the policy. **MM17** amends the policy to make this clear and clarifies the policies to be applied to development proposals whether inside or outside settlement boundaries. These changes are necessary for consistency within the Plan and for effectiveness.
- 141. At the hearings the Council confirmed that the settlement boundaries have been drawn on the Policies Map so that the development site allocations are within the settlements. To ensure consistency with my recommendations in paragraphs 71-81 to amend the housing site allocations within the Plan, the Council has proposed consequential changes to the settlement boundaries on the Policies Map (EL10.005).
- 142. One of these changes relates to site HSS12 at Warton which I note has planning permission. The Council has proposed not to include the access road within the settlement boundary but does propose to include it within the site allocation on the Policies Map. It is not necessary for soundness for the access road to be within the settlement. Indeed drawing the boundary along the eastern side of the access road as suggested would have the effect of including undeveloped and unallocated land on the western side of the access road within the settlement. This would not be justified.
- 143. The proposed Warton settlement boundary excludes the adjacent Warton Aerodrome/Enterprise Zone from the settlement. The site has its own policy designations within the Plan relating to the existing employment land and the Enterprise Zone (Policies EC1 and EC3). As such it is not necessary for soundness for any part of the site to be included within the settlement boundary.
- 144. No substantive evidence has been submitted to demonstrate the need for any other changes to be made to the settlement boundaries for reasons of soundness. Subject to the changes proposed by the Council to the Policies Map to reflect development within the Plan, I consider the settlement boundaries are justified.

Green Belt

- 145. No strategic review of the Green Belt has been undertaken when preparing the Plan. The evidence demonstrates that Green Belt land is not needed to deliver the growth proposed within the Plan and therefore this approach is justified.
- 146. The Council has proposed one change to the Green Belt boundary to remove the southern corner of the large Coastal Dunes site allocation HSS4 from the designation. This is the former Pontins holiday camp which was occupied by significant built development including chalets and leisure facilities including on the corner of the site located in the Green Belt. The site has planning permission for residential development and is currently under construction.
- 147. The Green Belt boundary in this location does not currently follow any readily recognisable features on the ground and the land does not meet an essential characteristic of Green Belt which is its openness. The amended boundary would follow clear physical features along the site's southern edge which would help ensure its permanency. In this instance I consider that there are exceptional circumstances to justify this Green Belt boundary alteration to the Policies Map.
- 148. Policy GD2 on Green Belt states that national guidance for development in the Green Belt will be applied. To be consistent with the Framework this should refer to national policy as set out in **MM19**. The supporting text is also not entirely consistent with the Framework and I therefore recommend **MM18** and **MM20**.

Areas of separation

- 149. Policy GD3 proposes to designate two Areas of Separation within Fylde; one between the settlements of Kirkham and Newton and the other between Wrea Green and Kirkham. The areas have been identified based on criteria set out in the Area of Separation Background Paper November 2014 (ED010). This paper includes an assessment of 12 potential areas.
- 150. The distance between the settlement boundaries of Kirkham and Newton is around 1013 metres and includes ribbon development on both sides of the A583. This development is generally of a more spacious character than the nearby urban areas with gaps between and beyond the buildings which give glimpses and views of the surrounding countryside. There are narrow undeveloped gaps between the settlements and this ribbon development. On this basis the inclusion of the ribbon development within the area of separation is not unreasonable. Further significant development here would result in both a perceived and actual narrowing of the gap between Kirkham and Newton which would compromise their distinct settlement characters.
- 151. There is a distance of approximately 313 metres between Wrea Green and Kirkham at the narrowest point. The land between the settlements has an open and predominantly agricultural character. There is significant pressure for development within the area, particularly on the edge of Wrea Green which if allowed would undermine the separate settlement identities and could result in coalescence.

- 152. Based on the evidence and in accordance with the Council's identified criteria, the designation of these two areas of separation is justified.
- 153. The purpose of the areas of separation designations is to preserve the character and distinctiveness of individual settlements by preventing coalescence of the settlements. However this is not clear within the policy or supporting text, nor is it clear what development would be appropriate in these areas and how this would be assessed.
- 154. The Council has put forward suggested modifications to the policy to clarify this including setting out a list of development types that they consider would be appropriate. Whilst I agree that this aids clarity within the policy I note that Policy GD3 within the submitted Plan does not restrict development to this extent. I therefore recommend that the proposed term 'Development is limited to' instead reads as 'Development will normally be limited to' to allow a degree of flexibility to potentially allow other forms of development that would meet the purpose of and function of the areas of separation and not harm the effectiveness of the gaps between settlements. This also ensures that the policy does not restrict development to the same extent as green belt policy which would not be justified or consistent with national policy.

 MM21 and MM22 achieve this.

Development in the countryside

- 155. Policy GD4 sets out what development would be appropriate within the countryside. For reasons of effectiveness it is necessary to modify the criterion relating to extensions to ensure that it is clear that Policy H7 applies, and include an additional criterion and new supporting text on infill development to ensure consistency with the wording set out in Policy S1 and the Framework [MM23 and MM24].
- 156. Policy GD5 supports the complete or partial re-development of large developed sites located in the countryside. The policy includes a list of the sites and identifies them on the Policies Map but also states that it is not restricted to these sites. This approach does not provide certainty as to what other sites could be covered by the policy. Furthermore one of the sites listed is located within the Green Belt. The Council confirmed during the hearing sessions that there were no other large developed sites within the countryside. Consequently for reasons of effectiveness, clarity and consistency with national policy MM25 and MM26 are required to provide a clear list of designated large developed sites to which the policy applies and to refer to Policy GD2 in relation to the site within the Green Belt.

Mixed use development

157. The Framework supports mixed use development and the Plan includes this provision through Policy GD6. However the policy states that it only applies to strategic sites which would not be consistent with Policy GD5 and no reference is made to residential development within any mix. Modifications MM27 and MM28 are therefore required so that mixed use development is supported on other appropriate development sites, not just strategic sites and a reference to residential development is included within the policy. This

provides consistency with other policies within the Plan and ensures the policy is effective.

National technical standards

- 158. Policy GD7 includes a requirement that new homes comply with all relevant design and quality codes set out in the national technical standards. The Council has confirmed that this means compliance with the mandatory technical standards set out in the Building Regulations, not the optional technical standards. However this is not made explicitly clear in the policy. It is also not the role of planning policy to set out mandatory Building Regulations. As such for clarity and effectiveness MM29 and MM30 are necessary to remove this reference from the policy and the supporting text and replace it with wording that supports the provision of high standard living conditions.
- 159. There is a specific requirement in Policy H2 (and referenced in Policy GD7), for 20% of homes within residential developments of 20 or more dwellings to be designed to accommodate the elderly, including compliance with optional technical standard M4(3A) (wheelchair adaptable).
- 160. The analysis in the SHMA and the Specialised Housing Background Paper (ED029) identify a high level of growth in the number and proportion of people aged 65 and over during the Plan period and significant growth in the number of people with support needs, including reduced daily mobility. Furthermore, in assessing the existing housing stock the background paper concludes that only 5.3% of homes within the Borough have all four of the 'visitability' features of level access, a flush threshold, sufficiently wide doors and circulation space and a lavatory at entrance level.
- 161. Whilst I accept that some accommodation specifically built for the elderly has been or is being developed and may continue to come forward during the Plan period, this alone will be insufficient to meet the specific accommodation needs evidenced. I also note that meeting the M4(3A) standard has been factored into the Plan's overall viability assessment and would not make residential development unviable. Furthermore the policy makes it clear that the provision would be subject to viability anyway. Accordingly the requirement set out in Policies H2 and GD7 in this regard is justified.

Demonstrating Viability

162. The Council has suggested a modification to Policy GD8 to change the criteria for demonstrating whether or not an existing use is viable. However, I consider the policy as set out within the submitted Plan is clear, effective and justified and therefore it is not necessary to make any modifications for reasons of soundness.

Conclusion

163. In conclusion, and subject to the above modifications the Plan provides a robust framework for the management and delivery of development across the Borough that is justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Issue 6 – Whether the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for the preservation and enhancement of the environment (natural, built and historic); the management of water and flood risk; and the promotion of renewable and low carbon energy generation within Fylde that is justified, effective and consistent with national policy

- 164. The purpose of Policy ENV1 is to ensure that new development has regard to its visual impact within its landscape context including the landscape character area in which it is located. It also seeks to protect the open coastal character of the Coastal Change Management Areas. The Plan makes it clear that the requirements of the policy are in addition to design elements set out in Policy GD7. This approach is justified.
- 165. It has been suggested that criterion r) in Policy GD7 relating to climate change should be removed, but as it only states that development proposals should consider measures in relation to climate change its inclusion is not onerous or unjustified.
- 166. The Framework requires the protection and enhancement of valued landscapes. Whilst these are not identified within the Plan, the Council sets out within the supporting text to Policy ENV1 its intention to produce a 'Valued Landscapes SPD'. It was suggested during the hearings that because of this Policy ENV1 should include a reference to valued landscapes. I concur with this suggestion though I do not include reference to the Council within the modification as this is unnecessary [MM64].
- 167. However, from the wording in the justification text and discussions at the hearings, it is not entirely clear whether the intended Valued Landscapes SPD would just contain guidance or whether it would identify and allocate the areas. It is not the role of SPD to create policy. However the Council has confirmed that it intends to carry out landscape appraisals to assist in identifying valued landscapes. Therefore I have amended the suggested modification to paragraph 14.6 of the Plan, so that it provides clarity, is consistent with the changes set out in MM66 and accords with the Framework [MM65]. Any valued landscape designation could be made as part of the Plan review.
- 168. The approach to biodiversity as set out in Policy ENV2 is generally justified, though for reasons of effectiveness reference to woodland and ancient and veteran trees needs to be included [MM66].
- 169. The open spaces are defined on the Policies Map and are based on evidence within the Open Space Study Update, published in 2016 (ED059). This is a comprehensive study that provides details on what open space provision exists in the area, its condition, distribution and overall quality. It also considers the demand for provision based upon population distribution, planned growth and its own consultation findings. No other substantive assessment is before me to support the designation of other areas as open space within the borough. Furthermore the Plan contains other designations and policies to protect areas within open countryside. The open space designations set out within the Plan are therefore justified.

- 170. However the criteria within Policy ENV3 refer only to public open space. Following discussion at the hearings the Council agreed that the policy should be amended to remove reference to 'public' and include the different types of open space within the borough. These changes, along with others to improve the clarity of the policy are set out in **MM67** and are necessary for effectiveness.
- 171. Policy ENV4 includes setting out standards for the provision of new open space for housing developments of 10 or more dwellings. The requirement for strategic sites (100 dwellings or more) to provide double the standards for smaller development has been assessed for viability and is acceptable. The policy also provides a degree of flexibility in relation to the provision of open space on-site or off-site through the payment of commuted sums. Furthermore the Open Space Study Update 2016 (ED059) identifies that there are specific gaps in the provision of existing open space within areas of the borough. Overall the standards are reasonable and the policy is effective and justified.
- 172. Policy ENV5 seeks to protect and where appropriate enhance the borough's historic environment. This approach is justified. However modifications to the policy wording are necessary to ensure consistency with the Framework and to aid clarity within the policy [MM68].
- 173. Criterion r in Policy M1 also requires modification to ensure that the references to the significance of heritage assets are consistent with other policies in the Plan and national policy [MM8].
- 174. The Plan supports the government's transition to a low carbon economy and seeks to ensure that development contributes to the mitigation of and adaptation to climate change. Chapter 13 of the Plan includes specific policies on flood risk and water resource management, sustainable drainage, renewable and low carbon energy generation and district heating systems. However it also clearly states that managing climate change is a cross cutting theme that runs through the entire Plan.
- 175. The provisions of Policies CL1 and CL2 which relate to water management, flooding and sustainable drainage are justified and consistent with national policy. Whilst it has been suggested that Policy CL2 requires developers to provide drainage measures beyond what is necessary for the site, the policy does not read in this way. Whilst it does require that discharge rates are agreed as part of pre-application negotiations this is not unduly unreasonable and may save time and costs during the application process.
- 176. The Framework specifies that local planning authorities should have a positive strategy to promote energy from renewable and low carbon sources, whilst ensuring that any adverse impacts are adequately addressed. Whilst Policy CL3 provides a list of criteria against which such developments would be determined, it does not read as a policy that proactively supports such development or maximises opportunities for this form of development. The changes set out in **MM61** accord with the approach in the Framework and are necessary for reasons of consistency and effectiveness.
- 177. Further modifications to Policy CL3 are also required. A change to criteria e is necessary to ensure consistency with national policy on the use of best and

most versatile agricultural land, including in the case of solar farms. Criteria f needs to cross reference to Policy ENV5 to ensure consistency with this policy and the Framework when considering the effect of proposals on the significance of heritage assets. And finally the policy needs modifying to ensure compliance with national policy on Green Belt and the Plan policy on Areas of Separation. These changes are all set out in **MM62**.

178. For reasons of clarity the supporting text should refer to the Written Ministerial Statement on Solar Energy [MM63].

Conclusion

179. In conclusion, and subject to the above modifications the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for the preservation and enhancement of the environment (natural, built and historic); the management of water and flood risk; and the promotion of renewable and low carbon energy generation within Fylde that is justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Issue 7 – Whether the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for community facilities, infrastructure and transport provision to meet the Plan's development strategy and is justified, effective and consistent with national policy

Community Facilities

- 180. The objective of Policy HW1 is to seek to address health and well-being issues by supporting the integration of public health principles with planning. It proposes to do this through supporting the provision of health care facilities, promoting improvements to healthy lifestyles and requiring health impact assessments (HIA) on strategic sites. For consistency with other policies within the Plan, particularly Policy M1, the policy should clearly state that HIA is required for strategic sites that do not have full planning permission [MM48].
- 181. It has been suggested that the need for HIA should specifically refer to shale gas exploration and development. Notwithstanding what LCC propose to put into their Minerals and Waste Local Plan in this regard and as referred to earlier in my report, MM2 will ensure that the Council consider the effects of the industry, should it develop.
- 182. Policy HW2 supports the provision of new community facilities to meet the needs of the community, including in the proposed new local centres. However whilst the new centres at Warton and Whitehills are listed within the policy, Whyndyke is not. **MM49** is therefore necessary to correct this.
- 183. The policy also reserves a site at Mowbreck Lane in Wesham for the relocation of the Medlar with Wesham Church of England Primary School. However updated evidence from the Local Education Authority indicates that this is not justified. It is therefore necessary to remove this requirement from the Plan and for clarity include the new primary schools to be provided within development sites HSS1 Queensway and MUS2 Whyndyke [MM49]. This also requires consequential changes to paragraph 12.6 of the Plan to ensure it is consistent with regard to primary school provision [MM52]. The

- precise wording of this modification has been amended from that consulted upon, to ensure the Plan is internally consistent.
- 184. Whilst the Council accepts that there may be a need for a new secondary school within Fylde during the Plan period, no substantive evidence at this time has been provided to demonstrate what level of requirement may be needed or identify where it may be required. As such no site is allocated within the Plan which, based on the available evidence, is a reasonable and justified approach. Nevertheless additional wording within Policy HW2 to support the provision, should a need be identified, would aid clarity on this matter [MM49]. This also requires consequential changes to paragraph 12.6 of the Plan to ensure it is consistent in this regard [MM52]. As such the precise wording of this modification has been amended from that consulted upon, to ensure the Plan is internally consistent.
- 185. Paragraph 11.28 of the Plan states that there is a shortfall of burial and crematoria facilities in Fylde. The Council confirmed at the hearings that this is incorrect and that the existing facilities are sufficient for the Plan period. To ensure that the Plan is justified **MM50** requires the deletion of this paragraph.
- 186. Policy HW3 protects existing indoor and outdoor sports facilities and supports the provision of new facilities subject to meeting relevant criteria. It allows flexibility to improve and relocate facilities to meet local needs across the borough. This approach in principle is justified. Nonetheless **MM51** is necessary to ensure that the policy is clear on whether development would be allowed if only one criterion applied or several.
- 187. Whilst the policy includes reference to specific needs assessment documents, these are not dated and therefore they could relate to updated versions produced for the Council during the lifetime of the Plan. As such deletion of these is not necessary for soundness.

Infrastructure and service provision

- 188. Policy INF1 in chapter 12 of the Plan seeks to support development proposals that provide essential site services and deliver infrastructure requirements set out in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan. I consider this policy is sound.
- 189. Policy INF2 seeks developer contributions from relevant development, subject to viability. Whilst the policy is, in principle, justified, criterion f should include Whyndyke as a new local centre [MM54].

Transport

- 190. The objective of Policy T1 is to safeguard three strategic highway improvement routes from other development.
- 191. The first route listed is the M55 to Heyhouses (St Annes) link road which proposes to provide a direct route between junction 4 of the M55 motorway and St. Annes. The route has planning permission and its inclusion within the Plan is sound.

- 192. As regards the second route, the M55 to Fleetwood Corridor Improvements, (part of the M55 to Norcross link or 'Blue route' as it is commonly referred to), there was discussion at the hearings about whether the area to be safeguarded is appropriate or should be reduced. Whilst I note the concerns about the deliverability of this route, the scheme is defined within the Fylde Coast Highways and Transport Masterplan (ED084) and remains an aspiration of Fylde, Wyre, Blackpool and Lancashire Councils to resolve significant highways issues within the locality. Based on the submitted evidence the inclusion of the route within Policy T1 is justified.
- 193. As regards the third route, the A585 Skippool to Windy Harbour Improvements, I acknowledge that Highways England have identified a preferred route following consultation, but as this does not yet have planning permission and is therefore not set in stone, it would not be appropriate at this stage to identify this precise route in the Plan. As such the safeguarding of the area within the Plan for these route improvements is justified.
- 194. Nonetheless for clarity the supporting text relating to Policy T1 should cross reference to Policy ENV5 on the historic environment as it does on other policies [MM55]. The addition of a reference to the need for improvements relating to Junction 4 of the M55 within the Plan's strategic objectives is also necessary for clarity [MM3].
- 195. Policy T2 seeks to severely restrict development within the defined safeguarded area at Warton Aerodrome. Neither the policy nor the supporting text provides clarity as to what is meant by the 'defined safeguarded area', nor does it explain why limited extensions to existing properties would be acceptable, but other development would not in this area.
- 196. During the hearings a form of modified wording for the policy and supporting text was suggested and agreed between the Council and the aerodrome operator BAE Systems. This defines the legislation relating to safeguarded areas and the need to ensure built development is restricted within the zone and the wider area, unless no adverse impacts on aviation operations or defence navigation systems and communications can be robustly demonstrated. MM56 and MM57 are therefore necessary for the effectiveness of the policy and therefore soundness.
- 197. Policy T3 relates to Blackpool airport. For reasons of clarity on safeguarded areas and to ensure consistency with Policy EC4 in respect of the green belt **MM58** and **MM59** are recommended.
- 198. Policy T4 seeks to enhance sustainable transport choice. In relation to Transport Assessments or Transport Statements additional text to ensure that any necessary mitigation is secured and implemented in accordance with the requirements of the Highway Authority would ensure clarity of the policy.

 MM60 is therefore recommended.

Conclusion

199. In conclusion, and subject to the above modifications the Plan sets out a positively prepared strategy for community facilities, infrastructure and

transport provision to meet the Plan's development strategy and is justified, effective and consistent with national policy.

Issue 8 – Whether the Plan is deliverable and capable of being effectively monitored

- 200. Appendix 8 of the Plan sets out a performance monitoring framework, the purpose of which is to monitor the delivery of policies within the plan.
- 201. It has been suggested that the amendments put forward by the Council to some of the indicators, targets and supporting text are not consistent with the revised 2018 Framework. As set out in my introduction, for the purposes of examining plans submitted on or before 24 January 2019 the revised NPPF sets out transitional arrangements stating that the policies in the previous Framework will apply and that a plan review or a new plan is the way to reflect policy changes. I therefore consider that a review is the correct mechanism for ensuring that a plan is fully in line with the revised NPPF. Furthermore the Council will in any case have to take account of revised monitoring measures, including the Housing Delivery Test which is now a national requirement.
- 202.I consider that an amendment to the monitoring framework is necessary to ensure that the indicators are consistent with other modifications within the Plan, set appropriate triggers and add contingencies for the Council to action should the policies not deliver the required outcomes. **MM70** is therefore necessary for reasons of clarity and to ensure effective monitoring.

Issue 9 - Other matters

- 203. The inclusion of a policy within the Plan setting out the Framework's presumption in favour of sustainable development is not necessary for soundness. Indeed the Framework states that national policy should not be repeated in development plans. I therefore concur with the Council's suggestion that Policy NP1 and chapter 5 as a whole should be deleted from the Plan for reasons of soundness and, thus, recommend **MM4**.
- 204. The plan contains a glossary of main terms used in the Plan. Whilst this is a useful addition that assists the reading of the Plan, particularly by non-planning specialists, it does not in itself affect soundness. Therefore, as the inclusion of the changes set out under MM71 in the Council's proposed schedule of main modifications is at their discretion, it is not necessary for me to recommend it for soundness.
- 205. Throughout the examination, I have had due regard to the aims expressed in S149(1) of the Equality Act 2010. This has included my consideration of several matters during the course of the examination including meeting the needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople and for accessible and adaptable housing and inclusive design and accessible environments.

Assessment of Legal Compliance

- 206. My examination of the legal compliance of the Plan is summarised below.
- 207. The Plan has been prepared in accordance with the Council's Local Development Scheme (BD094).
- 208. Consultation on the Plan and the MMs was carried out in compliance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (BD093).
- 209. Sustainability Appraisal has been carried out and is adequate (SD004a and SD004b).
- 210. On the 12 April 2018, the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) issued a judgement (People over Wind & Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta Case C-323/17) which ruled that Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive must be interpreted as meaning that mitigation measures (referred to in the judgement as measures which are intended to avoid or reduce effects) should be assessed within the framework of an appropriate assessment and that it is not permissible to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the Plan or project on a European site at the screening stage.
- 211. Consequently, in the light of this judgement, I asked the Council, in a letter dated 11 May 2018 (EL11.002a) to consider the extent to which their Habitats Regulations Assessment documents are legally compliant. The Council commissioned a further HRA report to supersede the previous HRA Screening Report (May 2017) and Modifications Addendum (April 2018). This new HRA report (EL11.003a) was published in June 2018 and was made available for consultation during late June and July 2018.
- 212. As part of the consultation Natural England raised some further concerns. Subsequent Appropriate Assessment set out in the July 2018 HRA (EL11.004b) show that there would be no adverse effects on the integrity of the European sites identified within the report, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects. Natural England state that they raise no objections to this latest version of the HRA.
- 213. The Plan includes policies designed to secure that the development and use of land in the local planning authority's area contribute to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change, as referred to earlier in my report under issue 6.
- 214. The Local Plan complies with all relevant legal requirements, including in the 2004 Act (as amended) and the 2012 Regulations.

Overall Conclusion and Recommendation

215. The Plan has a number of deficiencies in respect of soundness for the reasons set out above, which mean that I recommend non-adoption of it as submitted, in accordance with Section 20(7A) of the 2004 Act. These deficiencies have been explored in the main issues set out above.

216. The Council has requested that I recommend main modifications (MMs) to make the Plan sound and capable of adoption. I conclude that with the recommended main modifications set out in the Appendix the Fylde Council Local Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 20(5) of the 2004 Act and meets the criteria for soundness in the National Planning Policy Framework.

Y Wright

Inspector

This report is accompanied by an Appendix containing the Main Modifications.

Appendix - Main Modifications

The modifications below are expressed either in the conventional form of strikethrough for deletions and <u>underlining</u> for additions of text, or by specifying the modification in words in *italics*.

The page numbers and paragraph numbering below refer to the submission local plan, and do not take account of the deletion or addition of text.

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|-----|------|-----------------------|---|
| MM1 | 5 | 1.24 and 1.26-1.28 | 1.24For Wyre Council this gave an objectively assessed need OAN of between 400 and 479 dwellings per annum from 2011 to 2031 |
| | | | 1.26 The Wyre Council's evidence base in relation to these issues is remains incomplete and the exact extent of the their unmet need is unknown as the precise numbers of homes that will need to be delivered outside Wyre Council's administrative area has not yet been assessed. It is also unclear what provision, if any, other neighbouring authorities will be able to make. The difficulty has arisen because of timing: the production of plans has not been aligned. |
| | | | 1.27 Fylde Council are aware of this important issue, however at this stage the precise numbers of homes that will need to be delivered outside Wyre Council's administrative area has not been assessed. Fylde Council recognises that Wyre Council have identified difficulties in planning to meet its objectively-assessed need for housing. Any need that remains unmet following the adoption of Wyre's Local Plan will need to be addressed. Fylde Council will undertake an early review of the Fylde Local Plan (whether full or partial) to examine this issue, working with other authorities adjoining Wyre under the Duty to Co-Operate. The objective of this process would be to ensure that any unmet need is met within the Housing Market Area and/or in other appropriate locations, where consistent with the achievement of sustainable development. |
| | | | 1.28 It is crucial that Fylde's Plan is not delayed, an up to date plan must be adopted as soon as possible. However, in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, Fylde Council is committed to continuing to work with Wyre and its other neighbours, once the evidence base relating to Wyre's constraints is complete, in order to address the above mentioned issues. |
| MM2 | 13 | 1.53 | In June 2014, LCC, along with Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council and Blackpool Council, consulted Fylde Council on a proposal to produce a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) on onshore oil and gas exploration, appraisal and production. Fylde Council submitted comments on the scope of the document in summer 2014. The draft SPD was produced after this scoping consultation, informed by the |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|-----|------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | comments received. There was an eight week consultation on the draft Onshore Oil and Gas Exploration, Production and Distribution SPD, which ended on 2 March 2015. Once again, Fylde Council submitted comments to LCC on the draft SPD. LCC published an updated draft SPD in November 2015. |
| | | | Lancashire County Council continue to work on a Minerals and Waste Local Plan for Lancashire which will include Onshore Oil and Gas Exploration, Production and Distribution. The industry is still in the exploration phase. If a shale gas production industry is developed in Fylde, the Council acknowledges it will need to consider the scale and rate of shale gas development and review any cumulative impacts. |
| мм3 | 31 | SO3 | Additional bullet point: |
| | | | g. Seek to resolve congestion and capacity issues on M55 Junction 4 exacerbated by development over the Local Plan period. |
| MM4 | 33 | Chapter 5 (NP1 and 5.1-5.5) | Delete chapter and renumber subsequent chapters |
| MM5 | 37 | S1 | Within the rural areas, development will be restricted to the Tier 1: and Tier 2: Larger and Smaller Rural Settlements, except where development involves a like-for-like redevelopment of an existing property, the appropriate reuse of an existing building or minor infill development except where development is allowed by Policy GD2, GD3 or GD4 as applicable. |
| MM6 | 40 | DLF1 | The Local Plan will deliver provide sites for a minimum of 7,768 8,715 new homes along with and a minimum of 60.6 Ha (gross requirement) of additional employment use land over the plan period to 31 March 2032. |
| | | | Locations for Development |
| | | | Strategic Locations for Development |
| | | | Four Strategic Locations for Development form the basis for the Local Plan Development Strategy. The Local Plan Development Strategy is to direct the majority of future growth to the most sustainable locations, specifically to the four Strategic Locations for Development. |
| | | | The four Strategic Locations are: |
| | | | Lytham and St Annes; |
| | | | Fylde-Blackpool Periphery; |
| | | | Warton; and |
| | | | Kirkham and Wesham. |
| | | | Development of the strategic Strategic sites Sites at these Strategic locations Locations are is key to ensuring that the |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|-----|------|----------------------|---|
| | | | Development Strategy is achieved. |
| | | | Non-strategic locations <u>Locations for Development</u> |
| | | | Non-strategic development sites (amounting to between 10 and 99 homes) are situated within the four Strategic Locations for Development. Non-strategic development sites also occur within and on the edge of the Tier 1: Larger Rural Settlement at Newton and the Tier 2: Smaller Rural Settlements at Clifton and Elswick. Other development will mainly be located in the Non-strategic Locations for Development, which comprise the Local Service Centre of Freckleton, the Tier 1 Larger Rural Settlements and the Tier 2 Smaller Rural Settlements. |
| | | | Broad Distribution of Development |
| | | | Strategic Locations |
| | | | Around 7845 homes, representing 90% of homes to be developed in the plan period (including small sites) and 59.6 ha of employment land will be located in the four Strategic Locations for development. |
| | | | Non-strategic Locations |
| | | | Around 870 homes, representing 10% of homes to be developed in the plan period (including small sites) and 2.4 ha of employment land will be located in the Non-strategic Locations. |
| | | | Windfalls and small sites allowances (including small committed sites) |
| | | | Windfalls and non-strategic sites will occur within the Strategic Locations for Development. An allowance should be made for non-strategic sites within the Strategic Locations for Development and within and adjacent to Tier 1: Larger Rural Settlements and Tier 2: Smaller Rural Settlements.—Small housing sites (amounting to between 1 and 9 homes) are not allocated; they can occur throughout the borough where compliant with the other policies of the plan. Small sites are provided for through a windfall allowance of 40 homes per annum in years 10 to 21 of the plan. The delivery of small sites that are already committed is included within the Housing Trajectory (Appendix 2): this provides for the delivery of small sites up to year 10 of the plan. Small committed sites and windfalls yet to come will provide around 1040 homes within the plan period (11% of the housing requirement). There may also be some larger windfall sites that will also contribute to this figure. |
| MM7 | 41 | 6.21-6.22 | Amend paragraph 6.21 as follows: |
| | | | Broad Distribution of Development |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|-----|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | Table 2 shows the distribution of development planned for Fylde to the end of the plan period, in 2032, including the four Strategic Locations for Development; and development that will occur in Tier 1: Larger Rural Settlements and Tier 2: Smaller Rural Settlements is included in the Non-Strategic Locations for Development. An allowance has been made for non-allocated sites of less than 10 homes and a windfall allowance. |
| | | | The allocated sites are set out in Policies SL1 to SL5. The Housing Trajectory (Appendix 2) shows how the sites will be delivered over the plan period. Chapter 8 provides the justification for the overall amount of employment land provided for through Policy DLF1 and Chapter 9 provides the justification for the overall level of housing provision within the policy. |
| | | | Delete Table 2 in the plan. |
| | | | Amend paragraph 6.22 and add an additional paragraph as follows: |
| | | | Performance Monitoring Indicator 4, in Appendix 8, sets out a target / policy outcome to achieve the following cumulative home completions from 1st April 2011 to the end of the plan period: Lytham and St Annes Strategic Location: 23.3%; Fylde Blackpool Periphery Strategic Location: 29.3%; Warton Strategic Location: 10.6%; Kirkham and Wesham Strategic Location: 14.5% Strategic Locations for Development: 90%; Non-Strategic Locations for Development: 9.7% 10%; and Allowances and unallocated sites: 12.6%. |
| | | | Development Sites |
| | | | Strategic development sites (amounting to 100 or more homes) are set out in Policies SL1 to SL5, prefixed by the letters HSS (for housing) or MUS (for mixed-use). Non-strategic housing sites (amounting to between 10 and 99 homes) are set out in Policies SL1 to SL5, prefixed by the letters HS. Small housing sites (amounting to between 1 and 9 homes) are not allocated within the plan. |
| MM8 | 44 | M1 | Unless a Masterplan or design code has already been prepared by the council, prospective developers of Strategic Sites (100 or more homes) within the Strategic Locations for Development named in policy DLF1 will be expected to prepare a masterplan or design code for their site in consultation with the council. This should be carried out as part of the pre-application consultation process set out in the Statement of Community Involvement., Masterplans and design codes will be prepared by the prospective developers, with the approval of the Council for each allocation site Strategic Site (100 or more homes) within the Strategic |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|-------|----------------------|--|
| | | | Locations for Development named in policy DLF1 . |
| | | | r) Where it is considered that proposals are likely to may have a harmful impact upon the significance of a heritage asset, mitigation measures should be agreed with the Council. However, some harm cannot be mitigated, and without the public benefits, means that the site may be considered inappropriate for development. the proposal should be considered in relation to the provisions of Policy ENV5. |
| MM9 | 44 | 7.6 | Where sites require a masterplan as part of the policy or justification, in order to achieve the comprehensive development of a Strategic Development Location, it is expected that the masterplan will should be prepared by the prospective applicants of the site in consultation with the Council, in advance of the submission of any planning application. It is the Council's intention that Ithe draft masterplan should be the subject of consultation with all stakeholders and interested parties, including the wider community. The masterplan shall be agreed with the Council and thereafter be adopted for the purposes of development management as a development brief in the determination of subsequent planning applications. Where no masterplan has been agreed with the Council in advance, the applicant will be required to prepare a masterplan as part of the Design and Access Statement for the application; the Design and Access Statement including the proposed masterplan will then be required to be subject to pre-application consultation in accordance with national guidance. |
| MM10 | 47-52 | SL1-4 | Proposals for development of the <u>The</u> following strategic and non-strategic sites identified on the Policies Map accompanying this plan will be supported are allocated for <u>development</u> as follows: Masterplans and approved design codes for each <u>Strategic</u> |
| | | | S s ite (100 or more homes) listed above |
| MM11 | 47 | SL1 | Amendments to the table as follows: |
| | | | HSS1 Queensway 930 <u>992</u> |
| | | | HSS3 Lytham Quays 120 <u>119</u> |
| | | | HS1 Queen Mary School 41 35 |
| | | | HS3 Ashton Nurseries 10 <u>12</u> |
| | | | HS6 68 North Promenade & 1 Sandgate St Annes 12 0.0Ha 2016/17 (site deleted altogether) |
| | | | HS10 34-36 Orchard Road 14 <u>12</u> |
| | | | HS14 AXA Lytham 45 65 |
| | | | Projected commencement dates of all sites to be corrected to conform with dates shown in the trajectory in EL9.015 pages |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| | | | 45-48 |
| | | | Additional sites: |
| | | | HS58 Westmoreland House 25 0.0Ha 2017-18 |
| | | | HS59 Land to east of Sefton Road 12 0.0Ha Commenced 2017 |
| | | | HS60 Valentine Kennels 53 0.0Ha 2018-19 |
| | | | HS61 Roseacre, Wildings Lane 45 0.0Ha 2018-19 |
| | | | HS62 Keenans Mill 26 0.0Ha Commenced 2017 |
| | | | HS67 St. Leonards Bridge Garage 38 0.0Ha 2019-20 |
| | | | HS68 Church Road Methodist Church 10 0.0Ha 2018-19 |
| MM12 | 49 | SL2 | Amendments to the table as follows: |
| | | | HSS4 Coastal Dunes 351 <u>429</u> |
| | | | MUS1 Cropper Road East, Whitehills 451 529 5.7Ha <u>Commenced</u> 2016-17 |
| | | | MUS2 Whyndyke Farm 810 <u>630</u> |
| | | | HSS5 Cropper Road West 442 250 |
| | | | HS21 Land to the rear of 11-63 Westgate Road 70 <u>25</u> |
| | | | Projected commencement dates of all sites to be corrected to conform with dates shown in the trajectory in EL9.015 pages 45-48 |
| MM13 | 51 | SL3 | Additional sites: |
| | | | HSS12 Land north of Freckleton Bypass 350 0.0Ha 2020-21 |
| | | | HSS13 Clifton House Farm 115 0.0Ha 2020-21 |
| | | | Projected commencement dates of all sites to be corrected to conform with dates shown in the trajectory in EL9.015 pages 45-48 |
| MM14 | 52 | SL4 | Amendments to the table as follows: |
| | | | HSS8 The Pastures 262 <u>264</u> |
| | | | HS28 Sunnybank Mill 31 <u>52</u> |
| | | | Projected commencement dates of all sites to be corrected to conform with dates shown in the trajectory in EL9.015 pages 45-48 Additional sites: |
| | | | HS57 Brook Farm Dowbridge 170 0.0Ha 2018-19 |
| | | | HS63 Campbells Caravans 30 0.0Ha 2019-20 |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| MM15 | 53 | SL5 | Amendments to the table as follows: |
| | | | HS52 Cobweb Barn Newton 29 <u>40</u> |
| | | | HSS11 Land off Willow Drive Wrea Green 100 86 |
| | | | HS45 Rear of 54 Bryning Lane Wrea Green 25 <u>36</u> |
| | | | HS37 The Refuge, Ruskin Road, Freckleton 13 11 |
| | | | HS56 Sunnydale Nurseries, Garstang Road, Little Eccleston 25 41 |
| | | | HS47 Land North of North View Farm Wrea Green minded to approve <u>allocation</u> |
| | | | Elswick 50 Neighbourhood Development Plan Allocation 2017-18 |
| | | | Projected commencement dates of all sites to be corrected to conform with dates shown in the trajectory in EL9.015 pages 45-48 |
| | | | Additional sites: |
| | | | HS64 Land West of Church Road Weeton 25 0.0Ha 2019-20 |
| | | | HS66 Quernmore Trading Estate Freckleton 100.0Ha 2021-22 |
| | | | HS69 Naze Court, Naze Lane, Freckleton 12 (minus 10 net of demolitions) 0.0Ha 2019-20 |
| | | | HS70 Land West of Woodlands Close, Newton 50 0.0 Ha 2018-19 |
| | | | HS71 North of High Gate and East of Copp Lane, Elswick 24 0.0Ha 2020-21 |
| | | | HS72 Land North of Mill Lane, Elswick 50 0.0Ha 2019-20 |
| | | | HS73 Land North of Beech Road, Elswick 50 0.0Ha 2018-19 |
| MM16 | 55 | 7.21 | The Background Paper includes Tier 1: Larger Rural Settlements, which the Council considers could accommodate between around 100 and/ 150 homes over the plan period; and Tier 2: Smaller Rural Settlements which could accommodate up to around 50 homes over the plan period. |
| MM17 | 56 | GD1 | The boundaries of settlements in Fylde are shown on the Policies Map and in Neighbourhood Development Plans where these have been made. Development will be focussed on previously developed land within and immediately abutting the existing settlements subject to other relevant Local Plan policies being satisfied. |
| | | | Development proposals on sites within settlement boundaries will be assessed against all relevant Local Plan policies. |
| | | | <u>Development proposals outside settlement boundaries will be</u> |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ | Main Modification |
|------|------|-----------|---|
| | | Paragraph | |
| | | | in accordance with Policies GD2, GD3, GD4 and/or GD5 as applicable. |
| | | | Development proposals on greenfield sites within or immediately abutting the existing settlements' boundaries will be assessed against all relevant Local Plan policies, including but not limited to, policies on the settlements' development targets, infrastructure, open and recreational space, the historic environment, nature conservation, mineral safeguarding, flood risk, as well as any land designations or allocations. |
| | | | The significant loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land will be resisted unless it is necessary to deliver development allocated in the Local Plan, or for strategic infrastructure. |
| MM18 | 57 | 8.4 | All land outside settlement boundaries in Fylde is within <u>either</u> the countryside. <u>t</u> The Green Belt and <u>or the</u> Areas of Separation <u>or the Countryside.</u> <u>designation washes over parts of the countryside designation.</u> |
| MM19 | 58 | GD2 | The Green Belt within Fylde is shown on the Policies Map. Within that area national guidance policy for development in the Green Belt will be applied. |
| MM20 | 58 | 8.6-8.7 | Amend paragraph 8.6: |
| | | | No strategic review of the Green Belt within Fylde will be has been undertaken when preparing the Local Plan., although minor alterations may be required to accommodate the precise boundaries of some site allocations or to amend minor anomalies. |
| | | | Amend paragraph 8.7 as follows: |
| | | | Inappropriate development in the Green Belt should not be approved will be resisted unless there are very special circumstances. Paragraphs 87 — 91 of the The Framework sets out the types of development that are considered to be exceptions to inappropriate development and those forms of development that are not inappropriate provided they preserve the openness of, and do not conflict with the purposes of including land within, the Green Belt. these very special circumstances and |
| | | | Policy ENV3 , relating to the Green Infrastructure network Protecting Existing Open Space (Part of the Green Infrastructure network), sets out the positive community benefits the Green Belt can provide in terms of landscape, amenity and open space. Minor changes will be made to the Green Belt boundary at the Coastal Dunes, Clifton Drive North, Blackpool Airport Corridor (site HSS4). |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| MM21 | 59 | GD3 | An Area of Separation is designed to preserve the character and distinctiveness of individual settlements by restricting inappropriate development that would result in the coalescence of two distinct and separate settlements. |
| | | | Areas of Separation identified on the Policies Map are designated to avoid coalescence and to main the character and distinctiveness of the following settlements: |
| | | | Areas of Separation shown on the Policies Map are designated between |
| | | | Kirkham and Newton; and |
| | | | Wrea Green and Kirkham |
| | | | Development will be assessed in terms of its impact upon the Area(s) of Separation, including any harm to the <u>effectiveness</u> of the gap openness of the land between the settlements and, in particular, the degree to which the development proposed would compromise the function of the Area(s) of Separation in protecting the identity and distinctiveness of settlements. Extensions to existing homes will be permissible within the Area of Separation. No new homes will be permitted within the curtilage of existing homes in the Area of Separation. Development will normally be limited to: |
| | | | a) that needed for purposes of agriculture, horticulture or forestry; or other uses appropriate to a rural area, including uses which would help to diversify the rural economy, of a type and scale which would not harm the effectiveness of the gap between the settlements in protecting the identity and distinctiveness of settlements; |
| | | | b) the re-use or rehabilitation of existing permanent and substantial buildings; |
| | | | c) extensions to existing dwellings and other buildings in accordance with Policy H7; |
| | | | d) development essentially needed for the continuation of an existing enterprise, facility or operation, of a type and scale which would not harm the effectiveness of the gap between the settlements in protecting the identity and distinctiveness of settlements; |
| | | | e) isolated new homes in the countryside which meet the criteria set out in Policy H6. |
| | | | f) minor infill development, of a scale and use that does not have a material impact on the rural character of the area and does not conflict with the provisions of policy ENV3, providing that it would not result in any harm to the effectiveness of the gap between the settlements or compromise the function of the Area(s) of Separation in protecting the identity and distinctiveness of settlements. |
| | | | Development that is needed for uses appropriate to a rural |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| | | | area situated within the Area of Separation should be sited carefully to avoid harm to the effectiveness of the gap between the settlements in protecting the identity and distinctiveness of settlements. |
| | | | The Areas of Separation will be a focus for Green Infrastructure. So far as is consistent with the predominantly open and undeveloped character of the area, opportunities to improve public access and appropriate recreational uses will be supported. Similarly, opportunities to conserve, enhance and restore biodiversity and geodiversity value will be encouraged. |
| MM22 | 59 | 8.9-8.10 | An Area of Separation is designed to preserve the character and distinctiveness of individual settlements by restricting inappropriate development that would result in the coalescence of two distinct and separate settlements. The Council prepared a background paper on Areas of Separation in 2014, which included the following criteria to assess potential Areas of Separation: The Council considered the potential to establish Areas of Separation in response to concerns that there was potential for settlements to merge without further protection. The Council produced the Area of Separation Background Paper (2014) which assessed potential Areas of Separation using the following criteria: |
| | | | Distance between settlements or built-up areas (at narrowest point); |
| | | | Current land use; |
| | | | Landscape character; |
| | | | Topography; |
| | | | Development pressure; |
| | | | Planning application history; |
| | | | Local Plan designation; and |
| | | | Other relevant designations. |
| | | | There is a narrow strip of land between the settlement boundaries of Wrea Green and Kirkham, measuring 313 metres at its narrowest point, which is recognised as an area valued locally as part of the Green Infrastructure network. Similarly, there is a narrow strip of land measuring 1,023 metres between Newton and Kirkham. In both cases, it has been demonstrated in the Area of Separation Background Paper that, having considered each area against the criteria, there is potential for the gap between settlements to be compromised, which without protection would over time lead to the progressive coalescence of settlements leading to a loss of distinct identity. Consequently, the policy identifies two Areas of Separation, one between Wrea Green and Kirkham and the other between Kirkham and Newton. The policy will |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|---------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | apply to all forms of development in these two areas. |
| | | | Add additional paragraph following 8.10: |
| | | | The Areas of Separation will be a focus for Green Infrastructure. So far as is consistent with the predominantly open and undeveloped character of the area, opportunities to improve public access and appropriate recreational uses will be supported. Similarly, opportunities to conserve, enhance and restore biodiversity and geodiversity value will be encouraged. |
| MM23 | 60 | GD4 | c) minor extensions to existing homes dwellings and other buildings in accordance with Policy H7; |
| | | | Additional criterion: |
| | | | f. minor infill development |
| 14140.4 | 60 | 0.40 | |
| MM24 | 60 | 8.13 | Minor extensions Extensions to existing homes and other non-residential buildings are also acceptable in principle, providing they do not prejudice the character of the countryside and are appropriately designed. Minor infill development will be of a scale and use that does not have a material impact on the rural character of the area and does not conflict with the provisions of policy ENV3. |
| MM25 | 61 | GD5 | Large Developed Sites in the Countryside <u>and Green</u> Belt |
| | | | The large developed sites in the countryside are listed below and are identified on the Policies Map: |
| | | | The complete or partial re-development of large developed sites in the countryside, including but not restricted to the |
| | | | Universal Products Factory at Greenhalgh; |
| | | | Helical Technologies Ltd at Hillock Lane, Warton; |
| | | | the Westinghouser Springfields in Salwick; |
| | | | the-Naze Lane Industrial Estate at Freckleton; |
| | | | Weeton Barracks Camp; |
| | | | HM Prison Kirkham; |
| | | | Mill Farm Sports Village, Fleetwood Road, Wesham; and |
| | | | Ribby Hall Holiday Village., all of which are identified on the Policies Map, will be permitted subject to the following criteria: |
| | | | The complete or partial redevelopment of these large developed sites in the countryside will be permitted subject to the following criteria: |
| | | | a) The proposal would not result in harm to the character, |

| Ref | _ | Policy/ | |
|------|------|-----------|---|
| Kei | Page | Paragraph | Main Modification |
| | | | appearance or nature conservation value land in the countryside, Areas of Separation, landscape setting, or historic environment or Green Belt in comparison with the existing development, in terms of footprint, massing and height of buildings; |
| | | | f) Mixed use development is promoted on <u>all of</u> these sites. |
| | | | It is not intended that this policy should relate to the redevelopment of redundant farms or holiday caravan sites or parks |
| | | | Her Majesty's Prison Kirkham, which is situated within the Green Belt, is also identified as a large developed site on the Policies Map. Any development of or at this site will be required to be in accordance with the provisions of Policy GD2. |
| MM26 | 61 | 8.15 | It is important that re-development of existing or redundant large developed sites respects the character of land in the countryside or Green Belt land or Areas of Separation in which they are situated or adjacent to Policy GD5 relates only to the specific sites listed in the policy. Redevelopment of other sites within the Countryside, Green Belt or Areas of Separation, such as redundant farms or holiday caravan sites or parks, will be assessed against Policy GD2, GD3 or GD4 as applicable, and any other relevant policies of the plan. |
| MM27 | 62 | GD6 | Mixed use development will be encouraged, particularly on strategic sites, in order to provide. The mix of uses could include local retail centres, and access to employment, commercial, leisure, community and recreational opportunities close to where people live and work uses as well as residential. New businesses will be encouraged to locate within settlements and on redeveloped sites. Community facilities should be multi-functional — this could include areas for skills training programmes. Local businesses should be encouraged to provide training or apprenticeships to local people. The element of mixed use development will depend on the particular site and the character of the surrounding area. Providing it does not undermine housing delivery, Mixed mixed use development will be promoted where the following apply: a. Within An an area within which where the scale and character of uses is such that no single land use |
| | | | predominates. Residential, retailing, business, health, community, educational facilities, recreation, sport, open space and industrial uses may all be represented; b. Where residential and commercial uses can be integrated within the same unit, creating flexible working practices and live / work units, or opportunities for home |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| | | | c. On Strategic Development Sites MUS1, MUS2, MUS3 and MUS4, and on other Strategic Development Sites where appropriate. |
| | | | c. Mixed use development would be supported providing it does not undermine housing delivery. |
| MM28 | 63 | 8.19 | The Framework provides clear guidance in support of mixed use development in paragraphs 17, 21, 37 and 38. Locally, Therefore, it is intended that all development within the categories set out on sites where any of criteria a. to c. in Policy GD6 apply should include an element of mixed use. The Framework contains a particular recommendation for live / work. |
| MM29 | 65 | GD7 | Amend, add a new criterion and correct the identification of the remaining criteria accordingly: |
| | | | Development will be expected to be of a high standard of design, taking account of the character and appearance of the local area, including the following requirements: |
| | | | a. In order to promote community cohesion and inclusivity, new development will be expected to deliver mixed uses, strong neighbourhood centres and active street frontages which bring together all those who live, work and play in the vicinity. |
| | | | j. Ensuring the layout, design and landscaping of all elements of the proposal, including any internal roads, pedestrian footpaths, cycle ways and open spaces, are of high quality and respect the character of the site and local area create user friendly, sustainable and inclusive connections between people and places resulting in the integration of the new development into the built and historic environment. |
| | | | k. Creating safe and secure environments that minimise opportunity for crime and maximise natural surveillance and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion, and there are clear and legible pedestrian and cycle routes and high quality public space, which encourages the active and continual use of public areas. |
| | | | n) All new housing developments should result in a high standard of amenity for occupiers. The standard of amenity |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| | | | for occupiers should not be compromised by inadequate space, poor layout, poor or lacking outlook or inconvenient arrangements for waste, access or cycle storage. Developments should include adequate outside amenity space for the needs of residents. All new homes should comply with all relevant design and quality codes in the National Technical Standards. New homes designed specifically to accommodate the elderly should comply with the National Technical Standards' optional standard M4(3A) in accordance with policy H2. v) New public open space should be provided in a single |
| MM30 | 67 | 8.28 | central useable facility where possible, which is accessible, All new housing developments should be in accordance with the National Technical Standards. The Department for Communities and Local Government has carried out a major review of the technical standards which councils impose on new dwellings as planning conditions with a view to simplifying them and incorporating such standards in the Building Regulations as far as possible. The Building Regulations (Amendment) Regulations, 2015 reflect the outcome of the review as far as the Building Regulations and the building control system are concerned. The review has also resulted in a national space standard for new dwellings. This standard has not been incorporated into the Building Regulations. The standard may be imposed by the Council as a planning condition. Checking whether the standard, where imposed, has been complied with and any enforcement action remains the responsibility of the Council, though it is open for the Council to ask for the assistance of building control bodies in doing so. The Framework stresses that great importance should be |
| | | | given to the design of the built environment. Policy GD7 sets out a series of criteria relating to different aspects of design. These are well understood principles which derive from the wider principles of sustainable development, such as the drive to a low-carbon future, conservation of the natural environment, promoting sustainable economic development and the creation of sustainable communities in healthy environments. Add new paragraph after 8.32: |
| | | | Mandatory housing standards are applied through the Building Regulations. Applicants will need to be aware of these requirements when designing development proposals. In addition optional technical standards may be applied if there is evidence of need. In this case there is evidence to support the application of optional technical standard M4(3A) which is referred to in criterion n. of Policy GD7 and Policy H2. The application of this standard will be secured through planning |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | conditions. |
| | | | Renumber subsequent paragraphs in chapter |
| MM31 | 75 | EC1 | The Local Plan provides sites for 62 ha of new employment land to be delivered during the plan period. The residual requirement will be met on the following sites, which are allocated and also-identified on the Policies Map accompanying this plan. |
| | | | Appropriate uses for each site are listed in the table above. In these locations, Development development proposals for alternative uses to those listed above in these locations will be resisted, unless it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that there is no reasonable prospect of the site being used for employment the specified purposes, having satisfied the tests of Policy GD8. Proposals for alternative uses will also have to satisfy the requirements of other policies of the Plan, in particular Policy GD7. |
| | | | Where unacceptable harm is not caused, the following uses will be permitted: |
| | | | employment development Class B1. Business – B1(a) as an office other than a use within class A2 (financial and professional services); B1(b) for research and development of products or processes; or B1(c) for any industrial process, being a use which can be carried out in any residential area without detriment to the amenity of that area by reason of noise, vibration, smell, fumes, smoke, soot, ash, dust or grit. |
| | | | Class B2. General Industrial and |
| | | | Class B8. Storage or distribution. |
| | | | Within the existing business and industrial areas, listed below, land and premises in Class B Business and Industrial uses will be retained in that use class within the acceptable use classes shown in the table below, unless it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that there is no reasonable prospect of the site being used for employment those purposes, having satisfied the tests of Policy GD8. Proposals for alternative uses will also have to satisfy the requirements of other policies of the Plan, in particular Policy GD7. |
| MM32 | 74 | 9.12 | Consequently, this results in a net an adjusted requirement of for employment land in Fylde, up to 2032, of 62.0 Ha as summarized in table 3 below. |
| | | | Net Adjusted business and industrial land requirement 60.6 - (3.0 + 2.0 + 8.9) + 15.3 |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| MM33 | 77 | 9.15 | Add additional paragraph: |
| | | | Blackpool Airport Enterprise Zone (Site ES5) is allocated in Policy EC1 to provide 14.5 Ha of additional land in the employment uses listed in the policy for that site. The precise mix of uses will be determined through the Masterplan. By contrast, the Lancashire Advanced Engineering and Manufacturing Enterprise Zone at BAE Systems Warton, is listed in Policy EC1 as an existing employment site. This is previously-developed land, contained within the existing BAE Systems site. Policies EC3 and EC4 provide further clarification as to the development that may be brought forward at each site. |
| MM34 | 78 | EC2 | The availability of land in the borough for employment opportunities is limited. Therefore, the Council seeks to retain continued employment use of existing current employment sites. This could include any type of employment use, including agriculture, and may not be restricted to B1, B2 and B8 land uses. |
| | | | Land and premises will be retained in employment uses unless it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Council that there is no reasonable prospect of the site being used for those purposes, having satisfied the tests of Policy GD8. Proposals for alternative uses will also have to satisfy the requirements of other policies of the Plan, in particular Policy GD7. |
| MM35 | 81 | EC4 | a. The Blackpool Airport Enterprise Zone |
| | | | The boundary of the Enterprise Zone is identified on the Policies Map . The designation of the Blackpool Airport Enterprise Zone will help create more businesses, jobs and attract international investment, with positive benefits across the wider economic area. Fylde Council supports the sustainable development of Blackpool Airport, including working to explore the potential to develop commercial aeronautical activity and to relocate operational buildings and facilities closer to the main runway, in the areas outside the green belt, unless there are overriding operational requirements that constitute very special circumstances and which justify development in the Green Belt. The Enterprise Zone will help improve the local economy and also increase the contribution to national growth through targeting the energy industry, advanced manufacturing and engineering, food and drink manufacture and the digital and creative sector. |
| MM36 | 85 | EC5 | The town, district and local centres; and primary and secondary frontages are defined on the Policies Map which includes Inset Plans and accompanies this plan. |
| | | | Development Outside of Town -Centres |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | Proposals for retail, leisure and office development in 'edge of centre' or 'out-of-centre' locations will be considered in line with the Framework, bearing in mind the impacts on existing centres. |
| | | | When assessing proposals for retail, leisure and office development outside of town centres, a local threshold of any development more than 750 square metres, will apply in terms of requiring a retail impact assessment |
| | | | Under c. Local Centres, add additional bullet: |
| | | | A local centre is proposed in Whyndyke |
| | | | Out of Centre |
| | | | Proposals for retail, leisure and office development in 'edge of centre' or 'out-of-centre' locations will be considered in line with the Framework, bearing in mind the impacts on existing centres. |
| MM37 | 93 | EC7 | Non serviced Loss of serviced tourist accommodation either to non-serviced accommodation or to other uses in these areas will be resisted. |
| MM38 | 98 | H1 | The Council will provide for and manage the delivery of new housing by: |
| | | | a) Setting and applying <u>a minimum housing requirements as</u> follows: of • 370 415 net homes per annum for the plan period 2011-2032 |
| | | | b) Keeping under review housing delivery performance on the basis of rolling 3 year completion levels <u>as set out in accordance</u> with the Monitoring Framework at Appendix 8. If, over the latest 3 year review period, any targets relating to housing completions are missed by more than 20% the delivery of uncommitted sites will be adjusted as appropriate to achieve a higher delivery; provided this would not adversely impact on existing housing or markets within or outside the Local Plan area., |
| | | | c) Ensuring there is enough deliverable land suitable for house building capable of providing a continuous 5 year supply calculated using the "Liverpool" method from the start of each annual monitoring period and in locations that are in line with the Policy DLF1 (Development Locations for Fylde) and suitable for developments that will provide the range and mix of house types necessary to meet the requirements of the Local Plan. |
| | | | d) The delivery of the developable sites, which are allocated |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|--------------------------|---|
| | | | for housing and mixed use from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2032 <u>and provided for through allowances</u> , to provide a total <u>for a minimum</u> of 7,891 <u>8,715</u> homes. |
| MM39 | 96 | 10.13-10.17 and 10.19 | 10.13 The findings of the original SHMA and Addendums 1 and 2 have been incorporated into the Housing Requirement Paper 2015 which sets out the Council's housing requirement figure. The Housing Requirement Paper also considers the local economy, market signals (as set out in the Planning Practice Guidance) and the need for affordable housing. It concludes that a figure of 370 dwellings per annum will meet Fylde's objectively assessed need to 2032. The 2014-based household projections to 2039 for England were published on 12 July 2016, and provide a new 'starting point' for the assessment of housing needs in line with the PPG. A further piece of work was commissioned by Fylde to take account of the 2014 SNHP and updated economic forecasts – The Objectively Assessed Housing and Economic Development Needs and the Fylde Local Plan to 2032(May 2017) (Addendum 3). Addendum 3 concludes that the evidence indicates an Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) of between 410-430 dwellings per annum. The Council has considered the findings and has determined the housing requirement for the 2011-2032 plan period is 415 net dwellings per annum. 10.14 This figure of 370-415 dwellings per annum will be has been used to determine how much deliverable land will be is allocated in the Fylde Local Plan to 2032 10.15 Councils are required to have a five year supply of housing land available (SHLAA, 2015). Where an authority is unable to demonstrate a five year supply, applications for |
| | | | housing development will be decided with regard to policy NP1, the 'presumption in favour of sustainable development' contained within paragraph 14 of the Framework. Unless there is an overriding reason why an application should be refused, the Council may find it difficult to resist development which it may consider unsuitable for other reasons. The housing supply will be reviewed at least annually as part of the Council's Authority Monitoring Report and Housing Land Supply Statement. |
| | | | 10.16 The historic rate of delivery of new homes in Fylde, before the recession, averaged around 250 homes each year. The annual housing requirement for Fylde is 370 415 net dwellings per annum. A calculation of 370 415 net dwellings per annum for 21 complete calendar years from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2032 produces an overall net housing requirement figure of a minimum of 7,768 8,715 for the Plan period. The Council has identified sufficient sites, including an allowance for small sites and windfalls, to provide a supply figure of 7,891 8,819 homes over the Plan period. |
| | | | 10.17 The supply provides a small amount of headroom above the housing requirement for the Plan period: |
| | | | Requirement: 7,768 homes |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | Proposed supply: 7,891 homes |
| | | | The proposed supply will provide approximately 376 homes over 21 years which amounts to an extra 6 homes per year. 1,538 dwellings have been completed in Fylde from 2011-2017, an average of 256 dwellings per annum. When this figure is subtracted from the overall plan period requirement of 8,715, it gives a residual requirement of 7,177 dwellings to be completed from 2017 to 2032. This figure (7,177) includes the shortfall which has accrued during the early years of the plan when large sites were in the planning process. This equates to 479 dwellings per annum for the remaining years of the plan period. This is the 'Liverpool' method, for the purpose of calculating the 5 year housing land supply and is necessary in order to provide the most effective strategy to facilitate the delivery of housing during the plan period. |
| | | | is shows the anticipated that individual sites will deliver delivery of homes in relation to the requirement, throughout the plan period to 2032. A detailed trajectory will be published at least annually as part of the Council's Housing Land Supply Statement. The Council's annual monitoring of housing completions has revealed that since the start of the Local Plan period a shortfall of 802952 homes has accrued as at 31st March 2017. Planning application commitments amount to 5,087 6,111 homes as at 31 March 2016 30th September 2017. This means that is 65% 70% of the requirement for the plan period's requirement already has planning permission. Completions are anticipated to increase as larger sites commence delivery. The shortfall of 802 homes has been spread over the remainder of the plan period and added onto the annual requirement figure of 370 homes resulting in an annual requirement figure of 420 homes from 2016 2032. The shortfall of 952 homes will be delivered over the remainder of the plan period to 2032. |
| MM40 | 99 | H2 | Developments will be expected to make efficient use of land, whilst avoiding detrimental impact on the amenity, character, appearance, distinctiveness and environmental quality of the surrounding area. It is expected that this will normally result in a minimum net residential density of 30 homes per hectare. |
| | | | Mix |
| | | | A broad mix of types and sizes of home, suitable for a broad range of age groups, will be required on all sites to reflect the demographics and housing requirements of the Borough as set out in the Fylde Coast Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2014). The mix required will be adjusted according to updated future Housing Needs Assessments over the plan period. |
| | | | All developments of 10 or more dwellings will therefore be required to include at least 50% of dwellings that are 1-, 2- or 3-bedroom homes. Developments within or in close proximity to the Tier 1 Larger Rural Settlements or Tier 2 Smaller Rural Settlements should include at least 33% 1- or 2- |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | bedroom homes. These requirements will be adjusted in response to the findings of future Housing Needs Assessments conducted on behalf of the Council. |
| MM41 | 101 | 10.25 | Add new text at end of paragraph: |
| | | | Lower net residential densities may be justified, where it would reflect and enhance the local character of the surrounding area in accordance with Policy GD7, whilst also making efficient use of land as required. |
| MM42 | 107 | H4 | All market housing schemes of 10 or more homes will be required to provide 30% affordable housing / starter homes, unless robust viability testing |
| | | | Tenure |
| | | | Developers will be required to provide the number of starter homes on site to meet the Starter Homes Requirement in accordance with the proportion of new homes specified in the Regulations. The remainder of affordable housing, to make the total to 30% of all new homes, should be for social rent or affordable rent through a Registered Social Landlord to applicants in housing need, unless otherwise specified by the Council. |
| MM43 | 111 | H5 | The Local Plan will deliver a total of 26 extra pitches for Gypsies and Travellers in Fylde Borough up to the year 2031: |
| | | | • 17 of which need to be delivered in the period 2014- 2019, |
| | | | • 3 more in the period 2019-2024, |
| | | | • 4 more pitches in 2024-2029, and |
| | | | • 2 additional pitches in 2029-2031 |
| | | | The Local Plan will provide sites for 3 extra pitches for Gypsies and Travellers meeting the definition in Annex 1 of the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites 2015 in Fylde Borough up to the year 2032. The allocations are commitments which provide 5 pitches. |
| | | | New Gypsy and Traveller pitches will be allocated at the following site(s): |
| | | | Thames Street, Newton (up to 3 pitches) |
| | | | The Stackyard, Bryning with Warton (2 pitches) |
| | | | The Council will continue to work with local communities including Gypsies and Travellers in order to identify sites to meet the identified need. However, in the absence of sufficient sites coming forward to meet the identified need, in addition to the sites identified above, Where there is a demonstrated need for pitches or plots from Gypsies, Travellers and/or Travelling Showpeople in the borough, as defined in National Planning Policy, which is additional to the need identified by the Blackpool, Fylde and Wyre Gypsy and |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|---------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | Traveller Accommodation Assessment Update 2016, and cannot be accommodated within the allocated sites, planning permission for new Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople's sites will be granted where all of the following criteria can be met: |
| | | | a. There is evidence of need for a new Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showpeople's site and the site would provide for the permanent and/or transit accommodation needs in that area or neighbouring <u>authority</u> area; |
| | | | f. The detailed design of the site for Gypsies and Travellers should be in accordance with The Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites Good Practice Guide DCLG 2008. Smaller sites of 3-4 pitches are successful, making good use of small plots of land, particularly where designed for one extended family. (Criterion f does not apply to Travelling Showpeople Sites); |
| MM44 | 112-113 | 10.76-10.79 and 10.81 | 10.76 National Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, March 2012, 2015 says states that councils will need to set their own pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Show People, which address the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring planning authorities. Planning Policy for Traveller Sites 2015 requires that the Council should identify a supply of deliverable land sufficient to provide five years-worth of pitches, identify a supply of specific developable sites or broad locations for years 6-10 and where possible years 11-15. The National Planning Policy for Traveller Sites requires councils to allocate sites. In addition, Travelling Showpeoples' sites will need to include mixed uses. There will therefore be implications for employment as well as housing land. Annex 1 of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites 2015 provides the definition of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople for the purposes of national planning policy. 10.77 Fylde Council, Wyre Borough Council and Blackpool Council (the Fylde Coast sub-regional` Authorities) jointly commissioned consultants, Opinion Research Services to carry out a Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople |
| | | | Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) in 2014. Planning Policy for Traveller Sites concludes that the Council should identify a supply of deliverable land sufficient to provide five years worth of pitches, identify a supply of specific developable sites or broad locations for years 6-10 and where possible years 11-15. An update of the GTAA was undertaken in 2016, to take account of the fact that the definitions of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople used in the original assessment were out-of-date. The GTAA and its Update should be read in conjunction. |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| | | | understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople within the Fylde Coast sub-region as a whole and for each of the three Councils. The study also provides an evidence base to enable the authorities to comply with their requirements towards Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act, 2004. The estimated new pitch provision required for Gypsies and Travellers in the Fylde Coast sub-region over the next 17 years to 2031 is 82 16 pitches to address local needs. For Fylde Borough, a total of 26 3 extra pitches, for Gypsies and Travellers, are required up to the year 2031.÷ |
| | | | • 17 pitches need to be delivered in the period 2014- 2019, |
| | | | 3 more pitches in the period 2019-2024, |
| | | | 4 more pitches in 2024-2029, and |
| | | | 2 additional pitches in 2029-2031. |
| | | | The GTAA is being updated in 2016, the Council is working jointly with Wyre and Blackpool Councils under the Duty to Cooperate, including consideration of the changes to national policy. The required pitch provision will be reviewed in response to the sub-regional need identified in the updated GTAA. |
| | | | 10.79 The estimated extra residential plot provision required for Travelling Showpeople in the Fylde Coast sub-region over the next 17 years to 2031 is 14 24 plots, to address local needs. For Fylde Borough, no extra plots are required for Travelling Showpeople over the next 17 years period to 2031. |
| | | | 10.81 Policy H5 sets out the location for the provision of pitches for Gypsies and Travellers in Fylde up to 2031, in accordance with the timetable set out in the Fylde Coast GTAA. A Call for Sites was undertaken in January – February 2015, but this did not result in the identification of any suitable sites. The sites shown in policy H5 are committed. The Council will regularly issue calls for sites and consider other potential sources of sites to meet identified need for pitches/plots for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople meeting the definition in Annex 1 of the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites 2015, when it is identified. |
| MM45 | 113 | H6 | 3. Where such development would represent the optimal viable use of a heritage asset or would be appropriate enabling development to secure the future of heritage assets; or 4. Wwhere the development would re-use redundant or |
| | | | disused buildings and lead to enhancement in the immediate setting. |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| MM46 | 114 | H7 | a. The replacement or extended home is increased in size by a maximum of no more than 33% calculated in relation to the ground floor area of the original home. (This calculation will exclude any outbuildings and integral garages/workshops); and |
| | | | b. The appearance of the <u>a</u> replacement or extended home respects the character of the original building and surrounding rural area <u>and the appearance of an extended home respects</u> the character of the original building and the surrounding rural <u>area.</u> with regard to scale, design and use of materials. |
| MM47 | 114 | 10.86 | The policy therefore includes a maximum 33% increase in ground floor area for the replacement or extension of a home in the countryside. It is considered that this will ensure that such development is proportionate to the size of the original dwelling. The policy itself has a two-pronged approach which considers both the size and appearance of the proposal. Evidence collected by the Council demonstrates that Fifty one percent of completions of rural homes – excluding barn conversion, caravans and apartments – are four or more bedroomed homes (2003 – 2016). It is vital that the stock of smaller properties in the countryside is maintained, thereby providing more affordable properties and enabling people to downsize, whilst remaining in their local area. |
| MM48 | 118 | HW1 | In order to help reduce health inequalities, the Council will require health impact screening to be undertaken for all major development proposals on each Strategic Site (100 or more homes) within the Strategic Locations for Development strategic sites through the submission of a masterplan. A full independent Health Impact Assessment will be required if the screening demonstrates a need. The Health Impact Assessments will be assessed by Lancashire County Council, as the public health authority. The outcomes of the screening process should be reflected in the development proposal and should be a consideration in decision-making. |
| MM49 | 121 | HW2 | Development proposals for new community facilities – including developments within the new local centres at Warton, Whyndyke and Whitehills A site for a new primary school will be reserved at Mowbreck Lane, in Wesham — as identified on the Policies Map — for the re-location of Medlar with Wesham CE Primary School on Garstang Road North, in Wesham. Indicative sites for new primary schools are identified within the development sites at Queensway (HSS1) and Whyndyke (MUS2) and are shown on the Policies Map. The Council will work with the Education Authority to identify and deliver a site for a new secondary school once a need is demonstrated within the plan period. |
| MM50 | 122 | 11.28 | Delete paragraph, renumber subsequent paragraphs within |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| | | | chapter |
| MM51 | 123 | HW3 | Amend as follows: |
| | | | Protect existing indoor and outdoor sports facilities, unless: |
| | | | Either: |
| | | | a) They are proven to be surplus to need, as identified in an adopted and up to date Needs Assessment ¹ ; and/or |
| | | | b) This would be over and above any provision made available through CIL 2 ; <u>and/</u> or |
| | | | Last sentence: |
| | | | 3 Commuted sums should be obtained in accordance with an up-to-date adopted Needs Assessment Action Plan ³ . |
| | | | NOTE: |
| | | | 2. Mitigation for the loss of a sports facility/playing field under paragraph 74 of the NPPF does not fall within CIL Regulations. |
| MM52 | 127 | 12.6 | There is a shortage of both-primary and secondary school places in Fylde. The need for new primary schools are identified in Policy HW2 with provision to be included within development sites at Queensway (HSS1) and Whyndyke (MUS2. The priority at present is delivering primary school places. Secondary school provision is almost at capacity. LCC has identified There may be a need for a new secondary school in the Borough within the Plan period, which runs up to 31 March 2032. The Council will work with the Education Authority to identify and deliver a site for a new secondary school once a need is demonstrated during the plan period. LCC is working with Fylde Council to ensure that an appropriate site for a new secondary school is identified and provided. |
| MM53 | 128 | 12.9 | To ensure Fylde's infrastructure capacity is maximised, development of Strategic Sites within the Strategic Locations for Development should be masterplanned in accordance with policy M1. and Developments should be located where there is existing infrastructure capacity, wherever possible. |
| MM54 | | INF2 | f) The provision of a new Local Service Centre at-The development of Whitehills,-Whyndyke and at-Warton to become Local Service Centres, including land and buildings to accommodate new-local retail centres Local Centres to provide services and meet the daily retail needs of the local residents; |
| MM55 | | 12.37 | Proposals for new roads and for strategic highways improvements will need to comply with the requirements of policy GD7: Achieving Good Design in Development, policy |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | ENV1 on landscape, and policy ENV2 on biodiversity <u>and policy</u> ENV5 on Historic Environment. |
| MM56 | 134 | T2 | The Aerodrome at Warton is a key piece of strategic infrastructure. The Council places great importance on the retention and development of its aviation capabilities, particularly in relation to military aerospace and information. Further dDevelopment proposals within the defined safeguarded area north of the BAE Systems runway at Warton Aerodrome, Freckleton will not be permitted, except limited extension to existing properties: unless the applicant can demonstrate that there would not be any potential for adverse impacts on aviation operations, or on defence navigation systems and communications. Development proposals within the wider area surrounding Warton Aerodrome will be assessed for potential for adverse impacts on aviation operations, and on defence navigation systems and communications. Where such impact is identified, planning permission will be refused. Development proposals that could compromise the security of the Warton Aerodrome and wider BAE Systems site at Warton |
| MM57 | 134 | 12.38 | will not be permitted. To the north of BAE Systems runway at Warton Aerodrome, in Freckleton there are a number of fields which have to date remained undeveloped because they were safeguarded from development in the adopted Local Plan. In view of their close proximity to the aerodrome and taking into account the nature of the operations at BAE Systems, which include the testing of experimental aircraft, and the recorded high level of noise in the area, the Council considers that the open areas on the north side of the runway should remain undeveloped. This view has been endorsed by the Ministry of Defence. |
| | | | Warton Aerodrome forms a key piece of strategic infrastructure; the site is designated as an Enterprise Zone and is a major existing employment site, itself of national strategic importance. The aerodrome provides a secure military testing facility for aircraft assembled in the adjoining manufacturing plant. Obviating impacts on the operation of the aerodrome is therefore of great strategic importance. Policy T2 therefore places great importance on the avoidance of impacts on aviation and communications. |
| | | | Safeguarded areas for Warton Aerodrome are determined in accordance with The Town and Country Planning (Safeguarded Aerodromes, Technical Sites and Military Storage Areas) Direction 2002 (As Updated). Safeguarded areas are identified on safeguarding maps which are provided by the operator and certified (in the case of Warton) by the Ministry of Defence. The safeguarded area reflects the need to restrict built development within the zone immediately surrounding the site, but also the need to restrict the height of built development in wider zones, in order to ensure safety for both aircraft crew and people on the ground. It also reflects the |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|----------|-------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | | need to prevent interference to communication systems. |
| MM58 | 135 | Т3 | First line: |
| | | | The land designated as Green Belt within open lands of the airport, which is identified on the Policies Map will be safeguarded |
| MM59 | 135- 136 | 12.41-12.42 and 12.46 | 12.41 The majority of the residual airport lands are designated as Green Belt in order to retain the separation between Blackpool and St Annes. The Council will safeguard the residual airport lands in the interests of the Airport and the Green Belt. Sufficient land within the Airport complex has been omitted from falls outside the Green Belt to facilitate further airport operational development. Development proposals within the Green Belt, whether to provide for airport operational development, or other development associated with the Enterprise Zone, would have to demonstrate very special circumstances to justify the need to use Green Belt land. Final sentence: 12.42 The maps showing the safeguarded areas that are |
| MM60 | 139 | T4 | 12.46 Safeguarded areas for Blackpool Airport are determined in accordance with The Town and Country Planning (Safeguarded Aerodromes, Technical Sites and Military Storage Areas) Direction 2002 (As Updated). Safeguarded areas are identified on safeguarding maps which are provided by the operator and certified (in the case of Blackpool) by the Civil Aviation Authority. The safeguarded area reflects the need to restrict built development within the zone immediately surrounding the site, but also the need to restrict the height of built development in wider zones, in order to ensure safety both for aircraft crew and passengers, and for people on the ground. It also reflects the need to prevent interference to communication systems and the creation of bird hazard. All planning applications within the Airport-Safeguarding Zone Area will be the subject of consultation with the operator of the airport. The Airport Safeguarding Zone around Blackpool Airport, incorporates Centrica's heliport. Elsewhere in the Borough, development over a certain height will also be the subject of consultation. There may be restrictions on the height or detailed design of buildings or on development which might create a bird hazard. I) Improve and upgrade the North Fylde Railway Line and |
| 1-11-100 | 133 | 17 | the South Fylde Railway Line, including improved service frequency on the latter; and |
| | | | All planning applications for developments that generate |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | significant amounts of movement should be supported by a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment, prepared in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance; Any mitigation identified in the Transport Assessment or Transport Statement that is required to make the development acceptable must be implemented in accordance with the requirements of the Highway Authority. |
| MM61 | 154 | CL3 | First paragraph: Renewable and low carbon energy development potential – excluding on shore wind turbines - is significant in Fylde. Developers of commercial, small and medium sized renewable and low carbon energy developments will be required to provide evidence, to the satisfaction of the Council, in support of their proposals by taking into account all of the following: Opportunities for renewable and low carbon development, including microgeneration, should be maximised, while ensuring that adverse impacts are addressed satisfactorily; including cumulative landscape and visual impacts. Proposed developments will be assessed in relation to the following criteria: |
| MM62 | 155 | CL3 | e. Impacts on land resources, in particular that the development would not be sited on the best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 1, 2 and 3a), <u>unless it is demonstrated that poorer quality land could not be used instead</u> , and that the benefits of the development outweigh the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land and any other adverse impacts of the proposal. In the case of solar farms, the most compelling evidence must be provided to demonstrate the above. Impacts should also be considered on and areas of deep peat which function as a carbon store. |
| | | | f. That the proposal for renewable and low carbon energy would not harm the significance of heritage assets and their settings unless the proposal meets the requirements of Policy ENV5. |
| | | | Renewable and low carbon energy proposals within the Green Belt and Areas of Separation will need to demonstrate that any adverse impacts of granting permission will not significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the Framework as a whole, specific policies in that Framework, or other policies in the Local Plan-very special circumstances where elements of any proposed renewable energy project comprises inappropriate development. Renewable and low carbon energy proposals within Areas of Separation will be assessed in terms of its impact upon the effectiveness of the gap between the settlements in protecting the identity and distinctiveness of settlements. |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|---|
| MM63 | 156 | 13.54 | In March 2015 a ministerial statement The Written Ministerial Statement on Solar Energy: Protecting the Local and Global Environment made on 25 March 2015 raised concerns that |
| MM64 | 160 | ENV1 | At the end of the first paragraph add: Development will also need to have regard to any impact on valued landscapes. In addition: |
| MM65 | 161 | 14.6 | In the last line: The Council intends to prepare a Valued Landscapes SPD in 2017, to accompany policy ENV1 carry out landscape appraisals to assist in identifying valued landscapes that accord with paragraph 109 of the Framework. |
| MM66 | 164 | ENV2 | In the last paragraph in section A: Development that would directly or indirectly affect any sites of local importance including ancient woodland or ancient and veteran trees will be permitted only where |
| MM67 | 170 | ENV3 | Protecting Existing Open Space (Part of the Green Infrastructure network) Existing Open Space is identified on the Policies Map denoted by the following descriptions: Parks and Gardens Semi-Natural Greenspaces Amenity Greenspace Children's Play Areas Local Areas and Local Equipped Areas for Play Youth Provision Allotments Cemeteries/Churchyards Rugby Pitches Rugby Pitches Cricket Pitches The existing areas of Existing eOpen sSpace which are identified on the Policies Map, comprise provide a critically important part of the Green Infrastructure network within Fylde. The Green Infrastructure network Existing Open Space will be protected from inappropriate development, having particular regard to the multi-functional benefits of open spaces, as follows: Rubbie Existing Open Space (the Green Infrastructure |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | network), including sports and playing pitches (subject to policy HW3: Protection and Provision of Indoor and Outdoor Sports Facilities), will be protected unless the requirements of paragraph 74 of the Framework are met and the findings of any published and adopted needs assessment are met. |
| | | | b. PublicExisting oOpen sSpace (the Green Infrastructure network), including sports and playing pitches (subject to policy HW3: Protection and Provision of Indoor and Outdoor Sports Facilities), will be protected unless it can be demonstrated that any proposal will not have adverse effects contrary to the landscape, biodiversity and water management requirements of the Local Plan are met, and the requirements set out in the other criteria in this policy are met. |
| | | | c. Development will not be permitted on <u>E</u> existing public <u>O</u> open <u>S</u> space (the Green Infrastructure network) which is considered |
| | | | d. Development will not be permitted on <u>Existing Oopen Sepace</u> that makes a positive contribution to the historic environment including the character, appearance and setting of conservation areas and listed buildings, <u>unless the proposal meets the requirements of Policy ENV5</u> . |
| | | | e. Development that results in the loss of public open space (the Green Infrastructure network) or sports and recreation facilities (including playing fields) will only be permitted if one of the following criteria are met: |
| | | | The open space has been identified by the council as being unsuitable for retention because it is poorly located; |
| | | | the proposed development would be ancillary to the use of the site as open space and the benefits to recreation would outweigh any loss of the open area; or |
| | | | Successful mitigation takes place and alternative, enhanced provision is provided in the same locality. |
| | | | fe. Development that results in the loss of land currently used for allotments as shown on the Policies Map will only be permitted when: |
| | | | gf. Fylde's Public Rights of Way network |
| MM68 | 176 | ENV5 | Amend as follows: |
| | | | In addition the Council will: |
| | | | Work with partners to design and manage the public realm in historic areas. |
| | | | Look for opportunities for new development within the Borough's Conservation Areas and within the setting of heritage assets to enhance or better reveal their |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|-----|------|----------------------|--|
| | | | significance. |
| | | | |
| | | | Last paragraph under listed buildings amend and add: |
| | | | <u>W</u> There the loss of the whole or part of a heritage asset is approved this will be subject to an appropriate condition or planning obligation to ensure that any loss will not occur until a contract is in place to carry out the development that has been approved. |
| | | | Where development proposals lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm shall be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal, including securing its optimum viable use, having special regard to the desirability of preserving the building, its setting and any features of special architectural or historic interest it possesses. |
| | | | Under Conservation Areas amend as follows: |
| | | | Demolition, <u>or</u> other substantial loss or harm to the significance of a building or feature, —including trees, landscapes, spaces (public or private open space) and artefacts —that make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, |
| | | | Proposals should: |
| | | | b. Preserve or enhance features, making a positive contribution <u>.</u> , in <u>In</u> particular, |
| | | | c. Not have an unacceptable impact on historic street patterns or roofs pac cape |
| | | | Last line under Public Realm and the Historic Environment: |
| | | | The public realm needs to be appropriately managed and maintained, in accordance with the Built Heritage Strategy for Fylde, so that it adds to the character, quality and distinctiveness of the heritage asset. Registered Historic Parks and Gardens |
| | | | |
| | | | Under Registered Historic Parks and Gardens amend as: |
| | | | Lytham Hall Park, Lytham- |
| | | | should ensure that development does not cause significant harm to the enjoyment, layout, design, quality, character, appearance or setting of that landscape, cause harm to key views from or towards these landscapes or, where appropriate, prejudice their future restoration. |
| | | | Under locally important heritage assets amend the first two |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|---|------|---|---|--|
| | | | paragraphs: | |
| Memorial Park in Kirkham, Lowther Gardens, Lytham Park cemetery gardens and the Lancaster Council recognises the importance of these assets therefore designate such assets through a Local Lis | | These include Fairhaven Lake, Clifton Hall, Singleton Hall, Memorial Park in Kirkham, Lowther Gardens, Lytham Green, Lytham Park cemetery gardens and the Lancaster Canal. The Council recognises the importance of these assets and will therefore designate such assets through a Local List to strengthen the presumption in favour of their retention conservation. | | |
| | | | Development which would remove, harm or undermine the significance of a locally important heritage asset, or its contribution to the character of the area, will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances, where robust evidence can demonstrate that the public benefits of the development would clearly outweigh the harm based on a balanced judgement. | |
| | | | Under scheduled monuments and other archaeological remains amend as: | |
| | | | Development which would result in harm to the significance of a scheduled monument and or other nationally important archaeological site sites will not be permitted, unless it can be demonstrated that the public benefits which cannot be met in any other way of the development would clearly outweigh the harm. | |
| | | | Where there is the known or potential for non-designated archaeology, developers will be expected to investigate the significance of the any archaeology prior to the determination of an application for the site | |
| | | | Developers need to undertake research at an appropriate early stage to find out where archaeological remains are establish whether or not archaeology exists or whether there is the potential for it to exist in order to inform decisions in respect of the site | |
| MM69 | 209 | Appendix 2 Housing Trajectory | Replace the information in the main table of the Housing Trajectory with a graph. | |

| Ref Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification |
|------------------|--|--|
| | | Housing Trajectory 1000 800 600 400 200 0 71-17-000 800 10000 8000 4000 2000 0 72-17-17-000 10000 8000 10000 8000 10000 10000 8000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 100000 1000000 |
| MM70 227- 232 | Appendix 8 Performance Monitoring Framework | The Performance Monitoring Framework of key policies in the Local Plan, set out below, identifies indicators relevant to the objectives of the Local Plan. Monitoring will help to identify how well the policies are working and also identify any adverse effects deficiencies in the performance of policies. If any adverse effects arise Trigger for Action points are reached, this will trigger a review invoke the Contingency/Action required: these are set out in the table. The policies will be reviewed or mitigation measures developed to overcome and prevent further adverse effects. Add columns: Trigger for Action; and Contingency/Action (between fourth and fifth columns) Indicator: Indicator: Net additional homes completed Annual net homes completions against the residual number required for 2018-2032 of 479 homes per annum Target: Annual net homes completions against to be at least the target requirement residual number required for 2018-2032 of 370-479 homes per annum. Triggers: (1) Failure to deliver 95% of the residual number over a 3-year rolling period, i.e. 1,365 net homes over 3 years; (2) Failure to deliver 85% of the requirement over a 3-year rolling period, i.e. 1,321 net homes over 3 years (3) Failure to deliver 65% of the requirement over a 3-year rolling period, i.e. 934 net homes over 3 years Contingency: (1) Prepare and publish an action plan setting out key reasons for the situation and actions the Council and other parties need to take; (2) Plan for a 20% buffer on the |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|-----|------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | presumption in favour of sustainable development as set out in the Framework would apply. A review of housing allocation policies will be conducted, to consider the need to allocate sites in order to prevent the continuation of the operation of the presumption in favour of sustainable development. | |
| | | | Indicator 2 | |
| | | | Indicator: 5 Year supply Number of years' supply of housing deliverable within 5 years (plus the required buffer) calculated using the Liverpool approach | |
| | | | Target: To have a <u>minimum of 5</u> year <u>s'</u> supply <u>(plus the required buffer)</u> of housing land <u>calculated using the Liverpool approach</u> | |
| | | | Trigger: <u>Having fewer than 5 years' supply (plus the required buffer) calculated using the Liverpool approach</u> | |
| | | | Contingency: Consider granting planning permission for sites of between 10 and 15 homes on sites not allocated in the plan, adjacent to the settlement boundaries of the Strategic Locations for Development. | |
| | | | Indicator 3 | |
| | | | Indicator: Housing Trajectory. Total number of homes (net) delivered within the plan period measured against the Housing Trajectory | |
| | | | Target: To deliver a minimum of 7,768 8,715 homes (net) over the plan period from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2032. | |
| | | | Trigger: 20% shortfall on the cumulative requirement of the Housing Trajectory | |
| | | | Contingency: Consider reviewing site allocation policies | |
| | | | Indicator 4 | |
| | | | Indicator: Location of homes completed in relation to the Strategic Locations for Development Policies. Proportion of net homes completed in the Strategic Locations for Development (taken together). Proportion of net homes completed in the Non-strategic Locations | |
| | | | Target: Cumulative homes completed (net) from the start of the Plan period on 1 April 2011 located within - | |
| | | | Lytham and St Annes Strategic Location: 23.3%. | |
| | | | Fylde-Blackpool Periphery Strategic Location: 29.2%. | |
| | | | Warton Strategic Location: 10.6%. | |
| | | | Kirkham and Wesham Strategic Location: 14.4%. | |
| | | | Non-Strategic Locations: 9.6% | |
| | | | Allowances: 12.8%. | |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|-----|------|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | Strategic Locations for Development: 90% | |
| | | | Non-strategic Locations: 10% | |
| | | | Trigger: <u>Cumulative homes completed from the start of the Plan period on 1 April 2011 located within -</u> | |
| | | | Strategic Locations for Development: fewer than 80% | |
| | | | Non-strategic Locations more than 15% | |
| | | | Contingency: Consider the reasons for the imbalance in delivery compared to the target and whether there are any obstacles to delivery affecting sites in particular locations. | |
| | | | Indicator 5: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 6 5 (renumber) | |
| | | | Indicator: Employment land take-up-: cumulative take-up of allocated employment land for employment development from the start of the plan period on 1 April 2011, compared to the requirement of 60.6 Ha (gross requirement) during the plan period divided pro-rata. | |
| | | | Target: Cumulative take-up of allocated employment land for employment development from the start of the plan period on 1 April 2011, to be at least 90% of the compared to the requirement of 60.6 Ha (gross requirement) during at the end of the plan period. | |
| | | | Trigger: Cumulative take up of allocated employment land for employment development less than 50% of the expected prorata amount, or more than 150% of the expected prorata amount. | |
| | | | Contingency: Where take up of allocated employment land for employment development is higher than expected, consider review of allocation policies. Where take-up is lower, consider whether there are obstacles to take-up on particular sites that could be overcome. | |
| | | | Between indicators 6 and 7: add additional indicator: to be new Indicator 6 | |
| | | | Policy: <u>EC3 Lancashire Advanced Engineering and</u> <u>Manufacturing (AEM) Enterprise Zone at BAE Systems, Warton</u> | |
| | | | Indicator: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde over the plan period to date as a direct consequence of the incentives provided by the Enterprise Zone</u> | |
| | | | Target: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde in line with projection</u> | |
| | | | Trigger: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde varies</u> from projection by more than 50% | |
| | | | Contingency: Review whether the annual housing requirement | |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|-----|------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | for Fylde remains aligned with jobs growth within the borough as a whole. | |
| | | | Key Delivery Partners: <u>Fylde Council, Businesses, Developers</u> and <u>Investors.</u> | |
| | | | Between indicators 6 and 7: add additional indicator after new indicator 6 above: to be Indicator 7 ; renumber all subsequent indicators | |
| | | | Policy: EC4 Blackpool Airport Enterprise Zone | |
| | | | Indicator: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde over the plan period to date as a direct consequence of the incentives provided by the Enterprise Zone</u> | |
| | | | Target: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde in line with projection</u> | |
| | | | Trigger: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde varies</u> from projection by more than 50% | |
| | | | Contingency: Review whether the annual housing requirement for Fylde remains aligned with jobs growth within the borough as a whole. | |
| | | | Key Delivery Partners: <u>Fylde Council, Businesses, Developers</u> and <u>Investors.</u> | |
| | | | Indicator 7: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 8: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 9: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 10: renumber: now indicator 8 | |
| | | | Indicator: Sustainable and efficient use of land Density of completed housing development sites. To be calculated using a net developable site area of 60% of the site area for strategic sites. | |
| | | | Target: A density target of 30 homes per hectare or more achieved on completed new-build sites representing at least 90% of the dwellings within those sites in any given year in Strategic Locations for Development, Key Service Centres, Local Service Centres and in Tier 1: Larger Rural Settlements and Tier 2: Smaller Rural Settlements. To be calculated using a net developable site area of 60% of the site area for strategic sites. | |
| | | | Trigger: 30 homes per hectare or more achieved on completed sites representing 75% or fewer of the dwellings within those sites in any given year in Strategic Locations for Development, Key Service Centres, Local Service Centres and | |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|-----|------|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | in Tier 1: Larger Rural Settlements and Tier 2: Smaller Rural Settlements To be calculated using a net developable site area of 60% of the site area for strategic sites. | |
| | | | Contingency: Review how the policy is being applied, the age and circumstances of the planning permissions to which the completions relate where the target was not met; if the policy has been applied accurately to the permissions which led to the trigger being applied, consider whether the policy needs to be reviewed. | |
| | | | Between Indicators 10 and 11: additional indicator: to be Indicator 9 | |
| | | | Local Plan Policies: <u>H2 Density and Mix of New Residential</u> <u>Development</u> | |
| | | | Additional indicator: <u>Proportion of dwellings with full planning permission and on sites which are not yet completed that will have: 1 bedroom; 2 bedrooms; 3 bedrooms; 4 bedrooms; 5 or more bedrooms. Information will be derived from the approved planning applications.</u> | |
| | | | Target: At least 50% of dwellings to have 1, 2 or 3 bedrooms. At least 33% of dwellings at non-strategic locations to have 1 or 2 bedrooms. These targets will be adjusted in response to the findings of future Housing Needs Assessments conducted on behalf of the Council. | |
| | | | Trigger: Less than 50% of dwellings to have 1, 2 or 3 bedrooms. Less than 33% of dwellings at non-strategic locations to have 1 or 2 bedrooms. These triggers will be adjusted in response to the findings of future Housing Needs Assessments conducted on behalf of the Council. | |
| | | | Contingency: Review how the policy is being applied, the age and circumstances of the planning permissions where the target was not met; if the policy has been applied accurately, consider whether the policy needs to be reviewed. | |
| | | | Key Delivery Partners: <u>Fylde Council, Housing Associations,</u> <u>Registered Providers, HCA, private landlords and Developers.</u> | |
| | | | Indicator 11: renumber: now Indicator 10 | |
| | | | Indicator: Number of affordable homes built Percentage of market housing schemes of 10 or more homes granted planning permission that provide 30% affordable homes. | |
| | | | Target: Number of All market housing schemes of 10 or more than 10 homes that to provide 30% affordable homes. | |
| | | | Trigger: Fewer than 90% of market housing schemes of 10 or more homes granted planning permission in any given year provide 30% affordable homes. | |
| | | | Contingency: Consider the circumstances of the permissions granted that have led to the trigger. If a generalised viability problem is indicated, consider a review of the requirement in | |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|-----|------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | the policy. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Indicator 12: renumber: now Indicator 11 | |
| | | | Indicator: Improving community health Number of Health Impact Assessments (HIA) submitted alongside major planning applications on Strategic Sites. | |
| | | | Target: Number of Health Impact Assessments (HIA) submitted alongside all major planning applications on Strategic Sites. | |
| | | | Trigger: <u>Planning Application for a Strategic Site validated</u> without a Health Impact Assessment having been submitted | |
| | | | Contingency: Review how the requirement for HIAs is being implemented. | |
| | | | Indicator 13 renumber: now Indicator 12 | |
| | | | Indicator: Number, Type and Location of Infrastructure Projects delivered. Value of developer contributions collected. | |
| | | | Target: Amount collected each year (as well as the actual implementation of infrastructure projects). All projects listed within the IDP delivered, or commenced delivery, during the plan period. | |
| | | | Trigger: Failure to deliver a project that then results in a delay to the delivery of development sites allocated in the plan. | |
| | | | Contingency: Work with lead organisations and developers to unblock delivery of infrastructure projects. If necessary review alternative ways of meeting the infrastructure need. | |
| | | | Indicator 14: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 15: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 16: renumber: now Indicator 13 | |
| | | | Indicator: Minimise the amount of inappropriate development in Flood Zones 2 and 3. Number of planning applications granted permission for inappropriate development in Flood Risk Zones 2 and 3. | |
| | | | Target: Number of No planning applications granted permission for inappropriate development in Flood Risk Zones 2 and 3. | |
| | | | Trigger: Planning application for inappropriate development in Flood Risk Zones 2 and/or 3 granted planning permission contrary to Environment Agency advice | |
| | | | Contingency: Consider how the policy is being applied | |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|-----|------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | Indicator 17 renumber: now Indicator 14 | |
| | | | Indicator: Change in areas of biodiversity importance. of land covered by local, national or international policy protections for biodiversity, or areas provided for biodiversity in mitigation through developments | |
| | | | Target: Net gains in <u>areas of land specifically dedicated to and protected for</u> biodiversity. | |
| | | | Trigger: Fall in areas of land specifically dedicated to and protected for biodiversity. | |
| | | | Contingency: Consider whether the fall in the areas of dedicated land has been offset by more effective use of the area of land that remains for biodiversity. If not, consider a review of how the policies have been applied. | |
| | | | Indicator 18: renumber: now Indicator 15 | |
| | | | Indicator 18. renumber. now Indicator 15 Indicator: Amount of <u>indoor and outdoor</u> sport, recreation and informal open space gained, and lost to other uses, <u>measured</u> both by number and type of facilities, and by amount of space of each type (with reference to the typology used in the Open Space Study). | |
| | | | Target: Net gains in indoor and outdoor sports facilities and open space provision, by both number of facilities and amount of open space. | |
| | | | Trigger: <u>Unexpected specific losses of facilities (without like for like replacement).</u> | |
| | | | Contingency: consider how the policy is being applied, whether any means exist of preventing or mitigating any loss. | |
| | | | Indicator 19a: renumber: now Indicator 16 | |
| | | | Indicator: Number of Heritage Assets on Historic England's 'At Risk' register. Number of heritage assets at risk on Fylde's Local List of Heritage Assets (once established). | |
| | | | Target: No Heritage Assets in Fylde on Historic England's 'At Risk' register by the end of the plan period in 2032. Reduction in the number of heritage assets on the Historic England's 'At Risk' register. Reduction in the number of heritage assets considered to be "at risk" on the local list of heritage assets once established. | |
| | | | Trigger: Identification of a heritage asset newly listed on "at risk" register. Periodic increase in the number of heritage assets on the "at risk" register in the borough. Identification of a locally listed heritage asset that could be at risk through periodic review. | |
| | | | Contingency: Consider how the Council can contribute to measures to improve the condition of the "at risk" heritage assets. Consider whether the policy is contributing to the | |

| Ref | Page | Policy/ Paragraph | Main Modification | |
|-----|------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | neglect of heritage assets, and if so, consider whether the policy should be reviewed. | |
| | | | Indicator 19b: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 19c: delete indicator | |
| | | | Indicator 19d: delete indicator | |
| | | | Additional indicator after 19d add new Indicator 17 | |
| | | | Policy: Policy EC1: Overall Provision of Employment Land and Existing Employment Sites | |
| | | | Indicator: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde from</u> the start of the plan period | |
| | | | Target: <u>Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde in line with projection</u> | |
| | | | Trigger: Cumulative additional jobs created in Fylde varies from projection by more than 50% | |
| | | | Contingency: Review whether the annual housing requirement for Fylde remains aligned with jobs growth within the borough as a whole. | |
| | | | Key Delivery Partners: <u>Fylde Council, Businesses, Developers</u> and <u>Investors.</u> | |



DECISION ITEM

| REPORT OF | MEETING | DATE | ITEM NO | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|
| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTORATE | COUNCIL | 22 OCTOBER 2018 | 10 | | | |
| GRANNY'S BAY COASTAL DEFENCE | | | | | | |

PUBLIC ITEM

This item is for consideration in the public part of the meeting.

SUMMARY

Further to a successful funding application to the Environment Agency (EA) for a further grant in the sum of £2m in relation to the coast protection works at Granny's Bay, approval is sought to increase the value of the coast protection scheme within the approved capital programme from £19.83m to £21.83m in total, the additional £2m being added in equal parts to years 2019/20 and 2020/21.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Council is recommended:

To approve an increase in the value of the coast protection scheme within the Councils approved Capital Programme in the sum of £2m (£1m for 2019/20 and £1m for 2020/21) to deliver the replacement Coastal Defences scheme at Granny's Bay, the additional works to be fully-funded from a capital grant from the Environment Agency for this purpose.

SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS DECISIONS

Operational Management Committee at its meeting on 12th September 2017 resolved:

- 1. To approve expenditure in the sum of £19.83m in respect of the Fairhaven to Church Scar Coast Protection Scheme which is included within the approved Capital Programme in the total sum of £19.83m; and
- 2. To authorise that a contract to be entered into with the Preferred Bidder for the detailed design and construction of the Fairhaven to Church Scar Coast Protection Scheme.

| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | |
|---|---|
| Spending your money in the most efficient way to achieve excellent services (Value for Money) | ٧ |
| Delivering the services that customers expect of an excellent council (Clean and Green) | ٧ |
| Working with all partners (Vibrant Economy) | ٧ |
| To make sure Fylde continues to be one of the most desirable places to live (A Great Place to Live) | ٧ |
| Promoting Fylde as a great destination to visit (A Great Place to Visit) | ٧ |

REPORT

BACKGROUND AND STRATEGIC CONTEXT

- 1. Fylde Council obtained strategic approval of investment for works at Granny's Bay in the Fylde Coast Protection Strategy from the Environment Agency (Appendix 1). The Strategy contains works at Granny's Bay.
- 2. Whilst Granny's Bay sits in-between and connects Fairhaven and Church Scar, Granny's Bay is not one of the strategic headlands on Fylde Council's coastline. Coupled with this point, due to the economics and foreseen affordability at the time of the Strategy (2014), works at Granny's Bay were deferred into small construction campaigns in year 2030, 2035 and 2040.
- 3. Having received approval within the Strategy, works in the Strategy at Granny's Bay is more a question of timing. The rationale to bring the works at Granny's Bay forward being that efficiencies could be realised in undertaking the works during the current campaign, and also capital works at Granny's Bay will reduce in-year maintenance costs for emergency reinstatements following storm damage to the current time-expired defences.
- 4. During Stage 1 of the Scheme (preparation of Fairhaven and Church Scar); the potential for works at Granny's Bay was noted. To this end Granny's Bay also received full planning consent (for works above high tide), and a Marine Management License (for works below high tide). All license and consents, and the preliminary design for Granny's Bay, is complete. In other words Granny's Bay is 'shovel ready'.

PROJECT GOVERNANCE

5. The Scheme to date has been run in the PRINCE2 environment (**PR**ojects **IN C**ontrolled **E**nvironments). It is proposed that the Scheme continues to operate under PRINCE2 for works at Granny's Bay also.

PROCURMENT AND VALUE FOR MONEY

- 6. With reference to Point 4 above, the potential works at Granny's Bay was also tendered with the main works at Fairhaven and Church Scar under the Environment Agency's Water and Environment Management Framework. The Council reserved its rights during the tender as to whether to award the Granny's Bay element of work to the procured contractor for the main scheme. There were no challenges to the procurement.
- 7. If during final negotiations with the current contractor mutually agreeable prices cannot be arrived at for Granny's Bay that then the works at Granny's Bay will be re-tendered.

COST OF SCHEME AND METHOD OF FINANCING

- 8. From the rules of the Environment Agency grant system, there has been the ability to seek and obtain a further £2m in grant funding (in addition to the current grant approval in the sum of £19.43m) for works at Granny's Bay. This application has received technical approval and has received formal sign off by the respective Environment Agency Director.
- 9. An initial quotation from the contractor for the works at Granny's Bay has been received. This generally apportions circa £2m to the seawall/revetment and circa £800k to the promenade. Contract meetings and a high level director meeting have been held with the contractor. From such negotiations it is likely that the monies associated with the promenade works will be reduced.
- 10. The report to the Operation Management Committee on the 12th September 2017 highlighted that around half-way through delivery of the Scheme the majority of Fylde Council's key risk events will have passed. Also that it was recommended that when these risk events have passed that remaining risk monies are reviewed, with a view of re-investing such coast protection monies into coast protection works at Granny's Bay.
- 11. It is anticipated that there will sufficient project funding to undertake the stepped revetment works of the Granny's Bay scheme. The Granny's Bay promenade will be the last activity to be undertaken around 2020. By this time there will be a strong degree of certainty on materialised risks and remaining risk contingency. This will then allow a decision to be taken whether the construction of the promenade at Granny's Bay can be delivered within the remaining scheme funding. Alternatively it may be necessary to restrict the scheme to the stepped revetment works only and reinstate the soft landscaping, and to undertake the construction of the promenade separately to the currently funded project.

FUTURE REVENUE BUDGET IMPACT

12. Once completed the maintenance of the newly constructed coastal defences will be undertaken by the Preferred Bidder for the first year. After that any maintenance required would be funded from the existing coast defence revenue budget.

RISK ASSESSMENT

- 13. The scheme continues to be assessed as a low risk project as a result of the robust project governance in place, and continues to be managed as such. Should the works at Granny's Bay be authorised then the same approach to risk management will be continued with the use of the overall risk contingency if needed (currently at c£1.8m)
- 14. A high level risk assessment is provided in Appendix 2.

VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

15. An alternative to not undertaking capital works at Granny's Bay would be to continue with the in-year reactive maintenance following storm damage until the long term phased replacement at Granny's Bay is achieved by year 2040.

OBJECTIVES, OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES

16. The objectives, outputs and outcomes of the project are to provide erosion and flood protection to the properties behind Granny's Bay as a capital campaign (with a design life of 100 years), as opposed to a phased replacement with reactive maintenance.

DRAWINGS AND PLANS

17. The current situation at Granny's Bay, along with the proposed drawings and plans (that have received all the necessary license and consents) are headlined documents within the Planning Consent (See Background Papers below).

CONCLUSION

18. The Council are requested to approve an increase in the value of the coast protection scheme within the Councils approved Capital Programme in the sum of £2m to deliver the replacement Coastal Defences scheme at Granny's Bay. The works would be fully-funded from a capital grant from the Environment Agency for this purpose.

| IMPLICATIONS | | |
|---|---|--|
| Finance | This report requests approval to an increase in the value of the coast protection scheme within the Councils approved Capital Programme in the sum of £2m (£1m for 2019/20 and £1m for 2020/21) to deliver the replacement Coastal Defences scheme at Granny's Bay, the additional works to be fully-funded from a capital grant from the Environment Agency for this purpose from the Environment Agency in respect of the Granny's Bay works. | |
| Legal | The current contractor (VBA Limited) has been successfully procured through the Environment Agency's Water and Environment Management Framework. The potential works at Granny's Bay were also tendered as part of the package. No challenges were received. | |
| Community Safety | The works at Granny's Bay will be designed and constructed in line with all applicable safety standards. | |
| Human Rights and Equalities | The design of the works at Granny's Bay will be accessible and conform to national standards. | |
| Sustainability and Environmental Impact | The works at Granny's Bay have been developed embracing the principles of sustainability and received all necessary environmental and ecological licenses and consents. | |

The contractor will have full responsibility for ensuring health and safety both in the detailed design of the works at Granny's Bay and its construction. Commercial risk management will be overseen by the Project Board and Project Assurance.

| LEAD AUTHOR | CONTACT DETAILS | DATE |
|--------------|--|------------------------------|
| Mike Pomfret | Mike.pomfret@fylde.gov.uk 01253 658464 | 28 th August 2018 |

| BACKGROUND PAPERS | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Name of document | Date | Where available for inspection | | |
| Planning Consent | 21 April 2017 | https://www3.fylde.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=summary&keyVal=_FYLDE_DCAPR_47511 | | |

Attached documents

Appendix 1 – Approval of Fylde Council's Shoreline Strategy

Appendix 2 – High Level Risk Assessment

creating a better place



Mr Allan Oldfield

Chief Executive Officer

Fylde Council Town Hall St Annes Rd West Lytham St Annes

FY8 1LW

Our ref:

Your ref:

CPW 1991

Date:

18th February 2014

Dear Allan,

The Flood & Water Management Act 2010

Strategy Approval

Fylde Borough Council - Fylde Coast Protection Strategy

I refer to your submission for approval of the Blackpool Coast Protection Strategy and the associated Strategy Appraisal Report dated November 2013.

I hereby confirm approval to this strategy at an estimated whole life cash cost of £88,000k. As explained in the Memorandum Relating to Flood Risk Management Grants, strategic approval means that the objectives and outline solutions are broadly acceptable. However, it does not commit the Environment Agency to the payment of grant, nor does it mean that works can begin. Before these can happen, you must have formal approval following submission of a Project Appraisal Report in support of each phase or short term programme of works.

This agreement will lapse and a new strategic submission will be required in not more than ten years. In addition, a review of the strategy should be undertaken every 5 years, where the benefit area is subject to substantial urban development or extensive geomorphologic and climate change.

Yours sincerely,

Andy Brown

Area Flood and Coastal Risk Manager, Environment Agency, Lutra House, Dodd Way, Off Seedlee Lane, Walton Summit, Bamber Bridge, Preston, Lancashire PR5 8BX



APPENDIX 2 – High Level Risk Assessment

Committee Risk Assessment Template

| Directorate: Development Servi | ces | | Date of Assessment: 22 nd August 2018 | 3 | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Section: Technical Services | | | Assessment Team: Coastal Defences | | |
| Assessment Activity / Area / Typ | Assessment Activity / Area / Type: GRANNY'S BAY COAST PROTECTION | | | | |
| Do the hazards create a business continuity risk? No | | | | | |
| RISK DESCRIPTION | RISK SCORE (Likelihood x Impact) | RISK MITIGATION | | RESIDUAL RISK SCORE (Likelihood x Impact) | RISK OWNER / RISK REGISTER |
| Failure to maintain effective corporate governance arrangements resulting in a breakdown in internal controls and the failure to achieve corporate objectives. | 8 | Follow established corpora | ate & project governance | 4 | Project Executive |

| EA funding delayed / withheld. | 20 | Do not contract for construction of Granny's Bay until formal grant approval letter received. | 2 | Project Manager |
|---|----|---|---|-------------------|
| Public Objections | 15 | Granny's Bay has received full planning consent / undergone consultation. Feedback form public meetings has been that of wishing for the scheme to be undertaken. | 4 | Project Manager |
| Outturn prices for Granny's Bay exceed funding. | 20 | Ensure strong project management of the contract through the use of trained and experienced resource. | 3 | Project Executive |
| Adverse weather during construction. | 6 | Utilise long term weather forecasts to review Contractor's Programme / provide Early Warnings. | 2 | Project Manager |
| Unforeseen ground conditions during construction. | 6 | Site investigations undertaken, risk for foreseeable conditions transferred to contractor. | 2 | Project Manager |



DECISION ITEM

| REPORT OF | MEETING | DATE | ITEM NO | |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|--|
| RESOURCES DIRECTORATE | COUNCIL | 22 OCTOBER 2018 | 11 | |
| EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC | | | | |

PUBLIC ITEM

This item is for consideration in the public part of the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

Members are invited to consider passing a resolution concerning the exclusion of the public from the meeting in accordance with the provisions of Section 100A (4) of the Local Government Act 1972 on the grounds that the business to be discussed is exempt information as defined under paragraphs 3 and 5 of schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 - Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) and information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings.