## **FYLDE BOROUGH COUNCIL**

## HACKNEY CARRIAGE AND PRIVATE HIRE LICENSING POLICY CONSULTATION

REPRESENTATIONS and RESPONSES RECIEVED

Consultation Period: 28<sup>th</sup> November 2017 to 17<sup>th</sup> February 2017

## **REVISED LICENSING POLICY - SCHEDULE OF RESPONSES**

Relevant Paragraph of Policy	Respondent	Comments Received	Assessment of Comments	Recommended Action
5.5	John Coombes MD Fylde Executive Cars	Signage. I feel that there is currently a problem in relation to Private Hackney Carriage Licence owners vehicles not being readily identifiable as a "Taxi". It is common practice for Hackneys to not travel with roof signs and also hide their Plates whilst carrying out "Executive work" The guidance for Minibus and People Carrier vehicles should be imposed across the Hackney Fleet, requiring Taxis to have stickers on the front and rear that states it is a "taxi"	It is a requirement that hackney carriages, whether being used a hackney carriage or a private family car must always display the plate on the vehicle and the removal/hiding of a plate will be picked up through enforcement work. The proposed advertising/signage requirements allow for the use of the taxi on the front and rear. It is also noted that from a fleet of 102 hackney carriages, currently only 2 vehicles are not currently affiliated to an operator and displaying minimum signage. It is not considered necessary at this time to require additional livery.	No change
		At present I believe the large number of unmarked cars on the Boroughs ranks pose a Public Safety threat and therefore more permanent fixed signage to the side of all Hackneys should be a requirement.	All hackney carriages are required to display vehicle identity plates to the front and rear and the use of a top sign, whilst an identity disc is displayed inside and the driver must also wear his badge. There is no evidence to suggest that the current signage requirements pose a threat but it noted some Authorities now include signage on Hackney Carriages specifying the vehicles identity number. No change is currently proposed but Officers will	

	Special Dispensation for not displaying plates and/or signage. This practice should be restricted to Companys advertising as Corporate Chauffeur Operations only and only when, it is proved on a sample of days, throughout the year, nominated by the Licensing Officer that no less than 90% of the work carried out by the operator was pre-booked by a Corporate client and paid on account or credit card. Special dispensation should also be granted to businesses that can prove that it is a Wedding Car provider 95% of the time.	that to be dispensated from displaying signage, 80% of the work shall be of an executive nature and that the vehicle is appropriate. Random checks are currently conducted by the Licensing Team to note the details of any jobs and its suggested	
	Special dispensation should not be given to any Operator with its business and residential address outside of Fylde Borough.	The role of licensing is that of Public Protection and the Council are obliged to consider all applications. Any applications from persons residing outside the Borough will be treated in line with our existing policies and procedures.	
6.5	An operator's licence should not be issued to any individual or business that does not have a permanent residential or business address, from which they are paying NNDR or Council Tax located within the Fylde Borough.	This is addressed in point 6.5. The Authority will not "normally grant" in such instances but it should be noted that all applications are to be considered on their individual merits.	No change

General		Technology in the Taxi and Private Hire Industry is moving too fast for legislation to keep up. The rise of the offshore taxi booking App Uber and the problems this has raised across the World has proved that Licensing Committees need to recognise the changing habits of Taxi customers and seek to enable new technologies to be used within the Industry. In particular the Booking App is becoming more and more widespread where a customer can book via their phone on a Street corner and get a price, arrival time and monitor their taxis arrival in a matter of moments. Licensing Officers are able to check that such booking systems are set with the right Tariffs and these are easy to check via an App from the comfort of the Licensing Dept's Office — clients do receive a price before accepting the Hiring —so these PDA GPRS Handsets should be allowed in Hackneys and Private Hire vehicles	It is acknowledged that technology is moving significantly quicker than the legislation and numerous elements are outdated. The Authority welcomes booking "apps" which are beneficial to the customer.  However, the legislation does not permit the use of PDA's working through GPS as taxi meters. The case law from Transport for London v Uber London Ltd [2015] suggests that GPS/PDA device is not a taxi meter.	No change
100	01 5 : :	alike, without the need for a fixed meter.		
4.2.2	Giles Bridge (a2z licensing) on behalf of Whitesides Taxis	DBS Check Consider using an outside company to carry out DBS checks in order to speed up the process. Whiteside understand that this is currently under consideration by the Committee.	Enquiries have been made with an outside provider and other options/providers are also being considered. The possibility of moving to external DBS checks will continue to be considered outside this policy.	No change
5.1		Hackney vehicle Suggestion – increase maximum age at first registration for hackneys to 6 years and the maximum age before exceptional condition criteria apply to 14 years. The number of hackney carriage vehicles licensed by Fylde since 20011 has moved between 101 and 103 and now stands at 103. As a private hire operator who has a substantial number of hackney carriage vehicles on their fleet, Whiteside recognise that there is a need for more wheelchair accessible hackney carriage vehicles.	There's 2 elements here. Firstly, it is not proposed to alter the maximum age at which we will consider licensing a vehicle. The maximum age at which a vehicle will be licensed at is 12 years, so hypothetically a vehicle could be submitted for licensing which is which is 11 years, 364 days.	UPDATED Following a representation to the Public Protection Committee by Giles Bridge of A2Z Licensing on behalf of

The current age policy, with a maximum vehicle age at firstly licensing of 2 years means that the costs of purchasing a wheel chair accessible hackney are too high: acting as a powerful disincentive to new hackneys joining the trade.

The most appropriate wheelchair accessible vehicles are not the cheapest, because space in the rear compartment is important to ensure that the customer feels comfortable, safe and secure. As a consequence, Whitesides believe that a vehicle such as the VW Transporter/Caravelle is the most suitable for wheelchair use. These vehicles are expensive and require a large capital investment, especially from owner drivers. The price of a new VW Caravelle is £40,000. Good quality used Caravelle's are expensive and the cost of a good quality used vehicle for the following ages are: 2015 £30,000, 2012 £26,000, 2010 £23,000, 2008 £17,000, 2005 £14,500.

There are cheaper vehicles: Mercedes Vito and Peugeot E7 are popular with the hackney trade as wheelchair accessible vehicles. A good quality Mercedes Vito registered in 2014 would cost approximately £25,000. A new Peugeot E7 costs £30,000.

The reason why the number of hackney vehicles has remained stable is because the cost of a 2 year old vehicle too high for most potential owner drivers to be able to afford.

Whiteside support the suggestion to increase the maximum age at first registration for hackneys, but feel that raising that age to 4 years is still too low. Blackpool and Preston have no maximum age for vehicles at first licensing, relying on the inspection at application to ensure that vehicles are appropriate for use. South Ribble Council have a maximum age of 6 years at first

However, the previous policy, when considering the granting of additional licences for wheelchair accessible vehicles (WAV's), a requirement was that the vehicle was less than 2 years old at the time of initial grant. It is proposed to amend this age restriction to 4 years.

The intention is to encourage additional WAV's on to the fleet. Whilst initially take up was slow, within the past 12 months an additional 3 WAV's have been granted which would suggest that the interest is there.

It is believed that relaxing the restriction to 4 years for new licences will attract more to the fleet. If this relaxation proves to be unsuccessful then a further relation to 6 could be considered. As referred to earlier, it should also be noted that applications should be considered on their individual merits.

To summarise the approach regarding the licensing of new or replacement hackney carriages, for wheel chair accessible vehicles the vehicle should be no older than 4 years. For replacement hackney carriages outside the wheel chair policy, the vehicle should be no older than 12.

The point is noted regarding vehicles that are older than 12 years on renewal and

Whitesides Taxis, the maximum age at first registration for wheelchair accessible hackney carriages was amended to six years rather than the 4 proposed in the policy.

licensing for hackneys. South Ribble also accept that it is appropriate that the maximum age for hackneys his higher than for Private Hire vehicles.

Setting the limit at 4 years, would require a capital investment of around£20,000, for a good quality wheelchair accessible vehicle which would have a working life of 8 years and the possible extension to that working life, if the exceptional condition criteria are met. A capital expenditure of £20,000 with a working life of 8 years equates to a cost to the driver of £2,500 per year or just under £50 per week. Most vehicles are purchased with a loan of 4 to 5 years, with interest, the cost to the driver per week over the loan period is considerably higher than £50 a week. Whiteside believe that a capital investment of £20,000 is too high to attract hackneys onto the fleet. What needs to be recognised is that the Fylde Council area is not a busy urban city, where hackney vehicles are constantly busy. The amount of work for hackneys is less than in city and more urban areas. This is demonstrated by the fact that most hackneys also carry out private hire work, to enable the driver to earn a reasonable living.

Wheelchair accessible vehicles are manufactured with the expectation that they will have a long working life and do a considerable mileage, given the initial cost of the vehicle. Increasing the maximum age at first registration to 6 years, in line with South Ribble would be appropriate. Whiteside would also submit that the maximum age for hackneys before the exceptional condition criteria apply ought to be increased to 14 years, which is in line with Blackpool. Preston does not have a maximum age policy. A maximum age at first registration of 6 years and an age limit of 14 years

the Exceptional Quality Policy has been introduced to address this.

	would provide an expectation that a hackney carriage should have a working life of 8 years. The cost of a midrange wheelchair accessible vehicle such as a Mercedes Vito would be around £12-14,000, which would equate to between £1,500 to £1,750 per year for the cost of the vehicle.  It is submitted that such an approach would reduce the costs of entry to new hackney vehicles. The number of wheelchair accessible vehicles within Fylde would be increased, which is the laudable desire that the council seeks to achieve. Given the fact that such vehicles are designed to last and, also to do a substantial mileage, it would not lead to any risks regarding public safety or		
	reduction in standards of the hackney fleet: particularly		
	given the inspection and enforcement regime which		
	applies within Fylde.		
5.5	Signage		
Signage	Remove the requirement that hackney signs be		
	illuminated.		
	The key factor that differentiates a hackney vehicle	The point is well made and Authority is	Update policy to
	from a private hire vehicle is the roof sign. This makes	unable to confirm the absence of	remove
	plain what is a hackney vehicle. There is no issue within	illuminated top signs has caused public	
	the Fylde in contrast to many cities with plying for hire,	safety concerns. The requirement to	illuminated top
	which is why there is a requirement that it is easy to	display a top sign remains and therefore	sign.
	distinguish between a hackney vehicle and a private	the requirement for this to be illuminated	
	hire vehicle. This is evidenced by a Freedom of	will be removed.	
	Information request which revealed the following. In		
	2015 the council received no complaints regarding		
	plying for hire. In 2016 the council received 1 complaint		
	of plying for hire, which did not result in a prosecution.  Whiteside have used the same rooftop signage on the		
	hackney vehicles on their fleet for the last 30 years. The		

signs used to be manufactured locally, but sadly that no longer is the case. The signs are not capable of being illuminated. The signs are however part of the company brand and are well known within the borough. The signs carry out the function which is expected of them, that they readily identify the vehicle as a hackney vehicle, which is a Whiteside hackney and not a private hire vehicle. To replace all 60 of the hackneys which are part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.  App J  Hackney fares	
illuminated. The signs are however part of the company brand and are well known within the borough. The signs carry out the function which is expected of them, that they readily identify the vehicle as a hackney vehicle, which is a Whiteside hackney and not a private hire vehicle. To replace all 60 of the hackneys which are part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
brand and are well known within the borough. The signs carry out the function which is expected of them, that they readily identify the vehicle as a hackney vehicle, which is a Whiteside hackney and not a private hire vehicle. To replace all 60 of the hackneys which are part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
signs carry out the function which is expected of them, that they readily identify the vehicle as a hackney vehicle, which is a Whiteside hackney and not a private hire vehicle. To replace all 60 of the hackneys which are part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
that they readily identify the vehicle as a hackney vehicle, which is a Whiteside hackney and not a private hire vehicle. To replace all 60 of the hackneys which are part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
vehicle, which is a Whiteside hackney and not a private hire vehicle. To replace all 60 of the hackneys which are part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
hire vehicle. To replace all 60 of the hackneys which are part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
part of the Whiteside fleet would cost £6,840, at a cost of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
of £114 per roof top sign. Fylde Council have not had an issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
issue with regard to these signs over previous years, even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear. To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
even though they are not fully compliant with the council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
council's policy. It makes sense now to adopt a policy that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear. To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
that reflects the current situation with the hackney fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear. To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
fleet and continues to ensure that the distinction between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear. To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
between hackneys and private hire vehicles is clear.  To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
To make Whiteside change roof top signs, which have been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
been in use for 30 years would be disproportionate. It is submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
submitted that regard ought to be had for the Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
Regulator's Code 1.1 avoid unnecessary regulatory burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
burdens and 1.2 minimise negative economic impact of regulatory activities.	
regulatory activities.	
App J Hackney fares	
Change the basis of calculation and add a tariff for 5 to	
8 passengers in MPV/minibuses.	
Private Hire Taxi Monthly (PHTM) no longer gives the  It is correct that the PHTM only includes  Amend	Policy in
fare for Tariff 2 in the monthly round up of fares across tariff one fares but it should be noted that line with	ı the
local authorities. So it would seem that in future when the policy was first introduced, the suggest	on.
consideration be given to the % increase in fare in intention was not to compare the actual	
relation to Tariff 1, which then would be applied to all national average fare but rather the	
tariffs. percentage by which the national average	
The policy as currently framed states that consideration   fares have increased.	
is given to the fares as of each January. It is worthwhile	
noting that Fylde's Tariff 1 2 mile fare in PHTM has However, the point is well made and the	

been recorded as being £5.70 since January 2013. In January 2013 the national average Tariff 1 2 mile fare was £5.50.

In the January 2017 PHTM the national average Tariff 1 2 mile fare is now £5.72. The increase of 22 p in the national average fare from January 2013 to January 2017 is 4%, which would amount to an increase of 23 p on the Tariff 1 2 mile fare in the Fylde if applied now, increasing the fare from £5.70 to £5.93 using PHTM's figures for Fylde.

It is suggested that the wording of be amended as follows:

"In reviewing the scale of maximum fares to be charged locally, consideration will be given to the percentage by which the "National Average" of Tariff One, 2 mile journey fares (as published in Private Hire & Taxi Monthly magazine) increased since the fees were last increased by Fylde Council (i.e. January 2013 to January 2017). In general, this average percentage will constitute the maximum percentage increase in fares above which the authority would not generally consider any application for a tariff increase."

The result would be that the fare increase would not be just looking back over the previous year, but the full period since the council had increased the fares.

## 5-8 Passenger Tariff of 1.5 flag fare

The council is requested to add a tariff for MPVs and minibuses when carrying 5-8 passengers of x1.5 of the flag fare, which a number of local authorities such as Brighton and Dover amongst others already charge. This would encourage the purchase of larger wheelchair accessible hackneys, which are premium vehicles and the most comfortable wheelchair accessible vehicles onto the fleet. It would also

policy will be amended to reflect this suggestion.

Such a step would require further consideration and input from the trade and taxi using members of the public. It is therefore suggested that the point is noted and a working group involving members of the trade is established to review the level fares and the tariffs with a view to making a recommendation to a

Further enquiries with stakeholders.

		encourage groups of customers to use larger vehicles, because groups of more than 4 would travel in one vehicle, rather than having to hire two vehicles. Vehicle journeys would be reduced, also reducing pollution.	future meeting of the Public Protection Committee.	
General		It is also submitted that when next considering the hackney carriage fares that consideration be given to increasing the amount of extras, such as additional passengers. These have remained at the same level for a number of years.	As above.	As above
4.2.5	Carol Gradwell, taxi user	Feels that the trade are unaware of the differences between the carriage of assistance dogs and those dogs which may be pets. States there is a real lack of awareness and is aware of instances where an assistance dog and its handler have been refused a hiring with the driver claiming they have an allergy.	Fylde Council have not issued any Exemption Certificates and therefore all drivers are required to convey all assistance dogs. We are not aware of any complaints regarding a refusal to convey assistance dogs but the point is noted and and officers will look to raise awareness of this through the trade.	No Change