

Internal Audit Progress Report Audit & Standards Committee (March 2022)

Fylde Borough Council

Contents

1 Introduction

2 Key Messages for Audit & Standards Committee Attention

[Appendix A: Contract Performance](#)

[Appendix B: Performance Indicators](#)

[Appendix C: Follow-up of Previous Audit Actions](#)

[Appendix D: Assurance Definitions and Risk Classifications](#)

Your Team

Name	Role	Contact Details
Louise Cobain	Engagement Lead	Louise.Cobain@miaa.nhs.uk 07795 564916
Fiona Hill	Engagement Manager	Fiona.Hill@miaa.nhs.uk 07825 592842

Limitations

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information in this report is as accurate as possible, based on the information provided and documentation reviewed, no complete guarantee or warranty can be given with regards to the advice and information contained herein. Our work does not provide absolute assurance that material errors, loss or fraud do not exist.

Responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by internal audit should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system.

Reports prepared by MIAA are prepared for your sole use and no responsibility is taken by MIAA or the auditors to any director or officer in their individual capacity. No responsibility to any third party is accepted as the report has not been prepared for, and is not intended for, any other purpose and a person who is not a party to the agreement for the provision of Internal Audit and shall not have any rights under the Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999.

Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

Our work was completed in accordance with Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.

1 Introduction

This report provides an update to the Audit & Standards Committee in respect of the progress made in against the Internal Audit Plan for 2021/22 and brings to your attention matters relevant to your responsibilities as members of the Audit & Standards Committee.

This progress report provides a summary of Internal Audit activity and complies with the requirements of the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.

Comprehensive reports detailing findings, recommendations and agreed actions are provided to the organisation, and are available to Committee Members on request. In addition, a consolidated follow up position is reported on a periodic basis to the Audit & Standards Committee.

This progress report covers the period 4 January – 9 March 2022.

2 Executive Summary

There has been the focus on the following areas:

2021/22 Audit Reviews	<p>Audit work has been profiled in line with requests and is progressing well. We are on track to deliver your Head of Internal Audit Opinion.</p> <p>The reviews below are currently in progress:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Third Party Assurance (work in progress)• Property Repairs and Maintenance (planning)• Project Management (work in progress)• Treasury Management (work in progress)• Key Financial Controls (planning)• Cyber Security (work in progress)• Data Sharing Protocols (work in progress)• S106 (planning) <p>Appendix A provides an overview of the delivery of your Head of Internal Audit Opinion for 2021/22.</p> <p>Appendix B provides information on Internal Audit performance.</p>
Follow Up	<p>A summary of the current status of follow-up activity is included in Appendix C, however, we would draw the committee’s attention to the following:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Progress has been made in the completion of outstanding actions from Moderate and Limited assurance reports issued by the previous audit team, these actions were not risk rated. 54 out of 91 actions have been completed. Of the remaining 37 actions, 19 are in progress with a further 18 actions either not started or postponed.• A separate table details the actions arising from MIAA reports including risk ratings. All actions have been completed from the Disabled Facilities Grants Review and of the remaining 10 actions four have been completed, one is in progress and five are not yet due.
Audit Plan Changes	Audit Committee approval will be requested for any amendments to the original plan and highlighted separately below to facilitate the monitoring process.
Insights	<p>Collaborative Masterclass Events</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Behaviour Change: What Works? (11th March 2022)• Outlook for the public sector (31st March 2022)

Appendix A: Contract Performance

The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) state that ‘The chief audit executive must deliver an annual internal audit opinion and report that can be used by the organisation to inform its governance statement.’

Below sets out the overview of delivery for your Head of Internal Audit Opinion for 2021/22:

HOIA Opinion Area	Status	Assurance Level
Risk Management		
Risk Management	Completed	Moderate
Risk Based Assurances		
Vehicles and Equipment Asset Disposals	Completed	Limited
Disabled Facilities Grant	Completed	Moderate
Housing Benefits	Completed	Substantial
Health & Safety	Completed	Moderate
Third Party Assurance	In progress	
Property Repairs and Maintenance	Planning	
Data Sharing Protocols	In progress	
Cyber Security	In progress	
Project Management	In progress	
Treasury Management	In Progress	
NNDR & Council Tax	Planning (Moved to Q1 as agreed by the Committee)	
Key Financial Controls	Planning (Moved to Q1 as agreed by the Committee)	
S106	Planning	
COVID Support	TBC	

HOIA Opinion Area	Status	Assurance Level
Follow Up		
Qtr 1	Completed	N/A
Qtr 2	Completed	
Qtr 3	Completed	
Qtr 4	Completed	
Management		
Head of Internal Audit Opinion/Annual Report/Annual Governance Statement	Ongoing	N/A
Planning and Management		
Reporting and Meetings		
Contingency		

If due to circumstances beyond our control we are unable to achieve sufficient depth or coverage, we may need to caveat opinions and explain the impact of this and what will be done to retrieve the position in future.

Appendix B: Performance Indicators

The primary measure of your internal auditor's performance is the outputs deriving from work undertaken. The following provides performance indicator information to support the Committee in assessing the performance of Internal Audit.

Element	Reporting Regularity	Status	Summary
Delivery of the Head of Internal Audit Opinion (Progress against Plan)	Each Audit Committee	Green	There is ongoing engagement and communications regarding delivery of key reviews to support the Head of Internal Audit Opinion. As a result of the delayed External Audit completion, Reviews requiring input from the Finance team may need to be deferred until Quarter 1.
Issue a Client Satisfaction Questionnaire following completion of every audit.	Ongoing	Green	Link to questionnaire included within each audit report.
Percentage of recommendations raised which are agreed	Quarterly	Green	Actions agreed by the Council on all recommendations raised.
Percentage of recommendations which are implemented – reports issued prior to April 2021	Quarterly	Amber	Most recommendations have been implemented or are in progress.
Percentage of recommendations which are implemented - reports issued post April 2021	Quarterly	Green	Recommendations not yet due for follow up have been completed or are in progress.
Qualified Staff	Annual	Green	MIAA have a highly qualified and diverse workforce which includes 75% qualified staff. The Senior Team delivering the Internal Audit Service to the Council are CCAB/IIA qualified.
Quality	Annual	Green	MIAA operate systems to ISO Quality Standards. The External Quality Assessment, undertaken by CIPFA, provides assurance of MIAA's compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.

Element	Reporting Regularity	Status	Summary
			MIAA conforms with the Public Sector Internal Audit Code of Ethics.

Appendix C: Follow up of previous internal audit recommendations

Previous Fylde Borough Council Audit Team Recommendations from Limited or Moderate Rated Reports. No risk ratings were assigned to recommendations.

AUDIT TITLE (YEAR ISSUED)	NO OF RECS MADE	ASSURANCE LEVEL	PROGRESS ON IMPLEMENTATION				Comments
			✓/S	P	X	Not due	
Commercial Property (2019/20)	9	Limited	1	5	3	-	Resources and catching up on workloads post Covid-19. A revised Asset Management Plan is being developed.
GDPR (2019/20)	16	N/A	14	2	-	-	The outstanding actions are in progress.
Environmental Permitting Regs (2019/20)	6	Limited	3	2	1	-	Covid-19 has resulted in the delay of the implementation of the actions. The team are looking to complete the remaining actions in March 2022.
Fuel Consumption (2019/20)	9	Limited	8	1	-	-	The outstanding action will be completed once the meetings between Parks and FMS teams recommence. .
Event Management (2019/20)	15	Limited	13	2	-	-	There are two actions outstanding and in progress.
Contract Procedure Rules (2020/21)	5	Moderate	3	-	2	-	The actions in progress are expected to be completed in the next quarter.
Sundry Debtors (2019/20)	8	Moderate	1	-	-	7	Completion of the actions has been postponed until March 2022.
VAT (2019/20)	3	Moderate	-	-	-	3	Completion of the actions has been postponed until March 2022.
Heritage Assets (2019/20)	5	Moderate	1	4	-	-	The actions in progress are expected to be completed by April 2022.
Homeless Reduction (2019/20)	5	Moderate	4	1	-	-	There is one action in progress regarding Data Sharing which is in the process of being resolved.
Payroll (2020/21)	10	Moderate	6	2	-	2	Actions are either in progress or not yet due.
TOTALS	91		54	19	6	12	

MIAA Internal Audit Recommendations

AUDIT TITLE (YEAR)	NO OF RECS MADE	ASSURANCE LEVEL	PROGRESS ON IMPLEMENTATION				OUTSTANDING RECOMMENDATIONS			
			✓/S	P	X	Not due	C	H	M	L
Asset Disposals (2020/21)	7	Limited	4	1	-	2	-	2	1	-
Housing Benefits (2021/22)	3	Substantial	-	-	-	3	-	-	3	-
Disabled Facilities Grants (2021/22)	8	Moderate	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTALS	18		12	1	-	5	-	2	4	-

Key to recommendations:

- ✓/S Implemented or Superseded
- P Partially implemented/recommendation in progress
- X Recommendation not implemented
- ND Not due for follow up
- C Critical priority recommendation
- H High priority recommendation
- M Medium priority recommendation
- L Low priority recommendation

Appendix D: Assurance Definitions and Risk Classifications

Level of Assurance	Description
High	There is a strong system of internal control which has been effectively designed to meet the system objectives, and that controls are consistently applied in all areas reviewed.
Substantial	There is a good system of internal control designed to meet the system objectives, and that controls are generally being applied consistently.
Moderate	There is an adequate system of internal control, however, in some areas weaknesses in design and/or inconsistent application of controls puts the achievement of some aspects of the system objectives at risk.
Limited	There is a compromised system of internal control as weaknesses in the design and/or inconsistent application of controls puts the achievement of the system objectives at risk.
No	There is an inadequate system of internal control as weaknesses in control, and/or consistent non-compliance with controls could/has resulted in failure to achieve the system objectives.

Risk Rating	Assessment Rationale
Critical	Control weakness that could have a significant impact upon, not only the system, function, or process objectives but also the achievement of the organisation's objectives in relation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the efficient and effective use of resources the safeguarding of assets the preparation of reliable financial and operational information compliance with laws and regulations.
High	Control weakness that has or could have a significant impact upon the achievement of key system, function, or process objectives. This weakness, whilst high impact for the system, function or process does not have a significant impact on the achievement of the overall organisation objectives.
Medium	Control weakness that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> has a low impact on the achievement of the key system, function, or process objectives. has exposed the system, function, or process to a key risk, however the likelihood of this risk occurring is low.
Low	Control weakness that does not impact upon the achievement of key system, function, or process objectives; however, implementation of the recommendation would improve overall control.